# Fatwas of Muslim Women

Ibn Taymyah

فتاوى النساء

ابن تيمية

Translated by: Sayed Gad

For Translation, Publishing & Distributing
Egypt - Al - Mensoura P.O. Box: 35738
Tel - Fix. 002950 (2030254 Mobile 912/0005049
E-mall: Almanarah400@Hotmail.com

#### Al- Manarah for Transfation, Publishing & Distribution First edition 1420- 2000

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the publisher.

#### Dar Al- Manarah

For Translation. Publishing & Distributin Egypt - Al - Mansoura P.O. Box: 38738 Tel - Pax: 000000 / 200204 Mobile: 000000049 E-mail: Almanness-400QH-00mail.com

> دار المقارة الفرو التيليج و الرسة مصر – المقصورة – عرب : ۲۵۷۶۸ ت.ف. و ۲۰۲۰ اردو ت. . مصران ۱۹۲۰ ۱۹۲۰

Dur Al Kotob Library Number : 2000/5221 LS.B N. 977 - 6005 - 00 - 4

## Table of contents

٠	Translator's note
	Purification
٠	Altered water because of its being in a ptace
	for a long time
	Men and women having Ghus! (purificatory bath)
	from the same container
	Copper utensits inlayed with silver24
٠	Circumcision of women 25
٠	Wiping over the head cover26
•	Touching women
٠	Touching the Holy Quran
	Carrying the Hoty Quran
٠	Reading the Qur'an during puerperium
	(Nifas)
٠	Prayer
٠	Making up missed prayers30
٠	Is making up missed prayer better Than performing
	optional prayer ?31
٠	Woman's ornament31
٠	Concealing women's private parts from men and
	Women34
٠	Showing the face, the hands, and thefeet before
	Strangers
٠	Does a woman have to cover her hands in prayer? 38
٠	The uncovering of a woman's hair during prayer41
٠	A woman praying with the surface of her foot
	uncovered
	,

	Sewing salk for men and women and getting	
	paid for it4	
	Women's wearing kaffiehs	
	The criteria of imutating the other sex4	
	Women's wearing turbans4	
- 1	is a Christian woman to be buried among Muslims?4	8
. :	Zakah4	9
. :	Zakah of Jewelry4	9
	Zakah on a woman's dowry	
	Can the grandmother be a legitimate recipient	
	of Zakah if in debt?5	2
	Fasting5	3
	is a pregnant woman, who is in no pain, allowed	
	not to fast for the safety of her baby?	3
	What is a fasting Muslim allowed to do? What	
	would break his fasting and what would not?	3
	A man who could not fast or pray right before	
	his death	s
	Refraining from going to extremes in	
	worshipping Allah	s
	A moreon going on a pileringen without on	
- 6	mmarriageable kin	7
	Can a woman go on a pilgrimage on behalf	
	of another?	8
	Can a woman who has already performed the	
	pilgrimage go on a pilgrimage on behalf of a	
	dead person and be paid for it?	R
	Carcumambulation of the Sacred House made by	

a menstruating woman	59
Attending 'Arafah by a menstruating woman	6
A weak woman who spends the night in Muzdalifah	6
Circumambulation made by one who is ritually	
impure due to menstruation, seminal	
discharge or due to minor impurity i.e. not	
making ablution	6
Forbidding a menstruating woman to observe	
Fasting in Ramadan	7
Prayer	74
Recitation of the Holy Our an by a menstruating	
Woman	7
Menstruation and I'tikaf (Seclusion in a Mosque	
for worship)	7
The prayer of a menstruating woman	10
From Endowment to Marriage	11
Is it permissible to build a second floor over	
a Mihrab (altar)?	11
Assigning a will or an endowment for one's	
Neighbors	11
The unmarried reciter.	11
ls at permassable to privilege some of the children	
with a portion of the estate to the exclusion of	
others? Is it permissible to give to relatives	
from the estate?	1
A woman dwelling next door to a group of men	
and a mn dwelling next door to a group	
or women	I

Assigning an endowment for the needy relatives
of the deceased
Assigning the revenue of endowments for
shrouding the poor deceased
Gift and gratuity Charity and present
The Undefined Gift
A Woman Giving a Book to Her Husband
as a Gift
Privileging one of her children from a different
husband with a charity
The charity of a grandmother
The distribution of the estate
A father seizing the possession of his married
daughter after her death
Returning in a gift
A divorcee returning in her gift
A man returning in his gift which he made to
his wife after her death
wife's death
A gift given to the wife and children126
A father returning in his gift to his bad son 127
BEQUESTS
A bequest or an acknowledgment of a debt?
Making bequests of unequal shares to
one's children
A postponed oath
Annulment of a bequest
Can a nephew be an heir?

	A bequest to a husband and a paternal uncle and
	a grandmother 131
	A bequest of Hajj
	Benefit for the deceased
•	The guardian of the orphan girl133
	IHERITANCE
	The share of the widow
	The share of the bushand from the inheritance
•	of his deceased wife
	How to distribute the estate?
:	
	The sisters and the daughters
٠	Paternal and maternal brothers and sisters
٠	
٠	A daughter, maternal brother and a male cousin137
•	A husband, father, mother, son and daughter138
٠	The distribution of the estate between the
	husband and the nephew
	The daughters of one's brother140
٠	
٠	Divorce before consummation of marriage
	A husband who divorces his wife before his
	death in way to disinherit her142
	MARRIAGE
	Proposing to marry a woman already engaged
	to another person
	A woman proposed to during her 'Iddah
	(waiting period)
	Muhallil (a man who married a woman then

	divorces her so that she may return to her
	previous husband who irrevocably divorced her)146
	The second proposal
	Private meeting of a man with a woman
	The divorced thrice
	The proxy of a dhimmi in marriage of a Muslim150
	than her father
	A lying woman who changes her name and the
	name of her father
	Obligation of the virgin major woman
	Marrying a woman to her relative against her will 164
	WOMEN FORBIDDEN IN MARRIAGE 166
	The Exchange Marriage [Shighar]
	Combining a woman and her maternal aunt
	in marriage
	Taking in marriage the paternal aunt of
	a man along with his niece
	Marrying the mother of one's wife with whom
	marriage is not consummated
	12 moths without menstruation!
	12 moths without menstruation!
:	12 mods without menstruation!
:	12 moths without meastruation!
:	12 mods without menstruation!

	Physical defects versus marriage
	Can leprosy cause the annulment of marriage?174
	The Mustahadah Ia woman suffering from
	continuous vasinal bleeding)
1	A woman rouse to be vaganin i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
	ANAL SEX WITH WIVES
	Is anal sex with one's wife is lawful?177
	Nushuz [disobedience of the wife] A woman who
	fasts during the day and prays during the night
	and refuses her husband's invitation to the bed178
	Khul' [demand of divorce made by the wife
	in return for a compensation given to
	the husband]
	Chula' in the Our'an and Sunnah
:	The forced divorce
:	Accusing the wife of adultery
;	The Khul' of a woman who has no guardian183
	Cancellation of absolution
٠	Divorce after the absolution of the dower
٠	Revocable divorce of a deceived busband
٠	
٠	A rule in khul'
٠	Is a Khul' counted in the three divorce pronoun
	cements?
	Zhihar189
•	What is the meaning of a husband's saving
•	to his wife
•	"You are just like my mother or sister"?

	Is divorce effected if a husband demanded to consummate marriage with his wife on a certain day but she was not ready on it?
•	When a man says that his wife is just like his mother during her absence, is she prohibited for him as a wife?
•	When a man says to his divorced wife: If I resumed marriage with you, you will be just
	like my mother, what should be do?
	Divorce
	Is a drunkard's divorce effected?
	Is divorce effeted when a man who lost his
	consciousness uttered it?
	If an angry man said: "she is divorced", but
	he did not mention his wife, is such divorce
	effected?195
٠	Is divorce effected when a man is coerced
	to do lt?
٠	If a man is cocreed to divorce his wife and he
	did, but afterdivorce she got married to another
	man, is this marriage valid?
	A man promised his wife to divorce her, but he
	had the intention to resume marriage with her
	and conclude a new marriage contract with
	another dowry, is this valid?
٠	Is it permissible for a mon to divorce his wrfe

	just because his mother hates her?	197
	When a mother wants to separate between	
	her daughter and her husband, is the	
	daughter a sinner if she disobeyed her	
	mother in this regard?	198
٠	Is divorce effected if the husband intends	
	to divorce his wife before witnesses but	
	he did not utter it?	199
٠	When a man thrice divorces his wife	
	unintentionally and he means just once,	
	is it effected?	200
•	A man is indebted to his wife and he wanted	
	to divorce her if he did not pay his debt. If	
	she acquitted him from such debt, is divorce	
	effected?	200
٠	Is it permissible for a man to re-marry his	
	ex-wife whom he divorced thrace before	
	consummating marriage with her?	201
•	A woman is divorced thrice before consummating marriage and when she gets married	
	again, she is also divorced thrice from thesecond	
	husband before the consummation of marriage	
	as well. Is it legal for her-to return to the	
	first husband?	201
٠	Does a woman become prohibited for her	
	husband if he said: All that I own is prohibited	
	for me?	202
٠	When a woman says to her husband: "Divorce	
	me" and he replied: "You are prohibited for me",	
	is she prohibited in this case?	203

· Is it permissible to authorize the new wife to
divorce the old one? Is divorce effected?
<ul> <li>When a man's authorized agent thrice divorces</li> </ul>
his (the man's) wife, is it permissible for the
husband to return to his wife?
<ul> <li>Is divorce effected when a man is forgetful</li> </ul>
or when he uttered wrong words? 21
· A man said to his wife: You are divorced if
I see so-and-so at your house. Is divorce
effected if he saw her in another place?
<ul> <li>If the wife got out of the house without her husband spermission while he swore an oath</li> </ul>
that she should not do so, is divorce effected?20
<ul> <li>A man accused his wrfe of stealing a sum of</li> </ul>
money and said to her. If you did not bring this
money, you are divorced Is divorce effected?20
<ul> <li>When the husband says to his wife: "you are</li> </ul>
divorced if you give birth to a female baby", and
he revoked his threat. The woman gave birth
to a female haby. Is divorce effected?20
. During a quarrel with his wife, the husband
said, if you say "divorce me". I will do, but
she kept silent. What is the legal ruling
on this matter?
If a wife enters a house forgetfully while
her busband swears an eath of divorce that if
she entered such house, she will be divorced, is
divorce efficied?
The Control of the Co

	but later he was obliged to enter it, is
	divorce effected?
	A man swore an oath of three divorce that he
	will leave the place where he lives. Later, he
	wanted to return. Is this permissible?211
	An angry man swore an oath of thrice divorce
	that his pregnant wife should not enter her
	aunt's house. After giving birth, she entered
	this house. Is divorce effected?
	divorce that his wife should not get out of the
	house during his absence, but out of necessity
	she went out. Is divorce effected?212
	When a pregnant woman refuses to have
	intercourse with her husband and he swore an
	oath of divorce that he will not have intercourse
	with her after giving birth. What is the legal
	judgementif he had intercourse with her after
	giving borth?213
	When a man swears an oath of divorce not to
	have intercourse with his wife for six months,
	what should he do after the expiryof this period?215
	Pending Divorce
	When a man swears an oath of divorce but he
1	left at pending, is it effected?
	When a man says to his wife: "You are thrice
	divorced" and he has the intention to make it
	pending, is divorce effected?
	Suraij Question
	Is the Suray Question true?
	to the sense description of the sense of the

the same
<ul> <li>Is it permissible to make a marriage contract</li> </ul>
in which divorce is stipulated?
Lincage
<ul> <li>If a wife gave birth to a baby after six months</li> </ul>
of pregnancy, does this baby belong to
her husband?
<ul> <li>Does the baby belong to the first husband if the</li> </ul>
wifemarried another man after her waiting
period is over?
A man divorced his wife but a Mufti delivered
A thair divorced his wife but a Mufti delivered
him a fatwa that divorce is not effected.
The man had intercourse withins wife and
she gave birth to a child. Was the child born
out of adultery?
The child belongs to the conjugal bed
Invalid marriage contracts
<ul> <li>When a woman gives birth to a baby just two</li> </ul>
months after the marriage contract although
the husband had not consummated marriage
with her, 1s such marriage valid?
Iddah (The Wasting Period)
. Is a woman's claim that she no longer
menstruates accepted and she gets married
according to it?
according to it?
<ul> <li>When a judge abrogates a woman's marriage and her husband wants to return to her.</li> </ul>
and fir nusband wants to return to her,
is she entitled to a waiting period?
<ul> <li>A woman was divorced after giving birth to</li> </ul>
six children. After divorce, she did not
menstruate for six months. Is it legal for

	her to marry another man after this period? When a suckling woman has a medicine so	228
	that she may menstruate and she has already menstruated thrice, does her waiting period	
	come to an end?	229
	A sick man divorces his write but later denied	
	that he had done so. Days later he died. Is the	
	wife entitled to a death or divorce waiting period?	230
	Is a woman entitled to spend a death waiting	
	period again if she did not spend it in her house?	231
	Is it permissible to engage a woman who spent	
	just forty days out of the waiting period of her	
	late husband?	231
	If a wife intended to perform pilgrimage with	
	her husband, but he died before travel, is it	
	permissible for her to perform pilgrimage?	232
	Suckling the baby	
	When two sisters suckle the daughters of each	
	other, is it prohibited for these daughters to	
	marry their cousins?	233
	Two men were suckled by the same woman,	
	then one of them got married and he had a girl.	
	Is it permissible for the other man to marry	
	thas girl?	234
	When a girl is suckled with her cousin, is it	
	permissible for him to marry her sister?	. 235
	Is it permissible for the son of a suckling woman	
	to marry the daughter of the girl who was	
	suckled by his mother?	236
	A man married two wives and a baby was	

	between them?	. 236
٠	If a man was not suckled by the mother of	
	a girl neither was she suckled by his mother,	
	but their younger brothers and sisters	
	exchanged suckling from both mothers, is it	
	prohibited for this man to marry this girl?	237
	When one of two sisters is suckled with a boy,	
	is it permissible for him to marry	
	the second sister?	239
	When a boy is suckled with a girl, is it	
	permissible for his brother to marry her sister?	.239
	When a girl is suckled by her aunt, is it	
	permissible for the aunt's grandson to marry	
	this girl?	240
	When a mother denied that she suckled a girl	
	married by her son, is it permissible to separate	
	between them?	241
	After a man got married and had many children.	
	he was told that his wife was suckled by his	
	mother. What is the legal judgment on	
	this matter	242
	If a man was suckled by a woman when he was	
	a baby and this woman has daughters younger	
	than him, is at permissible for him to marry	
	any of them?	243

suckled by one of them. This man had a daughter born by the second wife. Now, is it permissible for the suckled person to marry this daughter? If they have already got married, is it permissible for the judge to sengrate

Is it permissible for a man to marry a girl who was suckled with his brother? 244
<ul> <li>A man used his wife's milk in washing his eyes and anothersuckled milk from his wife.</li> </ul>
Do their wives become prohibited?
for him to marry this girl?
brother to marry this girl?
permissible for him to marry her daughter?247  When a woman keeps her breast away from a baby once he starts suckling, is it permissible for him, once he is old, to marry this
woman's daughter?
Alimony
who is still suckled, is he entitled to pay alimony248  When a woman needs money, does she take it from her husband or from her dowry?
When a woman disobeys her husband, is he still
<ul> <li>entitled to support her with money and clothes?250</li> <li>When a man leaves his wife for a whole year and does not support her with money, is it</li> </ul>
permussible for her to marry another man to support her?

	After a man had married a woman, he left her for a whole year and traveled to his country. He did
	not send her money, is it permissible for the
	wife's father to abrogate the marriage?
	When a wife travels with her father without
- 1	her husband's permission, what is the legal
	ruling concerning them?
	A wrife's family asked the husband to provide
	her with the clothes sufficient for a year and
	hey have already obtained them. Then they
	demanded for money and said that they will
	support her. Is this act permissible?253
	When a man is imprisoned because he did not
	settle his wife's financial support and provide
	ner with the necessary clothes, is it permissible
	or her to demand for support during
	iis imprisonment?255
	f a wife was of po avail to her husband for
- 1	wo years because of her illness, does she
	leserve financial support?256
. 1	When a man divorces his wife while she is
	pregnant .but later she was aborted . does
	he deserve alimony?
	s the husband entitled to pay the waiting
	period alumony to his wife if she did not
	spend it at the place he had determined
	or this purpose?
	s it permissible for the husband to ask his wife
	o give him the cost of supporting her child
	who belongs to a former husband?257
	-

	is a rich son entitled to support his old father.	
	his wife and brothers?	258
	Is it permissible to give one's relatives out of	
	one's Zakah and Kaffarah?	259
	What is the legal ruling on giving charity to	
	needy relatives?	259
	Nursing the baby	259
•	Who is to nurse the baby? When is it permissible for the nursing mother to demand for	
	financial support?	259
	her former husband that she will support their child, but later she demanded for financial	
	support, is it permissible?	260
٠	Is it permissible for a father to oblige his son to travel oversees without the son's	
	or mother's consent?	261
	Does the stepfather have the right to put the daughter of his wife from another husband	
	under his custody?	262
	What about the son put under the custody	
		.263
	Clarification and Comment	
	Crimes and Penalties	
	Unintentional and premeditated murder	265
	When a group of people conspired to murder a person but just one of them performed the	
	plot, will they be killed all or just the murderer?	267
	When a man beats another and later the beaten	
	person falls dead, what is the legal judgment	

in this case?2
The penalty of adultery
<ul> <li>If the adulterer repented before due penalty</li> </ul>
is afflicted, is penalty canceled?
- Do the viciousness of sins and the penalty
of adultery increase in the blessed days? 2
The penalty of defaming people
<ul> <li>When a man and his divorced wife defame</li> </ul>
his present wafe and accuse her of adultery.
is their claim accepted? Is the dowry
of the present wife canceled?
Having hashish
Masturbapon
Is masturbation prohibited for men and

women alike?

.271

#### Translator's Note

Praise be to Allah, the Lord of the Worlds. Blessings and peace be upon our leader Muhammad, his family, his companions, and those who follow his guidance until the day of judgement.

Two major elements drove me to shoulder the responsibility of introducing a publication of such an imminent human Like Ibn Taymyah to those who learn Islam from English literature. The first is the author who, undeabledly, needs no word that may attempt to crystallize his stance among other jurists, be they of his time or any other generation or place

The second is the subject itself which grasps the interest of the people at any time. As man, woman enjoys an woman enjoys and share as to rights, responsibilities and duties, all of which share as to rights, responsibilities and duties, all of which woman is created with so many differences in her biological mature, physical capabilities and much faculties, it would be undar to assign her the same reaconshibilities, duties and rights as man.

In other words, there is a difference between sameness and equality. As man and woman are not the same in terms of biological nature, physical capabilities and mental faculties, so they are not the same and it would be injustice to deal with both the same. But to put man consideration this

difference of nature is regarded as the core of justice and equality, the methodology adopted by Islam, the religion of the Creator of both man and woman

As to Translation process, two things are considered. The first is the style which is free from literal translation which might lead to many missanderstandings on the part of reader especially when dealing with a classic style like that of the Taymvah.

The second is the type of the fatwa. The source book comprises so many subjects that mostly concern man rather than woman. So the focus was centered on Fatwas which are confined to woman along with others that might appear to be interesting to woman.

We pray to Allah, the Exalted, to keep us on the right path to which He has guided us, and to bestow on us a blessing from Him, He is mdeed the Most Mertilla, Praise and grantade be to Allah, the Lord of the worlds, and peace and blessings be upon prophet Muhammad, his family, his companions, and those who rightly follow there.

#### Purification

#### Altered water because of its being in a place for a long time

Q: What is the judgement of water if its color or taste is altered because of being in a place for a long period except its adore?

At As to water being altered because of its being in a place for a long period, it is considered pore according to the majority view of scholars. As to the running Nile, if astered because of impurity makes within, it is impure. But if muced with pure and impure elements and there is doubt to regard it as impure. In general less also which change because of these elements, but if there is a certainty that is is changed by impure elements, it is impure. But if changed by pure elements, often the two traditional views are quoted here.

Men and women having Ghusl (purificatory bath) from the same container Q: What about men and women having path from the same

A: There is no difference of opinion among jurists as to the permissibility of such action. Had it been the case, it is worther to allow men and women to have path from one container respectively. And any one dislikes sharing Glust with another from the same container or to seek another both to complete purification is against the majority view.

## Copper utensils inlayed with silver

Q: what about copper utensils inlayed with silver?

A: As to utensils inlayed with silver or its like, no harm if silver is little provided that needed and not of direct use. But if silver is too much, there are two views according to Alshafel and Ahmad. If silver is used for beautification and rings, it is permissible. As to utensils inlayed with gold, it is prohibited be it futle or much.

There is a difference of opinion among the companions of Inaman Ahmad as to the permissibility of performan abustion and purification by but from gold and silver userslike the difference is based on the rule of all difference is to disparry while there are restrictions on secking the permissibility like performing prayer in ususped land and prohibited elothes and performing prigrimage with unlawful money. As to the manter in

question, there are two opinions according to the companions of Imam Ahmed. The first is tat it is permissible, which is the opinion of Albarqui and others. The second is that it is not permissible, which the opinion of Abi Bakr.

The supporters of the first view drew two differences to support their view; the first is that prohibition here is separated from worship where the utensil is separated from the element of abbutton which is different from the one who is wearing the prohibited or eating it or setting on it. In such case he is like one going to perform Friday prayer using surround means.

Ohers deemed this difference weak saying that or difference could be claimed between putting the high and in the userell or ladding with hands from it, and the properties (may peace be upon him) said that the Billy of the comparison of the properties of prayers, the prohibition tooksets the pillars and condition of prayers, the prohibition is considered otherwise it will may affect its validity.

#### Circumcision of women

Q: What the rule of circumcision of women?

A: As man, circumcision should be observed to woman. Circumcision for woman consists of removing the prepute from the clitoris (not the clitoris itself, as some mistakenly assert). In this regard the prophet (may peace be upon him) said: "Do it (circumcision) mildly without extreme, for it is healthy for both man and woman"

This hadith maintains that circumcision is meant only to make woman be able to control her lust, so it should be moderate without extreme. As to the circumcision of man, it consists of removing the prepare from the penis to clean the fifth that might eather in it.

## Wiping over the head cover

## Q: What about wiping the head cover?

A: Praise be to Allah, if there is any fear cold, she could wipe over the veil. It was reported that Um Salamah was used to wipe over her veil. She should wipe some of here hair along with the veil. But if there is no need to wipe over the veil, the scholars differ in such case.

### Touching women

Q: Does touching women multify ablation or not?

At there are three views as to touching women.

The first which is the view of Imam Al-Shafie, which is regarded as the weakest, who maintains that ablution is

nullified by touching women even if they touch without sexual desire if the one of the opposite sex evokes sexual desire. This view is based on the Quranic verse that reads: "or ye have been in contact with women"

The second view holds that touching doesn't nullify ablution even if sexual desire is evoked. This view is the view of Irram Abraed.

The third, which is the view of Imam Malik, is that if touching is for the sake of sexual desire, ablution is nullified otherwise ablution still valid.

As to the view that holds ablution nullified by the act of touching a woman, it is against the consensus of companions and no text or Qias (analogical deduction) that may support this view. As to the were that reads:

"or ye have been in contact with women",

Umar said that if touching means touching with the hand or kissing, it refers to touching for the sake of sexual desire lake what is indicated in the following verse:

"but don't associate with your wives while ye are in retreate in the mosques."

Approaching women without sexual desire is not prohibited while approaching women in the mosque. The same also applied to the following verse:

"but if ye divorce them before consummation" and "There is no blame on ye if divorce women before consummation".

According to the majority view of scholars if he divorces his wife before he approaches her with sexual desire, no waiting period, dower or the prohibition that based on marriage relationship. But if he approaches her with sexual desire and practices marriage relationships, the matter is different and there is difference of opinion among jurists in the school of Imam Amad.

In light of the foregoing verses and the explanation regarding the word contacting, the view that claims touching in the verse "or ye have been in contact with women" refers to touching without sexual desire contradicts the references inhedded in the Quran and goes against its meaning in the general language of the people.

#### Touching the Holy Quran

The jurisst are all agreed that it is forbidden to touch the Qurran while one is in a state of limpurity. He derives his support from a haddin in the two Sahihs in which it is sused dat The Proplect (speace be upon him) serial elective to Ame then Hazmi in which he said: "The holy Quran is to be touched only by pure person." Thus is the view of Subarama Al-Faresey and Abd ullah bin Umar and all commentations.

#### Carrying the Holy Quran

Q: What about carrying the holy Quran in the state of impurity?

A: It should be carried shrouded with any thing like

### Reading the Qur'an during puerperium (Nifas)

Q: What about a woman in purpernum; is she allowed to read the Qur'an while in confinemen? It she allowed to engage in sexual intercourse before the forty days are over? If the forty days are over but the woman has not performed the purificatory bath, is she allowed to engage in sexual intercourse?

A: The four scholars unanimously agreed that it is unlawful for a woman to engage in sexual intercourse before the bleeding stops. If the bleeding stops is the bleeding stops. If the bleeding stops hefore the forty days are over, the is to perform the purificatory bath and pray, However, her husband is not allowed to copalise with her before the forty days are over. As for reading the Que'an, If she does not fear forgetting the verses she memorized, the into to read the Que'an.

However, if she does four frequenting them, she is allowed in read the Qui'm, according to some of the substate, it untaintensity agreed that when the bleeding stops and the woman performs the purificative shad, she can read the Qui'm and pray. If the cannot perform the purificative shad, she can read both the shade to the shence of wineer or on account of some disease, she is to perform the dry ablitton instead which is equivalent to the purificatory bath.

#### Praver

## Making up missed prayers

Q: Should a man who missed many prayers make them up along with their optional (Sumanh) prayers, or is he to make up the obligatory prayers only? And are they to be made up any time of the day?

A: Immediacy in making up missed prayers is more important than occupying oneself with making up optional prayers. Yet, if the prayers missed are few in number, making up optional prayers is recommended.

When the Prophet, peace and blestings be upon hum, and hum companies oversizes and missed the downlighty payer, during Hundiri battic, they made up the obligatory and optional percycl, and when he missed the prayer during the trench battle, he mude up only the obligatory prayers and not the optional cones. Missed prayers can be mode up any time of day. The Prophet, peace and blestings be upon him, says: Whoever finds one artial to missed to the him, says: Whoever finds one artial to missed to the him, says: Whoever finds one artial to missed to he rising of the sun, he in fact finds the down prayer."

## Is making up missed prayer better

### Than performing optional prayer?

Q: which is better, making up missed prayers or performing optional prayers?

A: If a man has missed an obligatory prayer, making it up is more important than occupying oneself with performing optional prayers.

## Woman's ornament

Q: What about a woman's outfit and whether she is allowed to display it?

A: In prayer, a woman is allowed to display outward, but not inward, ornaments. The predecessors had disagreed as to wisat outward ornament is. Bun Masoud and his supporters stated it was clothes, whereas Ibn Abass and his supporters mentioned it was the face and the hands, such as the kold (eye liner) and rings.

Based on these two views, scholars disagreed whether it is allowed to look at a strange woman. According to Abu Haneifa and Ashafe'ei, driven by no sexual appetite, a man is allowed to look at a woman's hands and face.

According to Ahmad's view, a man is not allowed to.

According to Malek, the whole body of a woman is considered private parts, right down to her nails.

### The conclusion

Ornaments are of two types; outward and inward. Allah has allowed a woman to display her ornaments to people other than her husband and her prohibited affinities.

Before the verse obligating a woman to take the veil was revealed, women used to go out wearing no outer garments (filbab) and men were able to see their hands and facets, since a woman was allowed to show both her hands and face then. Consequently, it was not unlawful for a mun to look at them as she was allowed to display them. When allah exalled to He revealed the verse obligations a

woman to take fet voil, "O Prophet! Ted thy wises and daughters, and the beliefing women, has they should cat their outer garments over their persons (what abressed)" (Al Altarie S), women became forbidden to lock at. That was wise the Prophet matrical Zopinh bress lock at. That was wise the Prophet matrical Zopinh bress at. When he matrice Slaybe best felsy, year later, I at in the year when the butter of Rithibar took place, people and the forbidden that he be looked at, he would be our of the moders of the becliever, otherwise the would be one of those whom in right hand pionesses. She to referred Althis ordered that women be not asked operations except from behind a well and ordered that the Prophet's except from behind a well and ordered that the Prophet's war and disripters as well as the believing women should can be added to the second of the process. This cast garment or jithab is a sheet of cloth or what the Massoul and others refer to as rainent, and which is generally referred to by people as loincloth, that is the large slicked revenue her beat and the while body.

Abu Obayda and others narrated that women used to hang it down on their heads so that only their eyes could be seen. Similarly, women who used to cover their faces.

According to the authentic book, "And the Minkriana (a vorman in the state of Hizmu [soutisty]) should not cover her face, and should not wear gloves." So, if women are ordered to wear the outer garmen (fillulo, which crails covering the face, the face and the hands are therefore understood to be among the ornaments that the is content not to display before strangers. In addition to that, strangers are allowed to look at all these with the exception of costable collects.

Ibn Masoud mentioned the latter, whereas Ibn Abass mentioned the former. The verse allows a woman to display ornaments to her prohibited affinities and others, white the Prophet's saying only permiss it to the prohibited affinities. The verse mentions "their women, their slaves whom their right hands possess, or made servants free

of physical need", though a woman is not allowed to travel with any of them.

Allah says, "their women" so as to exclude the disbelieving women, for a disbelieving women so to to deliver a Muslim woman, nor to enter a bathroom with her. However, Jewish women used to walk in on A sish and others and they saw her face and hands, which into were not allowed to see.

Thus, the face and hands are understood to be among the

Thus, the face and hands are understood to be among the inward ornaments and displaying them would depend on who is to see them. Allah asys, "they should cust their outer garments over their persons (when abroad)", meaning a woman is to cover her neck, and so necklaces and the like are considered to be among the inward and not the outward ornaments.

#### Concealing women's private parts from men and women

Q: What about concealing women's private parts from

A: Allah's Prophet, peace and blessings be upon him, said, "A man should not see the private parts of another man, and a woman should out see the private parts of another woman." He also said, "Conceal your private parts except from your wife and from whom your right hand possesses (slaw-girl). I then asked: (what should we do), if the people are assembled together? He replied, If it is within your power that no one looks at it, then no one should look at it. I then asked: If one of us is alone (what should he do? He replied, Allah is more entitled than people that bashfulness should be

Concerning children, the Prophets said, "Command your children to pray when they become seven years old and beat them for it (prayer) when they become ten years old; and arrange their beds (to skep) separately," Thus, looking at swell as touching the private parts of others is forbidden on account of the obscenity and indecency of

As for concealing women from men, this is on account of the libido involved and this falls into two categories. There is yet a third category to be observed in prayer; if a woman prays alone, she must wear a vell, whereas she is allowed to walk hare-headed at home. Thus, taking the yetl during prayer is out of reverence to Allah.

Likewise, man is not allowed to walk around his boue maked, even if he is alone and at night, nor to pray nikked, even if he is alone. Therefore, wearing clothes during prayer is not to conceal oneself from people, as this is an entirely different matter. Hence, a man can cover certaint parts of his body while praying which he is allowed to leave uncovered when no praying.

Conversely, he is allowed to leave uncovered in prayer what he must conceal from men. The former refers to the shoulders, for instance, as the Prophet, peace and blessings be upon him, forbade a man to pray in a single garment that does not cover his shoulders. This is a must out of reverence to prayer, whereas man is allowed to moreover his shoulders before other men when not rawing.

Similarly, a woman must wear a veil while praying, as the Prophet, peace and blessings be upon him, said, Allah does not accept the prayer of a woman who has reached one to have to wear a veil. "However, a woman one have to wear a veil before her husband or her prohibited affinities. A woman is allowed to display inward ornamenses before them, but its not allowed more of the property of the prop

# Showing the face, the hands, and the feet before strangers

On the other hand, a woman is not allowed to display her hands and feet before strangers, according to the most valid of the two opinions, unlike what was followed before the verse was multified by Allah. She is only to display her cloims. However, she is not to cover them during prayer, as all Muslims unanimously agree. They can be displayed in prayer according to the majority of Likewise, according to Abs Marsifa, the feet can be displayed, which is the opinion most likely to be correct. According to A'tish, the feet can among its consumers, as such if that they should consider of the construction of

Om Salamah said, "A woman can pray in a shift that reaches down and covers the top of her feet," so that if she kneels down in prostration, the sole of her feet could be seen.

#### In conclusion:

It was proved both by the Que'an and by the unanimity of scholars that a woman does not have to wear a loose garment that covers her body even if she prays at home. However, she must wear it when she goes out. When she prays at home, her hands and face can be seen, as the private parts in prayer are not related to that private parts prohabiled to be looked at. the Massod, may Allab be pleased with hins, and that own owner demanters are eclotest. However, he did not say that the entire body of the woman is considered private strain, right down to be raudic. This is farther the epithics of Ahmad. So, this is a must for the validity of prayer. Socialism with the Cheeper as covering the private priva

#### Does a woman have to cover her hands in prayer?

Ordering women to cover their hands in priver is firfricted. When a woman kneet down in protration, the innest slown with her fine and her hands as well. In the days when the Prophet, pose and Otessage be upon him. Fired, women used to wear garments. They used to work in their garments. Thus, a women would show her hands when grading seeds, haveding bread and baking it. Had covering the hands been a must in prayer, the Prophet would have stated so. The same thing applies to the feet. However, a woman is only ordered to wear a well together with the garment. Hence, women used to prox in their garments and wells. As for the garment that used to ted down, the adact the Prephet, peace and blessings be upon him, about it and he said, "A hand span,." They sated, "What if it beaves their legs uncovered?" He said, "Then the length of a forestru and let them not horress it."

The Proplet was also asked about the woman who dragsthe garment behind never dirty places, he said, "Mhat follows partifies it." Yet, a woman does not wear such dragging shifts at home. Likewise, women haste began to went slippers to cover their legs when they went out, yet they never wore them at home. That's shy they asked, "What if it knews their legs unworred?" Hence, the purpose was to cover the legs, because if the garments were anti-high, the leg could be seen while walking.

It has also been narrated that the Prophet said that if the woman had no appropriate garment to wear; she is no suphome. Muslim women used to pray at home, yet the Prophet, peace be upon him, said, "Do not stop Allah" women alsees from going to Allah"s mostques yet it is better for direct to pray at home. "Mowever, the women better for direct to pray at home." Mowever, the women socks. Nor was abs restored to cover support or with socks. Nor was abs restored to cover support or with socks from the social properties of the social state of medical properties. The social state of the social state of miss where are strange men a round. It was also narrated, "The angels do not look at inward ornaments. So if a woman takes off her veil and her garment, they do not look at her," Khadija once narratetone of the Prophet's earlyon on this issue.

Therefore, a woman is only ordered to wear a veil when rapying, Simalerly, when a man prays in a loose shift, be is ordered to cover his private parts and shoulders. A man's shoulders are considered equivalent to woman's head, as a man prays in a shift or the file. When in a state of sancity (Immon), a mun is not to wear shift, and a woman is not to cover the face or wear glowes either. Similarly, a man is not to cover his head.

Scholars belonging to Immun Ahmad's school different concerning a woman's face. Some said, it is to be transit, it is to be rounded this a man's head and so is not to be covered. Others remainization, a woman's face is equivalent to a man's head and so must not be covered with special face wells that and so must not be covered with special face wells that the most valid, as the Proplets, peace and blessings be upon him, nother forthed woman to wear uplows and face well.

Women used to hang down on their faces pieces of cloth to cover them from men, without scually clothing the face since her face is equivalent to her hands and to a man's hands. As previously mentioned, the entire body of a woman is considered previate parts. Thus, she is allowed to cover her hands and face, but not using especially tailored close-fitting clothes. Likewise, a man is not to wear trausers but is to wear a loincloth.

# \* \* \* The uncovering of a woman's hair during prayer

Q: Would woman's prayer be invalidated if some of her hair was uncovered during prayer?

At If only a small portion of ber hair or her body was uncovered, she does not have to repeat the prayer, according to the majority of scholars, namely Abu Hamefia and Ahmad. Yet, if much of her body or her hair was uncovered, she is to repeat the prayer, according to all scholars, the four linams and others.

# A woman praying with the surface of her foot uncovered

Q: Is a woman allowed to perform prayer with the surface of her foot uncovered? A: This issue is debatable among scholars. Abu Hanerfa maintains that she can, though others believe otherwise.

# Sewing silk for men and women and getting paid for it. O: What about pure silk: is a tailor allowed to sew it for

men? Will the money he will be paid for the job be unlawful? Will be be condemned for it? Are clother not made of stilk but decorated with it allowed to be sold? It stilk allowed to be sewn for women?

At it is multawful in sew silk into unlawful outfits such as

A: It is unlikeful to see six mo unaward outras source as pure sith outrits for men when it is not war time, and not for purposes of remedy. This would be regarded as taking part in sinaing, which is similar to manufacturing gold and silver utensits, according to the most valid opinion among the majority of scholars.

This also applies to whatever involves photographly, reculting in the being unlawful to use. Again this applies to the making of ware. Money paid in return for unlawful to the making of ware. Money paid in return for unlawful to the second of the paid of the

Silk may be used in decorating men's clothes. Likewise.

according to the Prophetic tradition, it is allowed to edge outfits with silk stripes that are only five or six centimeters in width at most and the Prophet, peace be upon him, had a highlah refed with silk.

# Women's wearing kaffiehs

- Q: Are women allowed to wear kaffiehs? What are the criteria relied on in judging women's imitating men's wear? Should the criteria be based on the norms of the Prophet's days or are they to vary according to varying ages?
- A: Kaffichs which do not cover the hair that is hanging down loose are among men's wear. So for women to wear them is to initiate men. Dressing this way may have been puncered by women who meant to initiate men. A slave women may wear her hair in a single bread that things down between her shoulders, letting down locks of hair come to be the sound of the sound of the sound of the order to look like men. Though some free women may follow soit, they, in doing so, wrongirally initiate men.

Authentic books tell in detail how the Prophet has cursed women who imitate men and men who imitate women. He was also narrated to have said, "Effeminate men are cursed, and so are women who imitate men." The Prophet also ordered effeminate men to be bonished. Ashafel', Ahmad and others asserted that they are to

banished, maintaining that according to the Prophetic tradition, banishment is the punishment for adultery and effeminacy.

According to Sahhi Muslim, he said, "I have not yet red come across two causeries of Muslims who will have not yet red to tertured in Hellfire: women who are dressed yet naked, and who walk and who walk not stren with a sweiging gaid, they and and who walk not he had not he will know the walk around with whipe that the look. Bike tails of cows wherewith they lash Allah's servants.

He (may peace be upon lime) was narrated to have passed by Om Salamath; and saw her virging a band around her bend, so he said, "O Om Salamath, let it only once not virtee". He explained "women whom are dressed yet naked" as women who were germents that do not cover their bodies. They are bened exceed, yet still maked, to bedies not light controls that display he curves or diest bodies or tight controls that display he curves or diest are supposed to wear loose gowen that cover their bodies are supposed to wear loose gowen that cover their bodies and do not dissolve he size of their crops.

#### The criteria of imitating the other sex

Hence appears the criteria based on which the Prophet, neace he upon him, forbade men to imitate women and

scenar to imitate uson. This has nothing to do with what men or women choose to water of their habits of diressing, because had this been the case, it would have been acceptable to have a nations where men were accustomed to warring veils that cover their heads, thece and necks and gowns that would haug down bookly from their heads downwards displaying only the cyes and where women were in the habt of warring unbrus and object must possible and their contractions of the property of warring unbrus and the little. However, this runs contrary to the Qu'in, the Proporties synthegs and the unaimmontal agreet upons.

Allah, exalted be He, says, addressing women, "that they should draw their veils over their bosoms and not display their beauty except to their busbands". He also says, "Tell thy wives and daughters, and the

He also says, "Tell thy wrves and daugnters, and the believing women, that they should east their outer garments over their persons (when abroad): that is most convenient, that they should be known (and such) and not molested." Also "and make not a dazzling display, like that of the former times of Ignorance:"

Had the difference between men and women's wear been based on their habits and tastes, it would not have been a must for them to east their outer garments over their persons, not to draw their veils over their bosons, no would it have been forbidden or 'make a dazzing display, like that of the former times of Ignorance' since those were the habits of people then.

However, no particular outfit is made obligatory based on the usual costume of the Prophet's days or the habits of men and women's wear then, so that none but this particular outfit would be permissible. Women in those days used to wear gowns with trains that would trial behind them whereas men were ordered to wear only ankle-length outfits.

Thus, when the Proplet forbade men to wear long outfils that would bang down, be was asked, "What about women?" He said, "They are to wear garanents that would bang down only a few linches, i.e. equal to the span of the hand. "They said, "Their legs would hence be shown." He said, "Then it should be an ell and no more."

Apart from that, if a woman wears trousers or huge hard

shoes over which a long loose garment hangs down in such a way that does not display the size of her feet, this will have achieved the intended purpose. Conversely, soft close-fitting shoes that display the size of the feet are among men's weat.

Similarly, a women is allowed to wear manly coats and fairs if she needs to in order to warm up in cold weather. So if it is claimed that women frend did not use to wear furs, the answer will be that this depends on whether there is a need to. Cold countries call for thick clothing for

warmth, which are not required in hot countries.

Thus, the difference between men and women's wear is based on what is fit for each of them and what will enable each of them to fulfill what they are commanded to do. Women are commanded to take the veil and not to show their beauty publicly.

They are thus not allowed to call for prayer, to make devotional calls (rabbiya), to go up the Safa and Marwa Mounts nor to undress in Bram (the state of sanctity) the way men are commanded to.

Man, on the other hand, is commanded to uncover his head and not to wear conventional tight-fitting clothes, such as shirts, trousers, shower robes, nor shoes. However, since he needs to cover his private parts, wear stone clothes to walk around in, he is permitted to wear trousers if he cannot find shirts and to wear shoes it he cannot find shirts and to wear shoes it he cannot find shirts and to wear shoes it These are therefore alternatives to meet the general need in contrast to what man needs to meet some private needs such as cold weather or illness, cases that call for paying a ranson. That is why Abe Hanelfa ruled out this deduction and many disagreed with it depending on the authentic Prophetic sayings and because of the difference between both cases.

#### Women's wearing turbans

Q: Is it unlawful for women to wear turbans? What kind of turbans are women recommended to wear? Are they allowed to wear close-fitting shoes?

A: These turbans that women wear are unlawful. The prophet, peace

be upon him, is narrated to have said, "I have not yet come across two categories of Muslims who will be totrured in Hellfire, women who are dressed yet naked, and who walk and strut with a swinging gaid, they also close to it, and men who walk around with whips that look like tails of cows wherewith they lash Allah's servants."

#### Is a Christian woman to be buried among Muslims?

Q: A Christian woman was married to a Muslim, and was seven months pregnant when she died. Is she to be buried in Muslim's or Christians' cemetery?

A: She is not to be buried either in Muslims' or in Christians' cometery since both a Muslim and a Christian are involved and a Muslim is not to buried among the disbelievers, nor is a disbeliever to be buried among Muslims

The Christian woman is to be buried alone with her back facing the Qibla, since the baby's face is towards his mother's back. Burying the woman this way, the Muslim baby will be facing the Qibla. A baby is born Muslim if his father is Muslim, even if his mother is not, as unanimously agreed on by all scholars.

#### Zakah Zakah of Jewelry

O: Is sewelry subject to Zakah?

A: According to Malek, Allayeth, Ashafei', Ahmad and Abu Obayd, no Zakah is due on women's Jeweiry. On the other hand, Marwei Ibn Omar, Ibn Masoud, Ibn Abbas, Ibn Omar and others maintain that Zakah I due on women's jewelry. Regarding men's adornants, no Zakah is due on levelul adornances and ha swords adornances and silver adadornances and has awords adornances and silver consolis, no Zakah is due. Concerning adornances were a point of controversy such as believes and shelled adornances, obligating Zakah was again a basel of contention. According to Malek and Ashafei', Zakah is she on them and they are declared unlawful to keep.

Abu. Haneifa and Ahmad declared them lawful adornments provided they are made of silver. As for horses adornments, such as saddles and bridles, the majority of wholars maintain that Zakah is ordained on them.

Malek, Ashafei and Ahmad proclaimed them unlawful to keep. Likewise, Zakah is due on inkwells, kohl containers and things of the sort, be they made of silver or gold.

#### Zakah on a woman's dowry

Q: If a woman is unable to demand her downy of her husband for years less this should cause a falling-out, then she is compensated for he by an estate or she is pad the full dowry years later. Is she to pay due Zakah for the previous years or is she only to pay a year's Zakah 12 months after she was paid her dowry?

A: Scholars differed on this issue.

Some maintained: Zakah of the previous years is to be paid, be the husband rich or poor, according to one of the two opinions in Ashafel and Ahamd's schools.

Others believed: Zakah of the previous years is due only if the husband is rich and if she has actually been paid the dowry. Yet, if this is not the case, no Zakah is due, according to the second opinion in their school.

Others claimed: Zakah is due for only one year, according to Malek and one of the opinions in Ahmad's school.

Others thought: No Zakah is due at all, according to Ahn Haneifa and one of the opinions in Ahanad's school.

The most far-fetched opinion is the one obligating paying a Casha fir the previous years, even when a woman has ma been paid ber downy. This opinion is meralid. Ordaning a Casha for what one has not paid is against the Sharia Besides, with the years, Zasha can exceed the organi sum of money, Moorever, if the appointed som on which on the properties of money of the properties of the properties of money of the properties of the properties of money and the properties of the prop

The opinion believed to be most valid is the one that deem Zokah not due except after a whole year as of the date of payment or the one obligating one year's Zakah to be paid upon getting the dowry. This opinion obviously rests on solid grounds, and is adopted by Abu Haneifa, Malek and a group of scholars belonging to Ahmad's school.

#### Can the grandmother be a legitimate recipient of Zakah if in debt?

Q: What about a poor woman who was in debt and had in her custody young grandchildren (her daughter's children) who had money. Are they allowed to pay Zakah to their grandmother? Does she come first on the list of worthy reciplents?

A: According to the most valid of scholars' opinions, they are allowed to pay their Zakah to her or to any of their relatives so they could pay back their debt.

As for paying her the Zakah to help her afford their daily bread, if she can afford the expenses of raising them or others, she is not to be paid the Zakah. However, if she needs Zakah, she is thus a legitimate recipient and is morality can others.

#### Fasting

#### Is a pregnant woman, who is in no pain, allowed not to fast for the safety of her baby?

- Q: If a pregnant woman was spotting on regular basis and midwives advised her not to fast for the safety of the buby, yet she was in no pain, would she be allowed not to fast?
- At If a pregnant woman fears for her baby, she is allowed not on fast provided that she fast an equal number of days later and to distribute a rott of bread and accompanying food among poor people equal in number to the days she did not fast in Raniadan.

#### What is a fasting Muslim allowed to do? What would break his fasting and what would not?

- Q: What about rinsing the mouth, sniffing water, tooth cleansers, tasting food, vomiting, bleeding, wearing perfume and kohl?
- A: Scholars unanimously agree that a fasting Muslim can runse his mouth and sniff water. The Prophet, peace be upon him, and his companions used to rinse their mouths

-

and stuff water while fasting. Yet, he said to Loquy this Saleth, "Thoroughly suff vuster unless you are fasting," He did not forthol him to suff water but forbole him to overdo ft. Likewise, it is untaintootly agreed that it is permissible used to the suffer that the permissible to the permissibl

Tasting food: is not recommendable if uncalled for but does not break one's fasting, unlike rinsing one's mouth which is called for.

Vomiting: if one deliberately causes himself to vomit, it horses one's fastine. However, if one yomits against

Wearing perfume: does not break one's fasting as unanamously agreed on.

one's will it does not

Bleeding: bleeding that cannot be helped such as bleeding induced by injuries, or cases of brandymenorrhoea or nove

bleeding does not break one's fasting. Yet, it is unanimously agreed that menstruation and postnital bleeding breaks a woman's fasting.

As for having one's blood drawn, it has been debatable among scholars whether this breaks one's fasting, yet it is generally believed to do. Similarly, bloodletting or phlehotomy has equally been debatable. According to one onimon. it is regarded as equivalent to having one's blood drawn and so does break one's fasting.

Concerning kohl, Ahmad and Malek believe that like perfume, it breaks one's fasting only if it reaches inside one's head, whereas Abu Hancifa and Ashafei' believe in does not.

#### \* A man who could not fast or pray right before his death.

.

Q: A man was incapacitated by illness during Ramadan and could not fast or pray, then died. His parents are still alive though. If they fast and pray for him, will they have performed the obligation for him? Does be have to explicitly request this in his will?

A: If the man took ill and could not perform the obligations, his parents only have to feed noor people in compensation. As for prayer, no one has to pray on behalf of another. However, if one of his parents voluntarily prays or fasts on behalf of their dead son, he is rewarded

#### Refraining from going to extremes in worshipping Allah

### O: What about going to extremes in worshipping Allah?

A: A man heard the Prophet, peace be upon in, as saying,
"David's prayer was the most pleasing to Allah, and
David's fasting was the most pleasing to Allah, and
David's fasting was the most pleasing to Allah; be used
to sleep half of the night, pray its third and sleep its
sixth and be used to fast every other day."

So he pledged to fast every other day and continued to do so for over a year. The man was married and had children, which required him to preserve his beatht and vitality. The man next grew keener on memorizing verses of the Qur'an, so he kept learning verses and repeauing them every day.

He also got in the babit of praying a large portion of the might and green more crudination to meetshipping Alcib. As a result, the difficulty of fasting together with the difficulty of regording and memorizing verses of the Qur'an coupled with the exhaustion entailed by devined worshipping of Allish, though he was young man with zest and vitality, all resulted in a state of distraction, severe headsche and slow comprehension in the sense that he became unable to grazy the meaning of whatever be hears. Thus exhaustion could be zero in this sutaken yes.

Yet, his devotion in worshipping Allah brought some light into his life. The man refused to quit fasting in order to honor the pledge he made to Allah and for fear that this

#### light in his life should fade away.

Westerver any of the well-wread men of religion med of dissouls him from personning in the weekle Sq. 1 was to half myself for the sake of Alahi. So does this finding, given the state he is please Alahi, exhaulted he Her'd ris a six of recommendable in the man entailed to what the six is not recommendable in the man entailed to the six Should be not preceive; he jolypical and mental health in order to be able to perform the obligations and support his children us as to please Alahi? Would not he processed on the six of the six of the processed of the six of the mental to the processed of the six of the processed on the six of the six of the six of the processed of the mental six of the six of the six of the processed of the six of the six of the six of the six of the processed of the six of t

#### A woman going on a pilgrimage without an unmarriageable kin.

Q: Can a woman go on a pilgrimage unaccompanied by an unmarriageable kin?

A: If the woman is elderly, no longer menstruates, has given up all hope of getting married and has no unmarrageable kin, she can go on a pilgrimage in the company of someone she trusts, according to Malek, Ashafet' and one of the two opinions in Ahmad's school.

#### Can a woman go on a pilgrimage on behalf of another?

O: Can a woman go on a pilgrimage on behalf of another?

As Scholars unminosoly agreed that a woman can perform a pigirming on bhall of another wouns, he she het daughet or any other woman. Smilarly, according perform the pigirming on tokall of a man. Their project, peace be upon him, octored a woman from Karli min perform the pigirming on tokall of a man. Their project, peace be upon him, octored a woman from Karli min of the project of the project. Also has obligated pilgriminge on III is servant when my father was an ordered her to preform the pigirminge with of the contection of the project of the pigirming of the other possible of the project of the other project of the project of the project of the project of the other project of the p

Can a woman who has already performed the pilgrimage go on a pilgrimage on behalf of a dead nerson and be paid for it?

Q: what about a woman who has already been on a pilgrimage and was asked to perform the pilgrimage on behalf of a dead person in return for money. Would she

be allowed to do so?

A: She is allowed to perform the pilgrimage for a dead person in return for money to be accepted with the intention of performing the obligation on his behalf, as unanimously agreed on. However, if the money is paid for hiring the woman, scholars were of two opinions.

The first: Ashafel' mantained that she can take the money. That second: Abu Hanerfa mannamed that she cannot. If this woman who has already been on a pligitimage intends to perform the obligation on behalf of the dead person, she would be rewarded for it. However, if she was solely after the money, she would be deprived of any reward.

### Circumambulation of the Sacred House made by a menstruating woman

Q: What is the judgment of a woman who circumambulates the Sacred House in her menses?

At According to one of the two opinions of the scholars who claim text purification is obligatory for circumanbulation, if a menstrating swoman, or any one who is rutually impure due to seminal discharge, nanor impurity i.e. not taking abbuilon, or because of carrying importsy in general, circumanbulates the Sacred House will be sufficient for him/her and will be required to

sacrifice either a sheep in the case of minor impurity or a she-camel in the case of menstruation or impurity due to semiral discharge.

Ferbidding a mentratating woman to extramorbulation may be accorded for the first had circumstrubulation may be accorded for the first had circumstrubulation resembles. Proyer, or because the is forbidden to neather the mongon in the case of circumstrubulation, exactly as in the case of observing Pidal Juschalon for a certain time in the mongue for devoting Alath the Almighty said to Prophed Abritham [Britham] what menne: "...md sanctify My House for those who compass it round, or stand up, or bow, or prostrate themselves (therein in prayer)." (22:26)

As Allah ordered Prophet Abraham to purify the sanctify i.e. purify the Sacred House to accommodate the doers of the above-mentioned kinds of devotion, a menstruating symman is forbidden to enter it.

The scholars, however, agreed on the opinion that circumantbulation cannot be treated like Prayer in terms of what is kawful and what is probibited, and that circumantbulation cannot be annulted by the same acts that nullify Prayer, such as caling, drinking, speaking what is alien to the words of Prayer, etc.

For those who hold that a menstruating woman is forbodden to circumambulate the Sacred House because she is not permitted to enter it at the first place, not because that she is not permitted to make circumambulation leself, they claim that purity is not a condition for circumambulation, rather a menstruating woman can circumambulate the Sacred House in case of necessity, as the sa permitted to enter the monome in case of necessity.

Allah ordered Prophet Abraham to purify the Sacred House to accommodate those who circumambulate it, those who observe Pilkafa int, and those who porform Prayer in st. According to the agreement of Muslim sebolars, purify from minor impority i.e. making ablution, is not a mercenulate for observine Pilkafa.

A woman who observes Pitals fluen has her menses during her stay in the mouge may confine her stay! fishe set in the stay in the mouge may confine her stay! fishe si driven by mecosity. As for the phrase "...or how, or prostrate themselves (therein in presery)," it indicates that the observers of Prayer, for which parity as a prerquistle, seconding to the unaimmost agreement of Muslim scholars. However, a menstrating woman is not required to perform Pareyr, edither the Paryers in the unse of her mentraturion, nor the Prayers is the time of her mentraturion, nor the Prayers is the sime of her mentraturion.

Another question is raised with regard to one who circumambulates the Sacred Hose, shall be be treated like one who observes l'tikaf or like one who performs Prayer in terms of the requirement of purity? This question

opened a large scale exercise of Jülhand Jesercise of discretionary opinion based on Our'n and Sunnah. As for the saying that "circumambalation of the Sacred House is like the property of th

No doubt what is meant here is that circumstabulated resembles Prayer in some aspects, but not dut at it said of Prayer, for which purity as a must. The same applies to the report: "If any of you attend the mosque, he should not interlock this hands, as he will be regarded in Prayer." Continuous Prayer, we long as he is bound by the manners of Prayer, waiting for the next Prayer, or heading for Prayer."

Briefly pat, according to the agreement of Muslim scholars, a woman-thoule not circumstudies the Sacred House, unless the is friutily pure, as much as the cas. If the school house while pure, as much as the cas. If the school house while pure, as much as the cas. If the school house circumstudiation. Suc should wait until the becomes friend that the school house of the school house the school h

## Attending 'Arafah by a menstruating woman

Q: What is the judgment of a menstruating woman who attends 'Arafah?

A: A menstruating woman can attend 'Arafah. One can attend 'Arafah walking or riding. The best of these is determined according to the condutions of people. If rading is preferable to a man, so that the people, who are m seed of him, may see him, or because walking is difficult for hum, he may attend 'Arafah while riding, as the Prophet (searce bupon him) once attended 'Arafah wille riding.

#### A weak woman who spends the night in Muzdaiifah

Q: What is the judgment of a weak woman who spends the night in Muzdalifah?

A. According to the Prophetic Samash, a make pajerin should spend the right in Muzikalish and walt usual it is dawn, then perform the Morning Prayer and attend the Markin Art. Harman, in which he should say until he seek before sameet. If the piligrim is of the weak, such as women and children, he/side should haste no Mira sher the set of the moon, Strong piligrims should not leave for the properties of the dawn, performing the Morning Prayer, standing by Muzdalifah, since all the area of Muzdalifah is a station, however, standing by Mount Quzah is more preferable. There hes the place that more turies call 4L-Mork or 4L-Horne.

AI-Mash at At-Haram.

#### Circumambulation made by one who is ritually impure due to menstruation, seminal discharge or due to minor impurity i.e. not making ablution

Q: What is the judgment of one who circumambulates the Sacred House while being ritually impure due to menstruation, seminal discharge or due to minor impurity i.e. not making ablution?

As it was reported after the Project (spece be upon him) the sair. \*A measurating women prefrom all rituals except circumanbulstan of the Sixred Hones. \*He (spece to upon him) said of "A rhabs, who was her remease. \*Do what a piligrim does, except for circumanbulstan of the Sixred Hones. \*The (spece "Do what a piligrim does, except for circumanbulstan of the Sixred Hones. \*The Sixred Hones of the Sixred Hones. \*The Sixred Hones of the Sixred Hones which the Sixred Hones of the Sixred Hones of the Sixred Hones which the Sixred Hones which the Sixred Hones which the Birth Sixred Hones which the Intelligent Hones of the Sixred Hones which force mode, the Sixred Hones which force mode.

It was not reported that he (peace be upon him) ordered home who ercommendate the Sacred Homes on make ablation or avoid impurities, while he ordered show who were going to Prayer to make abulation. The forbibliotics of circumanibation by a mentarisative would want use because of materiality, the prediction of the properties because of materiality, the prediction of the properties contained by the properties of the properties contained by the properties of the properties contained by the properties of the properties of because it is totally forbibles to enter the mosque, cuber so anositor by it or styling in it.

The forbiddince of a mentranting woman to circumanubate the Sacred House might also because of the probletion of circumanubalistic on the part of a mentranting woman, just as the as probibited to perform Partyer or observe Passing, which is proved by Qur'an, Sunsah and the consensus of opinion, to tooch the Holy Qur'an, according to once of the two variant opinions of scholars.

Those who prohibited the recisation of the Holy Qur'an by a menstrating woman, such as the famous opinion of Imam Ahmad and the opinions of Imam Ah-Shaffi and Imam Ab-Hamfih, disputed over the permissibility or recitation of the Holy Qur'an by a menstraturing woman and one who suffers from pureperal bleeding before washing but after the cessation of bleeding into three opinions:

- It is permissible for a woman to recite the Holy Qur'an after the cessation of menstrual or puerperal blood, and before the ritual bashing. Tails the chosen opinion of the judge Abu Ya'lla and the surface meaning of the opinion of Imam Almand.
  - Recitation of the Holy Qur'an by a woman after the cessation of menstrual or puerperal blood, and before performing a ritual bathing is totally forbidden.
    - It is permissible for a woman to recite the Holy Qur'an following childbirth, while a menstruating woman is prohibited.

As for the probabition of a mentionality events to entire the mesque or pass by it, it may be a probabilist of each tet respectively, i.e. staying or passing by the mosque, or it is only probable to contain both and as it the same truns, and the same truns, and the same truns, and the same truns, and the same truns and the same truns

But I am menstruating. He replied: "Your menstruation on in your hand."

Maimunah, the Prophet's wife, said: The Prophet (peace be upon him) was used to put his head in the lap of any of us, while she was menstruating, and would recite the Holy Qur'an. Any of us might spread the Prophet's cover to him in the mesouse, while she was menstruating.

It was narrated by Abu Dawud on the authority of "A"thish that the Prophet (peace be upon him) said: "il do not permit one who is ritually impure due to semunal discharge or a menstruating woman to enter the mosque."

To recordic between this haddh and the earlier ones, many scholars, such as human AL-Shalfi, Jimana Almad and others differentiated between the case of passing by the mosque and that of staying in the mosque and saying in it by a mentaring woman, such as limit and Jiman Malik. Others did not forbade passing by the mosque, on the basis of the Quartin versie: "...our in a state of ecremonial impurity (except when travelling on the road), until after washing your when the articles of the Particles of the Particles

Imam Ahmad and others permitted the stay in the mosque for the sake of performing ablution. This opinion is supported by the marration of 'Ata' bin Yasar, who said: I saw men of the Prophet's Companions stay in the mosque while they were ritually impure due to seminal discharge, after performing ablution like that made before Prayer.

Penhaps this was because the mosque is most frequence by the angles, who cannot enter a glore where a finally impure person is present. For this reason the Prophet (queen be upon him forthes a risually impure man to sleep in the mosque. It was reported after "A'shah that she said: If any of you have antercourse with his wife, a blood on sidep unless he performs ablation like that make before Prayer. Who knowl! He may die in his skep hi anadher hadith it was reported; because if he skeps (while being rumble framer the annels will bet start but for the side of the rumble framer the annels will bet start but for the side of the mail the more than annels will bet start but for the side of the mail for the number will be such as the side of the side of the mail for the number will be such that the side of the side of the mail for the number will be such that the side of the

The Prophet (peace be upon him) ordered one who was rritually impure due to seminal discharge to perfect due to seminal discharge to perfect abundance of the peace of the pea

However, if one has a manor impurity, or an impurity lesser than major impurity, be will not be treated as one who as rinustly impure due to sentinal discharge, in the sense that the angels will not abstain from witnessing his funeral, should be dies in has sleep, and that he will be permitted to sleep and stay in the mostore.

This indicates that ritual impurity can be divided into parts, some of which may be removed from the parts of the body which are washed or wiped with the water of ablution. This is the coinion of the majority of scholars.

As regards a menstruating woman, whose impurity is comimous, is legally excused to stay, steep and eat in the mosque, without making ablution. She is not forbodden to do what a ritually impure one is forbidden to do, in case of necessity.

On this basis, the preferable opinion of scholars is that a mentarustang owns may receive the Hoy Qu's in if she is in need of it. This is the opinion of Imana Maliki, one of the two opinions of Imana Maliki, one of the two opinions of Imana Albanii and autrations after Imana Almand. This is in the case a mentarustang owns or support the interest Imana Patramia of the case with one with the interest Imana Patramia of the case with one with it intally improve due to semmal discharge, despite the fact the air her impurity is greater than the former, in the sense that the cannot observe Fasting before the cessation of blood, while a rimally impure person can.

If it is argued that a menstruating woman is forbidden to observe Prayer before the cesastion of blood, no matter she makes purification or not, and that her husband is prohibited to have intercourse with her, which entails more strict forbiddance on her part to enter the mosque or stay in It, this can be answered by the argument that a forbidden act may be permitted in case of necessity, such as the permission to drink blood and eat carrion and swine flesh in case of necessity.

Furthermore, some other acts which, though are less strictly prohibited, but cannot be permitted without necessity, such as wearing silk garments by men, drinking in end or silver wessels, etc.

It is more strictly forbidden to pray to a direction other than the Orbida, no pray with the private parts uncovered, or to pray while there is an impurity on one's body or gamment. However, all of these may be permitted, or even become obligatory in case of encessity. Other less strictly prohibited acts cannot be permitted unless in case of necessity, such as recitation of the Holy Our's no.

A ritually impure person due to a seminal discharge whose impurity is continuing because of his inability to make ritual washing or trayamment flyr ablution) shall be treated as the case of the menstruating woman mentioned previously, despite his occurs rarely.

The Prophet ordered menstruating women to get out on the occasion of 'Ids and wincess the celebration and good invocations made by people and pronounce takbir [Saying: Allahu Akbar (Allah is the Greatesti)].

The Prophet also ordered menstruating and confined women to make thrain, Talbyah, witness 'Arafah while pronouncing remembrance of Allah and supplicating Him, throwing pebbles while remembering Allah, etc. All these are not disapproved for a menstrusting or a confined woman, rather they are obliged to do that. A person who is ritually impute due to seminal discharge is discouraged to do these acts until he has a ritual washing, as he can this easily, which is not the case of a menstrusting woman.

The forbidance of a measurating woman to circumarelate the Secret House is justified with the cause of maritaling the sacretity of the mosque. Thus said once of the Handland schools, and see slood of hema Asha practice to be done before earling the mosque, but not an practice to be done before earling the mosque, but not an saving that one circumstrated the most precipious to enter it. This justification has more in common with the saving that one circumstrated into the house about a but may be a second or some contract of the saving that one circumstrated into the most and saving that one circumstrated into the most marital state them by justing a marital state them by justing a marital state them by justing a large of the most properties.

Abdullah said in his Manasik: I asked Shu'bah bin Hammad and Mansur about circumanbulating the Sacred House without making ablution. They saw no harm in that. Abdullah said: I asked my father about that and he said: I prefer making ablution before circumanubulation, snee circumanubulation is like Praver.

There are two narrations after Imam Ahmad regarding the purification: whether it is a prerequisite of circumambulation or not. He also had to narrations regarding the necessity of purification during circumambulation.

Some Hanafi scholars maintained that purification is not obligatory before circumambulation, rather a supercogatory act. Neglecting purification, however, entails offering a sacrifice.

Those who argued that a person who has not mule shadoon can make circumsurbation, may justify the forbiddance from circumsurbation by maintaining the sacety of the mose, not because of circumsurbation and offers, which is not the case with Prayer. The key of Prayer is prefitacion, in sanctify begins soon after pronouncement of vasificacying, and the pronouncement of vasificacying and the pronouncement of vasificacying and a state of the pronouncement of vasificacying and Atlah's Weety and lateral lash greater than the pronouncement of vasificacying and Atlah's Weety and the pronouncement of vasificacying and Atlah's Weety and the pronouncement of the p

It was said that people were forbidden to circumsimbulate the Sacred House while being nude, because of manufactures as another of the mosque on one hand, and to saveid the sight of people on the other.

The proponents of this opinion said that the place of circumantoutation is considered the most honorable places of Prayer, which cannot be void of pdgrims. Allah the Almighty said: "...Wear your beautiful apparel at every time and older of Prayer, G(31)"

Thus a beautiful apparel should be worn when entering places of Prayer i.e. mosques. This is not the case with Prayer itself, as a worshipper should cover his body for the purpose of Prayer, which may be performed at any place. If one performs Prayer individually ma dark house, he will be required to cover himself for the purpose of Prayer. As for circumanthylation, it cannot be made unless in the Sacred House. Pikiaf also can be made in any morouse but cannot he made elsewhere.

Based on the above, a menstruating woman and a person who is ritially impure due to semisal discharge are pat probabled to circumarmbulate the Sacred House, as it is not profibated to make circumarmbulation without performing ablation, for they both are not probibated to enter the mosque, they will be entitled to that right more than the mosque, they will be entitled to that right more than except the property of the control of the mosque, they will be entitled to that right more than except having no except to be of the will be ablation, except having no except to do that.

Consider the case of one who has not performed abhation, who is forbidden to perform Parey or touch the Holy Qur'an, despire his shillipy to make purification. Consider also that these acts are permissible to a person who is ritually impure due to seminal discharge. If he cannot make abhaton, he may perform Parey without ceitiber washing nor Tayammum, according to one of the two openions made by shothers or that appeared. This first farmer about the transcription of the schools of Innam Al-Shaff'i and Innam Alundi.

It was also reported that some of the Companions performed Prayer while being ritually impure due to seminal discharge, before the Qur'anie verse regarding Tayammum was revealed.

### Forbidding a menstruating woman to observe Fasting in Ramadan

A menimizating vosition is not required to fast during her menters. She may instead make up for the days she did not fast in a month other than Rumadan. A traveler and a sick person who, dought are able to fast, are given concession to make up for massed days in a month other than Rumadia. A neutrating woman, then, who is originally nethodised no otherwer Fasting is more entitled to shide by days of Fasting for one month only. If the staffers Inhibaded (continual vaginal bleeding.) she is permitted to observe Fasting.

# Forbidding a menstruating woman to perform Prayer

Prayer is a recurrent obligation repeated five times daily, mentration hindsers from performing Prayer. If it is argued that a menerutating woman may perform Prayer in case of necessity, thus mentration on will not be regarded as a hindrance of Prayer in all cases, it will be deduced as a hindrance of Prayer in all cases, it will be deduced Prayer, which is not correct, since Prayer is so secred that it cannot be performed by a mentarutating woman. The Prayer performed while woman is fruitally pure is, thus, regarded a compensation of the Prayer missed while she was ritually impure.

Argument:

k is argued that if circumambulation if permitted during menstruation, both Prayer and Fasting will also be permitted during menstruation, which can never occur. Refutation:

Fasting during menstransies is ultimately unnecessity, since the obligation of Senting is limited to over month only, which can be made up for in any mental, aboud one and the contract of th

Supercogatory Prayer is not permitted at times when performing Prayer is disapproved, unless it is a causal Prayer i.e. that is performed for a certain need. The preferable opinion is that a causal Prayer is permitted at times of the disapproval of performing Prayer, since if it is delayed until the time of disapproval passes, it may miss its purpose.

For this reason, a woman who suffers from Istihadah [continual vaginal bleeding] is permitted to perform Prayer

and observe Fasting, since she has a continuing excuse

If Prayer is permitted during mentionation, it will not be a hindrane from Prayer in all eases, since mentionation is concurrent with all women. The Prophet (peace be upon milm said to 74/shaho nonerring mentionation "All in preserved it on the diaghters of Adam." i.e. all females. If the Prophet permitted women to perform Prayer during mentionation, it will be equally permissible to perform it during mentionation and after purification.

Furthermore, if all acts of devotion are permitted during menstration, it, will not be a hisdrance any more, though the ritial imputity due to seminal discharge and mitor impurity, i.e. the state of being without abulation, are considered a hisdrance, which entails a great contradiction. If all acts of devotion become probabile during menstratation, except for Prayer, it will be an even greater contradiction.

Thus a menstruating woman is not permitted to perform Prayer, as she can do that after she becomes ritually pure, which is her predominant condition. She is permitted, however, to pronounce Talbyah, remembrance of Allah and supplication as much as she wishes.

A meretruating woman is ordered to wash herself, since the Prophet (peace be upon him) ordered Asma' to take a ritual bath upon making Ibram, when the former suffered puerperal bleeding following the burth of Muhamund bin Abb Baker. He (peace he upon him) also ordered all women o follow sunt. He further ordered 'A'ishah, when she

He (pace be upon hum) also permined menstruance women to protocource Tallysh, attend "Arrafa, supplicate Allah and emember Him without a need to take a ritical but ne perform ashabiton. Contarty to persons who are ritically impure due to seminal discharge, all these acts will not be disapproved on their part of meneraturality women. This is because they are in reed to do that, and ritial bashing or ablation, cannot temore their condinators that have the contact that the condinators are the condinators and bashing much before libram, for it is made for the take of clearliness, and as a the bashing on Tallotta.

A question is raised here: Can Tayammum be a compensation of such kinds of bathing, as well as the washing of a deceased when water is lacking?

There are two opinions, one is for and the other is against. The previously mentioned bathing i.e. which woman do even the is mentranating or confined, is not like risual bathing made after risual impurity due to senting the after risual risual

As a menstruating woman is forbidden to perform Prayer, but not forbidden to say remembrance of Allah without disapproval, the difference becomes clear between when in manded and urbat to not m

#### Argument

A ritually impure person due to seminal discharge and into who is not taking ablution are permitted to say all kinds of remembrance of Allah, thus there is no restriction is this

# Refutation:

A rausily impure person due to seminal discharge is forbidden to recite the Holy Qur'an. It is disapproved too him to make Adhan [call for Prayer,] defirer a sermon or to sleep without performing ablution. It is also disapproved for him to perform the rituals without purification, despite his ability to make purification.

It is also recommended to one who is not taking ablume to make purification before the remembrance of Allah. The Prophet (speace be upon him) said: "I did not like to remember Allah windoot being finally pure." A menstruating woman, however, is not recommended to do any of these things. At the same time, the remembrance has makes without partification, is not disapproved, due to the unnettroupted reports in the Prophete Sunnah which supported that.

# Recitation of the Holy Qur'an by a

menstruating woman

Scholars disputed over this question. There is no proof from the Sunnah that forbids a menstruating woman av-

recite the Holy Qur'an. As for the hadith: "Neither a summarising woman, nor that who becomes ritually impore due to sentinal discharge is permitted to recite any portion from the Holy Qur'an," this narration is judged as weak by the scholars of hadith studies. It is not traced back to the Pronder foscar be unon him)

If recitation of the Holy Qur'an is prohibited to mensionating women, the Prophet (peace be upon him) would have prohibited women in his time and the judgment would have been conveyed to the Muslim community through the Mothers of Believers i.e. the Prophet's ways.

# Menstruation and I tikaf (Seclusion in a Mosque for worship)

This also indicates that prohibiting a mentrurating woman to circumstundiate is just like prohibiting her to seclude in the mosque because of its sacredoess. Otherwise, mentruration does not invalidate bet secluston, because it is out of her hand. The mosque is prohibited for her and not seclusion, because the is not of her hand. The mosque is prohibited for her and not seclusion, because the is not obliged so appeared of continuous mentruration, the mosque would have been permissible for the mentruration, the mosque would have been permissible for the mentruration women.

As for circumambulation, it is not to be performed except in the Sacred Mosque as it is restricted to a certain place It is not like l'tikaf, for it is permissable for the person who secluded hunself to get out of the mosque for necessities such as alleviating the call of nature and cating and drinking.

When he is out of the mosque, he is still in the state of seclusion, and it is not permissible for him to have intercourse with his wife at Allah said: "Do not associate with your wives while you are network in the mosques" is related to the phrate "in the mosques" is related to the phrate "in the mosques" is the construction of the control of the c

As long as this act resembles I 'bitaf, and is in permisoble for a mensiturating worman to get out for recently accessed to the property of the property of the property accessed to cleaning and savethying His House as He tands "Smartly My Bussel for those who compass it round to use it is a retreat, or how, or prostrate themselves (therein in Prava).

Preventing menstruating women to enter the mosque is one of the signs of its purity and sancuty. Circumanabulation is just like I' tikaf not prayer, because prayer could be performed in any part of the earth and is not restricted to a certain mosque. During prayer, certain acts are prohibited while during circumambulation or I' tikaf, they are not.

#### Summary

In fact, circumanbutation is an act of devotion that is not related to Bram. Therefore, obligatory circumanbutation is only due after the first part of Haji. The piliprim is to circumanbutate as Altah said: Then let them complete the rites preserved for them, perform their vows, and (again) circumanbutate the Ancient House. Pilgrams circumanbutate after they have limited the first part of Haji and nothing is prohibited for them except having intercourse with their vives.

If a pligram has intercourse during this period, has Hay is not millified according to the unaimmous agreement of malified according to the unaimmous agreement of Muslims scholars. If it has been an act of worship, it is researced to the Sacred Moopue, but Tolkaf may be done in all mosques. Allth enjoined that has House be sanctified and purified for those who circumstambulate, scaled themselves in it, how and prostrate, circumstambulation is not a type of prayer. When one does not perform any of the rimain required in Haji or 'Unrash, he should shugsher an animal (a) sheep, pout or a carnelly

If he does not perform an obligatory act during circumambulation, this is a point of discourse where wholars exert their efforts to much a judyment.

Is it similar to the one who does not perform any of the rituals required in Hajj or 'Umrah? Is this judgment applicable only in case of ignoring an undependent ritual? Or ignoring it while he is able to do it? Or ignoring a ritual closely related to Hajj and 'Umrah'.

There are claims that when a women is unable to circummulature because of mentranaion, the shauld return home while the is still in her state of Barna, treated jour like those who are prevented from performing High (Mehrar). High is no longer obligatory on her, or the obligatory circummulation is not due on their, all such calaims do not conform with the fundamental still reduce the conformation. I know none of the fination who declivered such ruling as in this case.

As for the saying of some lmans that she should slaughter an animal or return home in her state of Brazm, his is a generalized saying only applicable on their age. In such age, a woman could stay in Mecca until mensitration is over and she can perform circumambulation accordingly. The scholars of this age used to order those responsible to the Haji journey to stay in Mecca until menstruatura, women are pure and they perform circumambulation.

Imam Malik and other scholars see that the person un charge of the Hajj journey should stay until menstruating women are pure and they perform circumambulation. Yet has followers say that he is not entitled to stay at this age because of the delay and harm that affiler him.

Scholars' sippliation that risual parity is a condition for corresumabeliation should be interpreted that when a woman is able to creumanbulate when the iss risually impure, and not when she is unable to do so. If some say that circumsmbulation is obligatory in both risual purity and impurity, where is disagreement with such saying. Allah knows best. May Allah have peace on Prophet Muhammad.

Q: The Sheikh of Islam was asked about menstruation which happens to many women during Hajj and the various cases of it. Some may menstruate at the very beginning of Haji while others during the Tashriq days?

- The first ease: A woman may menstruate at the beginning of the month, and she can not circumambulate except in this ease of ricual impurity. When standing at 'Arafah, some yellowish and brownish materials get out of her vagma even after she has been pure. What is the legal judgment in this case?
- The second case: A woman usually menstruates from the fifth or the ninth till the seventeenth day of the month or even more. She stood at 'Arafah, threw the pebbles, and performed the Ifadah circumambulation while she is menstruating. Besides, she has not managed to perform 'Umah.
- The third case: A woman stood at 'Arafah, threw the pebbles, and headed for the Ifadah eircumambulation but she menstruated. She has not performed it and concealed the matter. She had the destre to perform 'Umrah but she

did not. She returned home without circumambulation, performing "Umrah, or slaughtering an animal (as a ransom).

A. A mentirating woman should perform all risults except for circumstudion according to the radions of the Prophet (peace be upon him) and the unantroop and the property of t

What if yellowish and brownish materials get out of her vagina? There are three opinions adopted by scholars in Ahmed's juristic school and others.

The first opinion is that such yellowish and brownish materials are signs of menstruation. The second is that they are not. The third is the most proper one. If such materials get out along with the black and red blood, they are a sign of menstruation, Otherwise they are not.

because women used to send to 'A'ishah a piece of cotton they have put in their vaganas in order to cheek whether they become puter or not, 'A'ishah used to reply. Do not haste until you see it whate (without any other colored materials).

Thus before seeing it white, they used to consider all this period as the monthly period. Uram "Atiyah also sould. After purity from inenstruation, we were not used to pay the least attention to yellowish and brownish materials gettine out of the vasina.

According to the unanimous agreement of Muslimselbotars, read impurity is a condition for circumarabulation around the House. In case of other rituals, impurity is not a condition. As for circumarabulation between Safe and Marwall, there is circumarabulation between Safe and Marwall, there is between the safe of the condition and the safe of the rest of rituals, ritual parity is not one offse conditions of the rest of rituals, ritual parity is not a condition according to the unanimous agreement of Muslim scholars.

There is dispute among scholars regarding ritual purity, is it a condition for proper circumambulation just as for prayer? Or is it an obligatory act which, if Ignored, one should shaighter an animal? In this last case, it is similar to the one who enters into the state of Bram from a place other than the Minat or the one who did not throw the pebbles.

There are two famous points of view on this case as reported by Ahmed The most famous one is also adopted by Malik and Shadi't. It stipulates that ritual purify is a condition for circumambulation. If a persuantibulates in a state of ricual impurity or during so, but later knew, he should perform circumambulation are also should perform circumambulation again.

The second opinion is that ritual purity is obligatory and if one was impure, he should shaughter an animal. According to Abu Hanifah, the one in a state of major insual impurity or a menstruating woman should slaughter a camel, while a person in a state of minor ritual impurity should slaughter a heterop.

As for Imma Ahmed, he did not define the type of summa to be shaughtered whether it is a camel or a sheep. He stated it clearly when he asked about a person in a stose of major rintal impurity who circumsubulated, and Imain Ahmed replied that he should shaughter an animal. Some of his followers engly these rulings when a person has an they are without making distanction whether a person is excused or not. echoises who stiguilize ritual impurity for recreammentables apper that it is just like popyer. An News is and others reported that thus Abbas narrated that the Prophet (speece to upon him shall Abbas narrated that the Prophet (speece to upon him shall Abbas and Abbas and Allah abo said: "Wear your heardthid appared at every time and place of proyer." This verie was revealed when the polyheises used to circumstrabilize around the Sacred House while they are marked except for a tribe called Al-Hums who need to wear their oblets during

Naked polyheuss used to argue that they had disobeyed Alfah in these clothes and bence they would pit them off during circumanthulation. If they found clothes word by Al-Huns tribe, they would wear them; otherwised, they would circumanthulate while they are naked. Once they circumanthulate in Al-Huns clothes, they would throw them away.

These were unnovations made by the polythesis during curcumarbatinas and they also problets done kinds of foods when they are in a state of fiream. Therefore Albh revealed this verse. "Wear your beautiful appared at every time and place of prayer: eat and drink: but wates not be excess, for Albab hoves not the wasters. Say: who has forbidden the beautiful (gifts) of Albab, which He has produced for his servants, and the things, clean and pure, (which He has provided) for sustemance? "He also said: When they do aught that is

shameful, they say: "We found our fathers doing so": and "Aliah commanded us thus;" say: "Nay, Aliah never commands what is shameful: do you say of Aliah what you know not?"

The shameful act mentioned in the verse is circumambulation around the Sacred House while they are naked.

Hence major ritual impurity is obligatory for

circumumbation according to the unanimous agreement of Muslim scholars and the drivine texts. As for considering it as a condition for circumumbation just like prayer, there is delique among scholars in distriguid Scholars who adopt that it is not a condition behave that increase no biggoing seas in the Hall manound by attinglishing an animal. Such acts are not conditions for a propriet of the prefix of the season of t

still valid? Is it not valid if he did not perform them foregridily? Trees is a finnous dispute on this point. As Hamilth believes that there are obligatory sets such as recting gards Al-Fathsha and tranquility. I stack acts were not done, prayer is still valid. This is one of the opinions in Ahmerd's paristic school. According to Ahmod, congregational prayer is obligatory but it is not a condition for the validity of pareyr. When a period does not perform some acts forgettidly, and be posturated with eart the end of prayer, and act as obligatory in prayer. Tet there are

other acts such as avoiding impurities which, if ignored, a person must perform the prayer again within the legal time. If such time is over, he should not perform it again.

#### As for the second question:

When a woman menstruates and becomes pure before the Slaughter Day, she is not entitled to perform the arrival circumambulation. She is to perform the Ifadah circumsmbulation on the Slaughter Day or after it. If she perform the Ifadah circumambulation while she is ritually nure but later she menstruates and has not become pure before leaving Mecca, she is not entitled to perform the Parewell circumambulation according to the tradition of Prophet (peace be upon him). He allowed for the woman who circumambulated during her purity, but later menstruated not to perform the Farewell Circumambulation. When his wife, Mother of the Believers Safish menstruated on the Slaughter Day, be said: "Will she prevent us from leaving Mecca?" They replied: "She has already performed the Ifadah circumambulation." He said: "She is not entitled to perform the Farewell circumambulation."

If the mentmatted before Hadah, the must stay in Mecauant sixe a remainly pure and their one can circumstrainties. People with the should stay as well if they can. In oldtimes, roads were socrar and people used to visit mode threat, pounds were socrar and people used to visit mode threat, pounds were socrar and people used to visit mode throughout the whole year. A woman, her family and those responsible for the Hajj tomery were able to say in Mecaz, until the six ritually pure and performs incumarabilisation. Subdays used to order people to do. They may even ordered those in charge of the Hajj journey to stay until menstruating women are pure as did the Propher (neace be upon him).

Abu Hurairah (may Allah be pleased with him) said: A person may act as a prince while he is not. It is a wonna who menstrated before Hadsh and the people her would stay until she is ritually pure and performs circumstrabilishin.

Nowadays, most women could not stay after the Hajj jurny leaves Mecca. They usually leave Mecca a day, owo or three after the Tashriq. A woman may have menstrused on the Slaughter Day and she will be pure after seven days or more. She could not say in Mecca until she is manily pure, clitter because she does not have enough momen there are no people to stay with them and return home in their company.

She may be afraid that a harm may afflict her, her money may be spent during such stay, or she may return home may be spent during such stay, or she may return home after her company. Beatieks, the party in her company may not be able to ask with her children because they have an not return alone, or because they fear that they may be exposed to any dauger that affects their lives and may be exposed to any companions, they may be able to stay, but they do not do it.

Thus her excuse remove the excusers.

This is a very common matter. Once this woman circumambulated while she is menstruating and later rarrsomed this act by slaughtering a camel or a sheep, her Hajj is sound according to Abu Hanifah and Ahmed who

believe that major ritual ingustry is not a condition for communication. Besides, she has an excess. Vet, it is communication. Besides, and has an excess. Vet, it is consult? This is a controversial prior among sholars. In addition, if circumstrubulism is considered as a condition of Hig., can one perform Haji without batting this condition into connectration? It is circumstrubulation valid in this case? This the point must people need to know. It is more convenient to say that a person is entitled to perform the obligatory acts be could do.

As for acts which he can not do, they are not obligatory. In this case, a woman will circumambulate, wash even if she had been menstruating as when she enters into the state of libram, puts pieces of cloth or the like around her private parts. This is the most reasonable legal opinion for the following reasons:

First: this woman can do nothing except for any of the following five acts.

- 1. She should stay until she is ritually pure and then circumambulates. What will be the case if she has no money to support her or a place to take as shelter? If she did not return home and stayed in Mecca, some may oblige her to adultery or even rob her money if she has any.
- 2. She should return home without performing circumanibulation and she stays there in her state of librarn until she is able to return to Mecca once again. If she can not, she should be in her state of librarn until

3. See should get out of the state of Brazan join like show prevented from coppeling High, job and is nill cannied to compile it is come the enter Meca according to the unsultaneous agreement of Mealins schools. This same that, and the state of Heart See and Heart

Both parties mentioned the Case "Umrah. Some say that the Prophet (seech be upon him) performed it again with other say that he did not. His companions were more than one thousand and four hundrinds, and those who performed Umrah with him next year were much less in number. If was called the Case "Umrah because there was some sort of a case between the Prophet (speace be upon him) and the polyheirests, not because he performed it again. It was a midependent "Umrah."

4. Whenever a woman expects to menstruste and hence she can not circumanbulate while she is pure, she is not to be empoined to perform Haji. About fifty percent of women menstrusate either on the tenth day of the month or days before it. Their menstrustation lasts one, two or three days after the Tashriq. Therefore, they, almost always, can not perform the lifetime Circumambulation when they are ritually pure. Even if a woman performed Hajji, she must have recourse to any of the above-mentioned three options, unless she would circumstulate while he is meretravalure.

It is well known that a woman is not to be enjoined to perform the first option, because it leads to doing away with her retigion and worldly affairs. It is also well known that Allah prohibits such matters, how comes that He enjoins it?

The second option is not also permissible for the following three reasons:

First: Allah preve orders anybody to stay in the state of linear until be dies. When a porson is prevened income completing rittable by an enemy, he has the full right to end the state of linear according to the unanimous signature of Mustum scholars. If he had been prevented because of an illness or powerty, this is a famous point of deviation among scholars. There is no objection to those who allowed him to onthe state of linear powers who will be allowed him to onthe state of linear powers.

Those who prevented him from ending the state of Ihram say that the harm caused by illness or poverty does not come to an end once he put a end to the state of Ihram. In case of prevention by an enemy, perturn ga en end to the state of Ihram will allow him to return to his country and do whatever acts prohibited during Ihram. If he lost Haji, the can just an end to the state of Ihram by performing a

## compensatory 'Umrah.

As for the laids, once he restores his beatth, he can resume his flig or "Unant." The poor person's need to complete the Hagi Journey is just like his need to return, an interfere both the sixts and person should not put an end to the state of them. They will not make use of entirigs, at one of the state of them. They will not make use of entirigs, at each to the state of them as fine them of person of the state of them as need to the state of them as fine them of person of the state of them as more proper. The exceed opinion is adopted, this means that there must be agreement inneed to be compared to the state of them as one person of the second opinion in subspeed, this means that there must be agreement inneed to be considered to the state of them and one are not have not the state of them and one are not have not the state of them and one are not have not the state of them and one are not have.

It is well known that if the woman keeps her state of hram, it is prohibited to have sexual intercourse with her. In another jurisdic school, even the introductory acts of intercourse are prohibited. It is also prohibited for her to marry, apply perfumes and shooting according to the opinion of some scholars. Yet, our religion never enjours such.

If some claim that a person prevented from completing hail or 'Umrah because of severe illness or abject poverty which does not allow him to travel, and hence he keeps his state of libram, such saying is refuted according to the fundamentals of religion. No jurist has ever said that a very sick person should keep his state of thram ustil be dies. Instead, another one is to perform Hail on behalt or. him if he has sufficient money according to Shafi'l and

According to Imam Malik, physical shiftly makes Haobligatory on a Muslim. According to APM brinfish, or obligatory on a Muslim. Microfling to APM brinfish, or physical and financial shiftles are required for Haji-Ahmed shares this point of view. None of the Muslim Imams ever said that a very sick person is allowed to perform Haji or Ururath. How comes that he keep state state of thram until he dies and is entitled to complete Haji?

Second: Such woman may return home and then travel to Mecca once again but she may be exposed to the very same conditions of the first journey. She can not return home except with her company and she may menstruate during her stay at Mecca.

Third: This means that such woman will travel twice for Hajj without doing anything illegal. This ruling is not in conformity with the fundamentals of religion. Allah made Hajj obligatory on people just once during their lifetime. A person may perform Hajj again because he committed an illegal act that invalidated his Bram.

Yet, such person does so because he did not conform with the regular procedures of Haji such as not standing at 'Arafah. He may have no idea about the road, or followed another which is not tracked by most people, and hence he did not perform Haji and he should perform it again. A monstrusing woman has not made anything in disconformity with the rules of Hajji. Therefore, the Prophet (peace be upon him) allowed her not to perform the Farewell and Arrival enrumanbulation as in the traditions narrated by 'A'ishah and Safiah

As for the exceed option that the chooled per un ent a hot some of Burns just III. The people prevented from the people prevented from the people prevented from the people people in the people peopl

There is dispute whether these conditions necessitate Haji i.e. when a man has enough money and the means that irrangent but he fears that the way to Mecca may not be safe or there is not enough time to go there, is Haji obligatory on him? Can anyone perform Haji on behalf of ham after he dies? Or is it not obligatory on him at all? There are two opinions in this regard.

If a scholar says that such woman is prevented from completing. Haij and hence she can end the state of libram, he is entitled to adopt the first option that Haij is not obligatory or even desirable for women. According to such argument, Haji is not legal for most women during these times because they are not able to perform some obligatory acts during circumambulation.

It is well know that this later option does not conform with the fundamentals of religion. When one is unable to perform some of the obligatory or desirable acts of worstip, he should perform the acts he is able to do. The Prophet (peace be upon him) and; "If I commanded you to do something, do whatever you can (of ith." Allah also sid: "So Per Allah as much as you can."

It is also well known that prayer and other acts of worship greater than encumarabulation are obligatory even if one can not perform some of its conditions and prerequisities. How comes that Hajj is not obligatory once a person is unable to perform some of its conditions and prerequisites?

Likewise, some may say that the should not perform the thirth enrousambation. This is also in disconformity with the fundamentals of religion. Hajj is just standing at "Artafian and enrousambation with the Jamer being the best of the two pillars. Therefore, it is to be performed whether in Hajj. Jumnah, or even abone. There are certain conditions for circumstambation which are not required for making without circumstambation. So that Hajj is one of the control of the

It is more suitable to say that it is permissible for her to perform the Ifadah circumambulation before standing at "Arofah lo this case, it is permissible for her to circumsubulus before or after standing at 'Arafab. Yet, one of the Muslim Imans has permitted such at Iter is an exception reported by the people of Basea who narraed that Imam Mulli kees that it is permission to circumsubulate before standing at 'Arafab it one has done so forgefully or used figurations and later returned to the control of the people of the people

Therefore, it may be said that the same case is permissible for a menstruating woman if she has not managed to circumambulate before standing at 'Arafah, Yet, I know none of the Imams who professed such opinion.

As for the case reported by Malik, one may say that when a person fergests to circumsmabilities or even does not know that it is obligatory, his return to perform Haji against is a great burden. Therefore, the order is not required for such excuse. The same thing is applicable as for a reliable purity is concerned according to Almed's juristic school if a impurity, but he forget so, he has an excuse and therefore, he may slaughter an animal.

If he managed to perform most obligatory acts, how comes that Haji is not due while he has not done just some acts? It should be known that a menstruating woman circumstrabulation is permissable and she should slaughter an animal.

As for performing the obligatory circumsumbulation first before standing at "Arafah, it is not permissible at all. If one forgest, the order of performings adot of worship is not necessary according to the unanimous agreement of Muslim sebbars. Yet, if one is unable, the order is necessary as in the percendituse of proyer. The same thing applies when one has no enough time to perform such acts according to the agreement of the majority of Muslim sebbars.

If a post-menstraating woman has the ability to circumarhabilate before ramining a! Arafail when the circumarhabilate before ramining a! Arafail by the six risualty pure, and after standing at! Arafail but when she risualty impure, she should not circumanhabilate circumanhabilate circumanhabilate circumanhabilate circumanhabilate circumanhabilate circumanhabilate mon at a fine a woman to fast before the advocation of Ramadan because she menerarates al Ramadan. Yet, she fasts once is time is obbigatory.

According to the unanimous agreement of Muslim scholars, if there is contradiction between the time of a certain act of worshap and some of its conditions and percequients, ignoring some of the baster is more acceptable. If a person has the ability to pary before suce is due with complete mad purry and is overall as over of impurities, while he can not do the same when it is more if the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the canonimous agreement of Muslim scholars. He should perform it as its due time.

Similarly acts of worship are not to be delayed after their

time is due, instead, they should be done on time as fir as possible. Yet, if one has an excue, it is permissible for him to perform tow prayers together. There are tow appointed times for prayer; one for ordinary people and the other is for those who have an excue. When a person performs two prayers it opether at the same time, he performed them at their due legal time. He has not missed accordance to the meaningual searcement of Muslim sobolars.

The same rule is applicable to standing at "Arafah I for sood before or after its time is due, it is not permission scood feeling or after its time is due, it is not permission according to the unanimous agreement of Muslim scholars. Heads a circumanhoulation is to be done after standing at "Arafah on the Day of Shaphter and the following days in it permissible to circumanboulate after indicipility of the hight of Shaphter? This is a controversial point among

Once these four cases are invalid, there remains the fifth: She should do what she can and let aside what she can not.

This opinion is supported by the divine texts which handle this matter and it is not contradictory with any of the fundamentals of religion.

Divene texts indicate that ritual purity is obligatory as the Prophet (peace be upon him) said: "A menstruating woman is to perform all rituals except for circumambulating around the Sacred House." This indicates that the comanand here is obligatory as Pasis when any of you passes utime, feeces or the like, he should not perform prayers unless be performed abluston."

He also said: "Althi will not accept your proper utiless you performed ablation." He also said: "Allah will cook accept the peayer of a migher woman utiless she wore a veil." He also devired a woman spaige: "Clean is (your deess) well, wash it and then pray white worring it." He also dead person should not circumanibitate around the Sacred House." All these are obligatory on the coordinot that core is able to perform them as Allah said. "As fall the said of the coordinot of the control of the con

is unable because the harbors fears for herself, her religion and omeys, She is not to be ordered to contained, in her state of thram, return bone and travel to Mecca again all the state of thram, return bone and travel to Mecca again all the state of the state of the state of the state of the part. Petting an end to the state of thram is not permissible and the obligatory as of electromandelations is still to be done. Circumstabilistics is almost similar to prayer. There is no poor for that circumstabilistics in the excepted (if

Now it turns out that she can not stay at Mecca while she

performed by a person in a state of major ritual impurity. Yet, there are proofs that ritual purity is obligatory. Hence, there is disagreement among scholars whether

ritual purity is a condition or just an obligatory act. Such disagreement did not occur as far as prayer is concerned. The second proof is that ritual purity is a condition for required more in prayer than in circumanbation and that he is like veiling now. S auruln and now of mention on the like the like weiling now in the saved in inpurities in prayer, because it is obligatory during and after an act of the ophythesis which the Problem (see its index is in a like its interest in a like its interest in the like its permissible for a post-mixing particular than the problem of the problem of the like its permissible for a post-mixing or the problem of the like its permissible for a post-mixing that the problem of the like its permissible for a post-mixing that the problem of the like its permissible for a post-mixing that the like its permissible for a post-mixing that

As long, as the conditions for prayer are not to be fulfilled.

As long, as the cumble to do them, the same thing simples more to circumstabilation. In case of necessities, a person any pray when he is naked or in a state of minor and major risual impurity. He may perform tayanmum (day abultation) and pray even if he had been in a state of major risual impurity.

If he is unable to perform abultation or tayanmum it is

permissible for him to pray without each according to the agreement of the majority of Mindian scholars. But a mentaturating woman is not to pray, because prayer is not obligatory on the Tripyris in repeated every and each size, and her prayer during such sizes stands for prayer during mentatuation. Therefore, the in enjoined to re-fast and not to re-perform the prayers the missed during menseratation. Passing is observed in just one mouth introplented by syar. If the is not able to fast during Barmadan while she is in a state of final purely, the fixed light in may other mouth. month. If she is unable to fast continuously because of old age or severe illness, she is not required to fast. Yet she should compensate for it by feeding a needy on each day according to the majority of Muslim scholars such as Shafil, Abu Hanifah and Ahmed. According to Malik, she is not to feed anyone.

As for proyer, it is impossible for anyone not to perform any of its eart, he should ob what Lean If the is usually to perform all actions much by the head and the body, he is not required to do them seconding to ANH Hamilton, Ahmed in a narration and Malik in one of the neutration. The other opinion is that he should not with his eyes and have, in mind the actions performed during prayer. This is the opinion of SMAIT and Ahmed in another narration. The first opinion is rather closely related to divine texts and include the modification.

As for Hajj, she can only perform it according to the mentioned procedure. If she can do just this, that is what she can do. This is similar if one can not circumanabulate except when riding or when he is in a state of major ritual impurity.

# Here, two questions may arise:

First Would you consider the menstruating woman just like those unable to enter Mecca? If she wants to perform Hajj and has the ability to circumarabulate, it is OK. If she can not, she could authorize a person to do it on behalf of her. Second: If the Legislator has not made it legal for her to pray during menstrustion, while a person in a scale of major ritual impurity or a post-menstrusting may perform dry abbution, it is clear that no act of worship is to be nerformed during menstrustion.

As for the first questione, occor the musingsal to enter Mexica but the can not perform some obligatory case. Hall is still obligatory on her according to the unanimous agreement of Mustlim scholars. The same: case is applicable when one enters Mexica but is unable to avoid impurities. He must perform Halj, but he is not entitled to suite to complete ritual parity. It is also applicable when one can not circumantibate occupy while riting or when he is curried or when he is turnible to whom when the curried or when he is unable to throw the pethods. In such case, he many authorize a gention to perform such according to the control of the contro

## The prayer of a menstruating woman

A menstruating women does not to pray, because her former prayers may compensate for those required during the monthly period. If she becomes pure but still some blood gets out of her vagina, she should pray, even if such blood may cause impurity and hence invalidated her prayer.

Yet, she is to be excused because of such blood. Allah distinguished between those who excuse and those who have not. Therefore, if blood ceased and she can perform abbition and prayer, she must do so. Prayer is permissible even though blood may get out after the monthly period out of necessity.

Some may argue that prayer should not be performed by such woman or by a person in state of major rusal sumpurity (in case he has not found water to wash) just like a woman in her monthly period. As long as Allah ordered a woman in her monthly period. As long as Allah ordered measuration does not conform with prayer at all. It does not conform with prayer at all. It does not conform with circumanbulation which resembles moreover.

In reply to this, one would say that a person in a magor ritual impurity is just like a menertuating woman whose blood ceased and he can perform dry ablution (because no water is available). As for a post-missimating woman, if she is not to pray during such the post-mensionation period, this means that she should not pray forever because her excuse happens every often.

Therefore, obligatory ritial purity is not fully required on her part. This inductaes that if one can not perfor on certain set of worship except by communing a prohibited action, it is rather heter to perform in not to ligoror is The fundamentals of religion conform with this opinion. When a person is a notification of the properties of the product for adhuston, he should pray at well according to the perform abulion. On the other side, mensionation fully contradicts with prayer. As for High and circumantibulation, one is required to perform it once during his lifetime, and it is not repeated many times just like prayer. If High is not valid when one is exceeded in not doing a certain act, this measures that High will never be valid. The fundamentals of religion infidicie that I for occan not perform a certain act of worship because of an excesse, it is rather better to perform it not to leave it.

It has been proven that during prayer, a menetruating woman has no excuse because prayer is an off-repeated act of worshap, in case of circumambulation, if she can not perform it except when she is menstruating, it is permissible because she is unable to perform it except in this way.

Third, One may say that this is a type of ristal parties which is not obligatory once a person is turned to perform just like the other types of ristal parties. If the last low season is a season of the control of th

Fourth. This is one of the conditions of circumantulation which is not of the beause of the untility to do it just this any other conditions. If he can not circuman which exceed easier has prayer in the very same state according to the unamoust agreement of Muslim scholars. Therefore, if one can not decumantulate except when he is maded, it is one can not decumantulate except when he is maded, it is one can not decumantulate except when he is maded, it is one can not decumantulate except when he is maded, it is

Scholars del not discusse this matter because it is very rare. It is almost impossible mote find clother in Meeca, Veri suppose that con's (scholars are stolen and his company are leaving while he can not hag behald them, he should do them, he should do what he can in this case is no circumandulate while he is maked. In this case, he resembles a post-mentaturation and what he can in this case is no circumandulate while he is woman and the person who passes write unintentionally because of an illness, although the prohibition to circumandulate when one is maked is known more to recent than circumandulate or during mentanturation.

What I have previously mentioned conforms with the generalized divine texts which handle this affair. It also conform with armalogy to these fundamentals. The person who objects to my judgment may do so because he has not found any previous statement about this matter recorded by the followed Imams just like they have not discussed the mostibility of circumanibulation when one is nated because

it never occurred during their times. Hence, they were not required to deliver a ruling on a matter which did not come in their mind. Their speech on this matter is very generalized because it was very rare or even non-existent. Their followers only mentioned such speech.

Therefore, Imam Malik made is obligatory on the person in charge of the Hajj journey to stay in Mecca until she is pure and circumambulates provided that the roads are safe and secure and no harm will afflict him if he did so.

During the ear of the Compositions, the person in charge of the Hall journey used to say in Macca and incenstrating women are pure and they eircumantabulated around the House. The late Maliki jurius believed that the Farewell circumantabulation is not obligatory on the person in charge of the Hajj journey. Likewise, spending the sight a Muradishith is not obligatory on those responsible for in sking care of the pligrims and providing them with water because they are unable to do it.

On the same footing, a mensitruating woman is unable to circumanshalas and hence its company used to stay in Mecca until the is pure. Scholars who believe that runal purity is obligatory and not a condition for circumanshalation should also say that in this case, rutual purity is not obligatory because of the inability to perform it is in a state of minor or major ritual impurity and the left Mecca, he should not return in circumanshalatin again

because of the resultant hardships shouldered on him. How comes that ritual purity is obligatory on this woman although the hardships she will face are much more difficult?

Yet, some scholars say that this woman should slaughter an animal because the is not rimally pure during circumaribulation. In this case, it is rather better on say that she should not slaughter an animal, because if one tild not perform an obligation yet without the less negligence on his part, he is not be blanned. If he did not perform is out of forgetfalness or ignorance, this is amother since. It may be argoed that the should slaughter an animal because

There are similar cases as well. If an enemy prevented a person from throwing the pebbles and he was not able to do so until he returns to Mecca, or prevents him from standing at 'Arafah until the night, or from performing the Farewell circumambulation, be is not be blamed at all.

The Prophet (peace be upon him) did not make the Brawell circummulation of higheavy on mensurandustant of higheavy on mensurandustant of higheavy on mensurandustant of the Brawell circumstantus, it is not more impact, it is not more impact, it well known that the conditions of prayer than paper, it well known that the conditions of prayer more suitable to apply this rule on the conditions of circumstantustant.

This is my opinion in this issue. But for the people's dire

need for I, I would have never talked about II, because I have not found any scholar who handed this matter. Exerting one's efforts to reach a legal ruling in case of nocessity is commanded by Allah II had reched a right ruling, it is the very same judgment of Allah and He Messenger, and all prise be to Allah III II is wrong, it is my own and Satus instituted it to me, and Allah and He Messenger are not repromisel for it, even if an absolved because of such error. Allah knows best. All prise be to Allah allow. My Allah have peece on Mestamental oah in Allah allow. My Allah have peece on Mestamental oah in Allah allow. My Allah have peece on Mestamental oah in Allah allow. My Allah have peece on Mestamental oah in Allah allow. My Allah have peece on Mestamental oah in Allah allow. My Allah have peece on Mestamental oah in Allah allow. My Allah have peece on Mestamental oah in Allah allah

Q: A woman menstrusted before the Ifadah circumanthulation and she has not become pure till the pilgrinsis departure. She can not stay alone until she is pure. Is it permissible for her to circumanthulate in thus state out of necessity?
If it is nermissible, is she entitled to slaughter an animal?

is it desirable for her to wash herself before circumambulation? When a woman knows that she will not be pure until the pligrims' departure and she can not stay after that, is Haij still obligatory on her? If it is not, is it desirable for her to perform

it is not, is it desirable for her to perform circumanihulation? Please tell us about the relevant ruling, may Allah grant you the nest reward.

A: All praise be to Allah. There are two famous opinions for scholars regarding ritual purity whether it is a condition of sound circumanibulation.

First. It is a condition according to Malik, Shafi'l and

### Ahmed in a narration.

Second: It is not a condution according to Abu Hanifah and Ahmed in the other narration.

According to the latter's opinion, if one circumambulated while he is in a state of minor or major ritual impurity or while there are impurities on his body, his circumambulation is valid but he should slaughter an animal.

Yet, there is disagreement among Ahmed's followers whether this is a gentral rule applicable to whoever fregress that he is risually impute on not. Aho Hamfah believes that seas in aintail is a count if the woman some meriatoration great and the season and the season and the season and the season and the control of the

### From Endowment to Marriage

### Is it permissible to build a second floor over a Mihrab (altar)?

Q: An inquiry was made concerning huilding a second floor over a Mitrab to accommodate the Imam or anyone who takes care of the place, provided that no harm may be incurred because of such building?

A: It is permissible to build a second floor over the Mihabi that is built for purposes other than observing the five daily prayers. As for the mosque in which the regular five prayers are observed, there is a difference among jurists concerning building a second floor on it

### Assigning a will or an endowment for one's neighbors

Q: What is the judgment if a person assigns a will or an endowment for his neighbors without specifying any of them? A. If no certain persons are specified by the term freighbors, nor the deceased land a custom to call certain persons at his freighbors, the printing definition, with the person of the person of the person of the person of the and forty to his left, is to expelled. The Person has the and forty to his left, is to expelled. The Person has the the upon him said. The neighbors are forty from hately (the right side) and forty from hither (the left side). By whom in Whose Hand or my sool, now of you will have a perfect faith unless his regiption is safe from his mischieres. A falls howeve between

# The unmarried reciter O: What is the judgment of one who makes a gravevarit as

an endowment on the condition that an unmarried reciter of the Hoty Qur'an be appointed for it. Can this endowment be effective, even when the reciter is married? A: This condition is null and void. An unmarried man is

even more worthy to this appointment than the single person, should they are equally qualified. The condition of being single does not serve a legal purpose here

Is it permissible to privilege some of the children with a portion of the estate to the exclusion of others? Is it permissible to give to relatives from the estate Qt. A man assigned an endowment for a certain number of women, widows and orphasts and conditioned that he should administer the affairs of such endowment in his should administer the affairs of such endowment in his ifetence, and that one of his eligible children, make or female, should succeed him after his death. As some of the children of the control of the endowment wants or privilege thous greater of the endowment wants to privilege thous privilege thous greater portion than the rest. Is thus necessible?

As if all the beneficiaries of an endowment is equally needly, preference is given to the relatives of the owner of the endowment. The Prophet said: "The (reward of) charity bog give to Musilians is (on you fee resurd of) as charity. However, the (reward of) charity that you give to your relatives is the reward of) charity that you give to your relatives is the reward of) charity that you give to your relatives is the reward of) charity that you give to give the company or recommendation of including the properties of the properties of the relatives who are not entitled to inherit him.

### A woman dwelling next door to a group of men and a man dwelling next door to a group or women

Q: An accommodation is assigned for a group of ten poor persons. This accommodation includes an upstairs room inhabited by a single woman, for whom the owner of the endowment did not assign a place in it. She is not a relative of the deceased either. There is a main gate that by closing. which both the accommodation and the upstairs room are not seen. Is it permissible that such woman live in the neighborhood of these poor people?

A: If the owner of the endowment assigned it for married or unmarried men only, she should not be admitted to it, in order to fuffill the condition of the donator. In all cuses, single women are not permitted to dwell in the neighborhood of men and vise versa, in accordance to the precents of Islamic Shariish. Allah knows better.

### Assigning an endowment for the needy relatives of the deceased

Q: A woman assigned an endowment that should be distributed among the reciters of Ourfan on her grave. What remains should be spent on the poor, or for other charitable purposes. She had a material uncle who became bankrupt and could work no more. The administrator of the endowment refused to pay him a sum to support fam for living. It is permissible to oblight the distillustrator for the contraction of the c

A: The needy relatives of the deceased are more rightful to his endowment than any other equally needy persons.

### Assigning the revenue of endowments for shrouding the poor deceased

Q: An endowment, which accrues annual revenues, is assigned for covering the expenses of shrouding the poor decreased. Is it permissible to spend the revenue in charry? Are the poor relatives of the owner of the endowmen entitled to it.

A: If there is a surplus after covering the expenses of strouds, the surplus should be spent for the interests of Muslams. If there are poor relatives of the donor, they are more rightful to it than others. Allah knows best.

### Gift and gratuity Charity and present

### Q: Which is better, a charity or a present?

A: To start with, a charity is what is given for the sake of Allah to nonspecific persons and for to certain worldly number.

As for a present, it is given to honor some person therewith, either due to a cordial relation, friendship or in order to attain a certain interest. The Prophet (peace be upon him) used to accept persons and give presents in return for them, in order to be free from indebtedness to others. He did not accept charity, which is a means of purifying the properties of people and themselves from sins. For this, as well as other reasons, the Prophet (peace be upon him) did not accept charity.

Based on this, charuty is better, except when present is preferred for a certain reason, such as the case when the present is given to the Prophet (peace be upon him) in his life. The present is also preferred if given to a relative as a means of strengthening the cite of kinding, or to a fellow Muslim. In such instances a present may be better than a charity.

### The Undefined Gift

Q: Is it permissible to assign an undefined thing as a grit, such as the case when a person offers the next year's fruits of a tree to a person as a gift? Can the former return in his

A: The scholars differed over the undefined gift. Insam Malik claumed that it is permissible. He even made it permissible that one may grant something that he will inherit later on to another, without knowing its amount. He further claimed that it is permissible that one grants a portion of a house to another without elling him the area of such portion, According to Imam Malik, it is also permissible to grant something not found yet at the time of giving the grant, such as granting fruits that will grow later on at the current year or during the coming ten years,

Al-Shafi'i, on the other hand, did not approve of this, nor did Abu Hanifah and Ahmad, according to the famous opinions of their schools.

However, Imam Ahmad, as well as Abu Hamifah, and others are not as strict as Imam Al-Shafi'i as regards making an undefined thing the subject of a settlement contract or absolution of it, should a person had already taken upon himself to give such undefined thing as a grant.

The Shaff'i necessitates that the subject of all contracts should be well defined, even in the case of Khaff, dower, and the Jizish Most of scholars resort to a rather elastic approach regarding this respect. However, the preferred view is that of Imam Malik.

This question is related to another argument also, that is the exchange contracts, such as sale and marriage, which are binding, even before receiving the return. Receiving the return, which is the cause of the contract, is not a condition for making the contract binding.

Donations are like grits and borrowed items.

Imam Abu Hanifah and Imam Al-Shafi'i viewed that the contract is not binding unless the return is received. As for Imam Malik, he was of the opinion that the contract becomes binding upon its conclusion. Two narrations were related concerning Imam Ahmad's opinion of this. There is a disagreement in his school over this issue, similar to that raised in the case of a defined grant, whether the contract is binding upon its conclusion, or it is necessary to receive the return. Likewise, smillar difference occurred concerning some instances of the horseuport times.

The Salaf Salih [the Righteous Ancestors] kept on lending the fruits of trees which were not ripe yet, offering the milk which was not milked yet and deemed that necessary.

Thus this kind of gift resembles the borrowed itens, as the intent of the contract is to attain benefit. Therefore, this is the right of the person donated to, just like usuffacts. It is valid to deal with a part of this contract such as the case with Musaquit.

As for the validity of such contract, it is unanimously agreed upon by scholars, whether it is existent or nonexistent, defined or undefined.

But a grant will not be considered a binding contract by those who deem the lending as a binding contract, such as Imam Abu Hanifah and Imam Al-Shafi'i. As for Imam Malik, be held that such contract is binding if it happers to include a condition or a prevailing custom. Imam Ahmad's opinion is marked by much disagreements and mure claboration.

# A Woman Giving a Book to Her Husband as

Q: Can the brothers of a woman whose father is dead prevent her from giving a book to her husband as a gift?

A: Her brothers do not have a legal claim over her. If she is eligible to dispose of her property, her gift is valid, no matter her brothers consented or not.

# Privileging one of ber children from a different husband with a charity

Q: Is it permissible to a woman to privilege one of her children with a piece of land as a charity from her own possessions to the exclusion of the rest of her children, knowing that the stated mother died in the place that she gave to be rehild as a charity?

A: If the gift is not received until the death of the mother, it turns null and word. If received before that, it should be distributed among all her children.

### The charity of a grandmother

Q: A woman, being of sound health and mind, gave a prorion as a charry to her son out of her possessions that could be divided among the rest of the heirs. Ten years later she died, The son, in his turn, gave all that he received from his mother as a charry to hos son (i.e. the grandchild of the first donor). The event of charries was legally proved in the court. Do the rest of the heirs have charter as the court of the court o

Az If the atem of charity remains in the possession of the donor until be/she dies, the charity turns void according to the famous opinions of the scholars. Confirming such contract of charity in a court, however, cannot turn it valid.

If the donor delivers the clarity before his death, it will be valid, proveded that he gives count portions to the rest of the herrs. Otherwise, the charity should be returned about to him. This is confirmed by the haliful nearzated in the Two Sahab by Al-Ni' man bin Bahilir, who said: "My father decided to give men a slave by on a gift. My mother." Ulmah him: Rawlaht said: I will not approve such gift unless after the Proposit (gince be upon him) witnesses. It. The father them were to the Messenger of Allah (peace be unon him) and table him the store.

The Prophet (peace be upon him) said: Do you have other children? The father replied: Yes. The Prophet (peace be upon him) asked: Have you given similar sifts to them? The father replied: No. The Prophet (peace be upon him) said: Then go and seek another witness." In another narration, the Prophet (peace be upon him) said: "Do not expect that I will witness this. I do not witness unfarmess. Fear Altah and be just towards your children!"

### The distribution of the estate

Q: A min assigned three quarters of his accommodation to his full-son as a charity, and the remaining quarter to his full-sister. Then, as the son died, the father assigned the whole accommodation to his daughter as a charity. What is the judgment of the first and the second charity?

A: If the charity of one-quarter is delivered to his fullsister, this charity then becomes the possession of her heirs after her death, not the daughter's. Thus, the donor is not entitled to transfer the property to his daughter.

### A father seizing the possession of his married daughter after her death

Q: A married woman, who was of the age of majority, died leaving behind a father, mother and a husband. Her father then selzed all her possessions that were found in her husband's house and did not give anything of it to other legal heris. What is the judgment of this case? A: The act of the father is not lawful. All the possessions of the deceased daughter are the right of all the legal heirs, despite the fact the such possessions were first purchased by the father. Thus, he cannot retain such possessions after her daughter? death

### Returning in a gift

Q: What is the judgment of giving a gift, then returning in

A: It was narrated after the Prophet (space be upon lum) that he said: "A donor examor terms in his donation event in his donation event in the case of a father who gives a gift to his child." This is the opinion of Al-Shaffri, Madils, Almand, and others. However, if a gift is given in return for a similar gift, or in order to fulfill an interest, then the receiver of the gift will be childged to return it back in value or in similar property, should be cannot compensate for it.

### A divorcee returning in her gift

Q: A man divorced his wife, then asked her for reconcilitation. Thus her reconciled her and gave her two dinars and asked her to given him one dinar as a gift. He then divorced her. Can the divorce return in her gift? A: Yes, she can. In such case the gift is not given willingly as the hisband dispressed her afterwards. \*\*\*

. . .

### A man returning in his gift which he made to his wife after her death

Q: A man made a grant of 1000 dirhams to his wife and made a document to this effect, but did not pay her in cash. The wife then died and the heirs demanded him to pay the grant. Can the husband return in his gift in such case?

A: If the man mentioned above owed no sum to the deceased write, neither equal to this sum or what this sum can be a compensation for it, such as the case when the hisband takes anything of her possessions and promises to give her this sum in return, in this case the heirs are not entitled to the gift.

#### Remitting a husband from the dower at the wife's death

Q: A married woman brought a just male witness and a group of women and testified that she absolves her husband from the dower he owes her. Is this absolution valid? A: If the dower is confirmed until the death illness of the wife absolution of it is not valid except after the consent of the remaining beins. If the absolution of the dower was made while she was quire healthy at is then valid. The confirmation of the absolution of the dower while the wife is healthy is to be made by a witness and an eath on the part of the busband, according to the opinion of the Hanbali Juristic School. If the wrfe acknowledges in her illness that she absolved her husband of the dower when she was sound health, such acknowledgment will not be regarded as valid, according to Imam Abu Hamfah, Ahmad and others. Al-Shafi'i, however, regarded it as valid. The Prophet (peace be upon him) said: "Allah has assigned a normon of inheritance for each beir entitled to it. Thus, a legal heir is not entitled to a bequest." An ill person should not give an heir more than what he is entitled to.

### A gift given to the wife and children

Q: A woman, whose husband poal her all her does in his lifetime, and who has children from him, was given a sum of money by her husband in return for her dower in order to spend it for the benefit of herself and her children. I be person claims that the husband owed him a sum of morely equal to or more than that green to her, can she make an oath to aver injustice from her?.

A: If the hasband gives a gift to one of her children and the child received it, and this gift resulted in no injustice to anyone, such gift will be considered valid. No one is entatled to seize it from her. If the husband appointed in wife as a guardian of his children's share of inheritance whether he is alive or dead, while the wife is eligible to such guardianthip, no one has the right to seize this from her. If she is going to take an oath, the should say that she owes nothing to the decreased.

# \* \* \* A father returning in his gift to his bad son

Q: A man gave a charity to his son and made this charity in the dower of his son's wife. Later on, the father became too feeble to earn living, and his son descrited him. Can this father return in his gift?

As Based on the fact that the father made the gift in the dower of his son's wife, he can in no way return st.

## BEQUESTS

### A bequest or an acknowledgment of a debt?

Q: A man in his death illness said: "So and so sum of money should be paid to the orphans of such and such, It was not clear whether his saying was intended to be an acknowledgement of a debt be owed or a bequest? A: If there was an indication in the context whether he intended an acknowledgement of a debt or a bequest, the deceased's phrase should be interpreted in the light of such indication. If it cannot be distinguished, it will be consudered as a horiuser.

# Making bequests of unequal shares to one's

.

Q: A man bequeathed unequal shares to his children and brought witnesses to attest such bequest in his deathbed. Can this bequest be effective or not?

A. An III proma is not permitted to assign a bequest to one of the cilidars, to be delivered in his titeme or after in death, not to acknowledge a sum of survey or provision of the control of the control of the will not be effective, unless after the consens of the remaining hears. This is according to the consens of the remaining hears. This is successful to the forecastciant of the control of the control of the remaining hears. This is second to the control of the delivery of the control of the control of the control came. Such laid of bequester or glits is considered one of the greatest size that bring about description to it flerit door. This is tecsuse a bequest or a gift of each kind control of the contro

## A postponed oath

Q: A woman bequested one-fit of for properts to be implied before be don't the finder of the pit then swe made her gunrlian. The father them nucle a case at the court to prove such bequest. The court in accretion of the doals of the tensities, her beeng tequested to be doals of the tensities, her beeng tequested to be the court of the court of the court of the court of the state of the court of the court of the court of the judgment to prove the bequest because of the runbility to let the young gift made an ond to this effect due to her minority. Sound her father take at noth seasof of the Yo would be gift attains manifely to state the other beautiful to under the property of the property of the property of the under the property of the property of the pit of the pit of the pit attains manifely to state the other beautiful to all the gift attains manifely to state the other beautiful to the pit attains manifely to state the other beautiful to the pit attains the pit of the pit of the pit of the pit of the state of the pit of the state of the pit of the state of the pit of the state of the pit of the pit of the pit of the pit of the state of the pit of the pit of the pit of the pit of the state of the pit of the state of the pit of the state of the pit of the state of the pit of the state of the pit of the pit of the pit of the pit of the state of the pit of the pit of the pit of the pit of the state of the pit of the pit of the pit of the pit of the state of the pit of the state of the pit of the state of the pit of the state of the pit of the pit

A: The father should not take the eath instead of her daughter, nor should the judgment be postponed until the girl attans majority. Rather, the judgment should be issued immediately. There is not disagreement among scholars on this point, so long as no legal claim is made against the father that opposes his claim.

### Annulment of a bequest

Q: A woman made a bequest in her illness to certain persons, including her husband and her brother. Long after, she gave birth to a male child, then died. Can the bequest she made be annulled in this case? A: All that accessis one-shird of the bequest should be returned to the hern. As for the bequest for the hashaud, it is not valid, as he is entitled to inhertance. As for the beother, the bequest for this is valid, as he cannot inhere in the presence of the son. Thus, the portion bequestated to the brother and others should not exceed one-through the whole estate, and it is to be distributed among them secondum to the shares secretified by the deceased.

# Can a nephew be an heir?

Q: A woman died and left behind a nephew (son of her sister). She allocated more than one-third of her estate as a charity. Can this bequest be effected, thus giving the nephew the rest of the estate?

A: A bequest should not exceed one-third out of the context.
More than one-shurd is not permitted, unless after the consent of the legal helirs. A nephew is entitled to inherit the entire estate, according to the opinion of the scholars who give the userine relatives the right to inherit. Thus is the opinion of the majority of early. Martini, Thus is the opinion of the majority of early. Martini, Thus is the opinion of the majority of early. Martini, and a variant opinion in the Maliki School, in case the public researcy is defelent.

### A bequest to a husband and a paternal uncle and a grandmother

Q: A woman died and left behind a father, a paternal under and a grandmother. He father had claimed her majority at the court before he gave her in marriage. In her death illness, she bequeathed one-half of her estate to her husband and det other one-half to her paternal uncle, giving nothing to her father and grandmother. Is this bequest valid?

A: The bequest for the paternal tracle is valid, but should not exceed one-third, except with the consent of other hears. The bequest for the husband, however, is totally invalid, except (if the hears scoped) it if the hear secoped it if the their separated the bequest of more than one-durful on be paternal uncle, the husband will be entitled to half of the exset after deducting the portion bequested, it is not stated as the condition of the control of the condition of the cond

### A bequest of Hajj

Q: Five days prior to her death, a woman bequeathed some things, including Hajj, recitation of the Holy Qur'an, charity, etc. Is her bequest applicable?

A: If she bequeathed that one-third of her estate be dedicated to finance certain acts of devotron to please. Allah, her bequest should be fulfilled, even in her death illness. If the bequest exceeds one-third of the whole ceate, the increase should be excluded, except if the heirs consent. If the bequest is made for anything other than the acts of devotion, it will not be executable.

### Renefit for the deceased

Q: A man bequesthed before his death that his wise should not give money to whomever receives Qur'ann on his grave or recite. Qur'annie verses and then dedicate them to him, claiming that his beast was attended yfull of Qur'an and in need of no more. The wise knew that he could have not memorated the Holy Qur'an. Can his bequest be effective? In return for reciting Qur'anic verses and dedicating them to her hashand. Si hes permitted to do so?

A. The bequest of the hasband should be fulfilled, since the grantity in return for recitation of the Qur'an on the grave in a religious innovation that should be avoided. It is only acceptable if made for free. This can be elaborated as follows:

 Hiring someone to recite Qur'anic verses and dedicate them to the deceased is not acceptable, and no reward of that will reach the deceased.

- Hiring someone to recite Qur'anic verses only is also a religious innovation.
- Hiring someone as an instructor of the Holy Our an is permissible.
- If that woman desires to benefit her deceased husband, the may give charries on he behaff, as the received of charries reaches the deceased, according to the unanimum sugrement of scholars. If the gives charries to a group of poor reciters to fuffil their needs and enable them to give up the profession of reclining Qui'an on the graves, her charries will be rewardable and such reward will reach the deceased.

## The guardian of the orphan girl

Q: An orphan girl has a wealth, and a person is proposing to her. Can her guardian sell some of her property to buy her the requirements of marriage that suits her social status?

A: Yes, the guardian can sell some of her property to buy her all necessities of the wedding on equitable terms.

# IHERITANCE The share of the widow

Q: What is the share of the widow of the inheritance of her deceased husband, knowing that he left behind children?

A: The widow is entitled to her dower and all liabilities the husband owed her, just like other creditors. Arter deducting the amounts of debt and executable bequest out of the total of the estate, the wife is entitled to one-cighth of the estate due to the presence of the children.

### The share of the husband from the inheritance of his deceased wife

Q: A woman died leaving behind a husband and two parents. The father seized her estate, claiming that his decessed daughter was not major. Is the husband entitled to tabent her?

A: The estate of the deceased wife is to be distributed as

- One-half for the husband.
  - One third for the father, and
- One-sixth for the mother. This is according to the view of the Four Juristic Schools, no matter she was major or not.

## How to distribute the estate?

Q: Give each of the following heirs his/her share of inheritance:

A husband, grandmother, full-brothers and a son?

A:

- The husband is entitled to one-fourth.
  - The grandmother is entitled to one-sixth,

The son is entitled to the remaining portion of the estate,

The full brothers receive nothing.

The sisters and the daughters

Q: A woman died leaving behind a husband, two daughters, a mother, and two full-sisters. Are the sisters entitled to a share of inheritance?

A: the question is to be divided as follows:

- The husband is entitled to one-fourth, The mother is entitled to one-sixth.
- The mother is entitled to one-sixth, The two daughters are entitled to two-thirds
- The full-sisters will receive nothing in the presence of the daughters. This is according to the consensus of the Four Juristic Schools.

## Paternal and maternal brothers and sisters

Q: A woman died leaving behind a husband, mother, fullsister and a paternal brother and sister. Give each his/her share of inheritunce?

A: The question consists of ten shares, originally six, but turns to ten by virtue of the application of the scheme of distribution called "Awt. The question is called "Dhat Al-Furukh" [the Mother of the Chicks,] due to the so many result to the scheme of "Awt in it.

The husband is entitled to half of the estate,

The mother is entitled to one-sixth as a share,

The paternal sister is entitled to one-sixth informer to complete the share of the two-thirds with the full-sister. The two maternal brother and sister are entitled to one-third as two shares. The total thus is ten shares. This is according to the consensus of the Muslim scholars.

### A busband, mother and maternal sister

Q: A woman died leaving behind a husband, mother, and maternal sister. What is the share of each of inheritance? A: This question is to be divided into eleven shares:

The daughter is entitled six shares.

The husband is entitled to three shares.

The mother is entitled to two shares.

The maternal sister receives nothing, as she cannot inherit in the presence of the daughter. This is according to the agreement of the majority of scholars, including those who approve of the scheme of Radd distribution, such as Abu Hamfah and Ahmad. As for those who do not approve of the Radd scheme of distribution, they divide the question into twelve shares:

- Six shares for the daughter, Two shares for the husband and the twelfth share should be dedicated to the public treasury.

### A daughter, maternal brother and a male cousin

O: A woman died leaving behind a daughter, maternal brother and a male cousin. What is the share of each from the estate?

A: The daughter is entitled to one-half.

The male cousin is entitled to the remaining portion of the estate. The moternal brother receives nothing.

However, it is preferable to give him some consideration if

he witnesses the distribution of the estate. His is according to the opinions of the Imams of the Four Juristic Schools

### A hushand, father, mother, son and daughter

Q: A woman died leaving behind a husband, failur, mother, son and daughter. After her death, her father died, leaving behind a father, ssster, grandfather and a grandmother. Give each heir his share, bearing in mind the necessary changes after the death of the father?

#### A:

- The husband is entitled to one-fourth,
- The two parents are entitled to two-sixths,
   The remaining portion of the estate goes to the son and daughter, one-third each. Then estate of the failure.
- is to be distributed as follows:

  One-sixth for the grandmother
- One-sixtn for the grandmother,
   The remaining portion of the estate goes to the father (i.e. the grandfather of the first deceased).

The sister will get nothing, nor the grandfather, as both do not inherit in the presence of the father,

The distribution of the estate between the busband and the nephew

Q: A woman died leaving behind a husband and a nephew, what the share of each of them in her estate?

A: The husband is entitled to one-half of the estate. As for the nephew, according to one view, he is entitled to the remaining portion of the estate. This is the opinion of lmam Abu Hanifah and his companions, the famous opinion of Imam Ahmad, and a group of the Shaff'il correctations.

The second opinion:

The remaining portion of the estate is to be dedicated to the public treasury, which is the opinion of many of the companions of Imam Al-Shafi'i.

The origin of this question is a difference which cocurred among the Muslim scholars concerning the inheritance of the uterine relatives who have no prescribed of which shares of inheritance, or accepting to the scheme of a bit. The opinion of Immin Malls, Immin A-Staff; and who have been been as the original of the scheme of a bit. The opinion of Immin Malls, Immin A-Staff; and who leaves behind no heirs entitled to the prescribed Qua'anic shares, or inheritance by virtue of the scheme of at six, his sestiate should go the public treasury.

This opinion of most earlier scholars, Imma Ahua Hanoth, Imma Ah-Thauri, Imma Inday, and Imma Ahua Hanoth is that A-Thauri, Imma Inday, and Imma Ahua Hanoth is that the remaining portion goes to the uterme relatives, as the Holy Qurin as says what means: "Blood-relations among each other have closer personal ties, in the Book of Allahi..." (33:6) The Prophet (peace be upon him) said: "The maternal uncle is the herr of the doceased who left behind no other relatives. He is to binderal his

estate (when he dies) and to ransom him (if he fails in

### The daughters of one's brother

Q: A man died leaving behind a wife, a full-sister and three doughters of his brother. Are the daughters of his brother entitled to inherit him? If yes, what are their shares of inheritance?

.

- The wife is entitled to one-fourth

The full-sister is entitled to one-half, the daughters of his son receive nothing, and the remaining one-fourth goes to the nearest male relative (sashah), otherwise it is to be returned to the full-sister, or be given to the public treasury.

### A divorced-thrice-widow

Q: A man had suffered from a chronic disease for threemounts. He asked his wife to brung him a derick, but she did not bring him the drink immediately. He in turn sard to her. "You are diverced three." She still three with him to serve him and take care of him. Twenty years later, he didd. Is the divorce effective? Can his oan be broken on such-like case? Are the heirs rightful to disintent his divorced wise? A: The diverce is effective, to long as the absoluted in A consoler shifted and much a with fee will. However, the diverced will as cutified to inferi, according to the opinion of tuman Malis, famal Amari, famal famal

### Divorce before consummation of marriage

Q: A man divorced his wife once before consummating marriage with her, while his being in death fillness. Can this be regarded as a contrivance on the part of the husband to distribute his wife, thus be treated in a manuer to sport his plot and preserve to the wife her right of inheritance and the full amount of her dower? Or she is to be deprived from the inheritance, but be coilided to half of her dower?

Ar According to the opinion of the majority of scholars, the woman divorced after the consummation of marriage shall be entitled to inherit from her husband. Thus passed the judgment of Caliph 'Uthman bin 'Affan (may Allah be pleased with hum) in the case of Turnadur bint Al-Asbagh, the wrife of the Companion 'Abdul-Rahman bin 'Auf (may) Allah be pleased with him), who divorced her in his death illness. This is also the opinion of Imam Malik, Imam Abu Hantish and Imam Al-Shafi'ii in his earlier ooinion.

As for the woman whose 'Abahi enak, and the cow who an diversed before the consummation of matriage, there are two opinions for the scholars in this, the most correct of which it is that the wife will be entitled to inheticitation in these cases also. This is the opinion of luma which, the farmon intensis after luman Mandel and the work of the consistency of the control of the control 'Ushman bus 'Arfan (may Allab be pleased with hims judged that a woman in such case will be entitled to inhetication, even after the completion of the 'Mobil. This support is based on the fact that has been done in the influence of the considered as legally interficient, in the sense that influence is considered as legally interficient, in the sense that in disposition will not be observed, and the solid printing and the opinion will not be observed.

. . .

### A husband who divorces his wife before his death in a way to disinherit her

Q: A man divorced his wrife in his death illness, three days before his death to disinferit her. Is this divorce effective? What is the share she is emitted to in his estate? A: If divorce is revocable and the husband dies while the wife is in her 'liddah (waiting period), the sentitled to inheritance, according to the consensus of the Muslim scholars. If the divorce is irrevocable, the wife is also entitled to hebritance.

Thus judged the Caliph 'Uthman bin 'Affan (may Allah be pleased with him) in the case of Tramdor bint Al-Asbagh, the wife of the Companion 'Abdul-Rahman bin 'Aoff, who divorced her thrice in his death illness. 'Uthman (may Allah be pleased with him) consider the Companions in her case and they told him that the wife in such case was entitled to inhert from her husband.

The disagreement on this question appeared during the rule of Bot Al-Zubair (may Allah be pleased with hum), who said: "In his place (meaning 'Udman) I would have not given her (the wile of 'Abdul-Rahami bin 'Au/J a right to inherit." However, consensus of the opinions of sebolars had already been concluded before Ibn Al-Zubair became a multiphild.

This was the opinion of the leading Successors and latterscholars. It is also the opinion of the people of Iraq, such as Imam. Al-Thairt, Imam. Abu Harifish and his companions, the people of Medium, such as Imam Molikand this companions, the jurists of Hadith, such as Imam. Ahrmad bis Hadabal and others. Thus also was the extiler opinion of Imam Al-Shafi's. In his later opinion, he agreed with Iba Al-Zubalir, To them both, the divorce in the above question is effective, as if it was the wife who died, the husband would have not inherited her. Just like this, the wife is not entitled to inherit him. Through divorce the woman became unlawful to her husband: he cannot have intercourse with her or seek pleasure with her. Thus, she becomes like a stranger woman to him, thus does not inherit.

The majority of Muslim scholars provided that the property of a man who is in his death illness is attached to the disposation of his heirs. He will not be entitled to dispose of it in a way to distinbert some of the herrs or prefer some of them to the others. He is not entitled to make a doration to a non-relative with more than one-third in his death little.

in a hadth it was narrated: "Whoever cuts an intertinuce, Allah will cut his infleritance in Paradise." (a weak hadth). Concerning the 'Rotha, there is a disagreement of opinions, but the sounders as that a woman offercoded by her burbard in his death thines should observe the waiting parted according to the longest of the two terms (c. the term of Whalth for a divorced woman or the 'Idahah for a divorced woman or the 'Idahah for a divorced when the two are two opinions, the preferred of which is that the near tent of opinions, the preferred of which is that the near the content of the preferred of which is that the near the content of the preferred of which is that the near the content of the preferred of which is that the near the content of the preferred of which is that the near the content of the preferred of which is that the near the content of the preferred of which is that the near the content of the preferred of which is that the near the content of the preferred of which is that the near the content of the preferred of which is that the near the content of the preferred of which is that the near the content of the preferred of which is that the near the content of the preferred of which is the near the content of the preferred of which is the near the content of the preferred of which is the near the content of the preferred of which is the near the content of the preferred of which is the near the content of the preferred of the pre

#### MARRIAGE

#### Proposing to marry a woman already engaged to another person

Q: Is it permissible to a man to propose to a woman already engaged to another person?

A: It was narrated in the Two Sahihs after the Proplet (peace be upon him) that he said: "hi is not hawful for a man to propose to a woman ulready engaged to his brother (Muslim) or to indelige in a bargain already coreloided by his brother (Muslim). Thus the Four Jurisic Schools agreed that it is utlawful to propose to a woman afready engaged to another person.

A disagreement, however, arose concerning the validity of the marriage of the second suitor:

1. According to Jonan Malik and Jonan Abused

in one of the two narrations after him, such marriage is not valid.

2. According to linam Abu Hanifah Iman Al-

2. According to lutam. Abu Hanithi, Imman Al-Sahifi and the second narration after Imman Phaniel, such naurrings is valid. This opinion is based on the face that what is unlewful is the proposal, not the contract of marriage. The first opinion is based on the argument that as the contract of marriage is the result of the proposal, which is unwild, the contract of marriage leaff turns which is unwild, the contract of marriage leaff turns and the proposal which is unwild, the contract of marriage leaff turns.

however, on the point that one who propose to a woman already engaged to another is a sinner.

#### A woman proposed to during her 'Iddah (waiting period)

Q: A suitor proposed to a divorced woman in her 'Iddah and supported her financially. What is the judgment on this?

A: It is not lawful to explicitly propose to a woman in her 'Iddah of divorce. It is not even lawful to propose to a window in her 'Iddah, following the death of her husband. Both the suitor and the fiancée should be given a deterrent punishment and should be hundered to marry one another, a punishment which is contrary to their purpose.

\* \* \*

Muhallii (a man who married a woman then
divorces her so that she may return to her
previous husband who irrevocably divorced her)

Q: A man divorced his wife thrice. After the completion of her 'Iddah, she married and then was divorced on the same day. Her ex-husband did not know about her second marriage and then her divorce except after two days. Is he permitted to return her after the completion of the 'Iddah of divorce?

A: The exhusband is not permitted to propose to his exwise during her yields, following her divoce from her second husband. If divorce is revecable, he cannot propose to her implicitly divident is more than the propose to her implicitly divident is more than the second instrucsions. These jodgments apply if he second instrucwais not peramaged by either the exhusband or his ofmarings is known as "Multill imarings". The Prophet (space he upon him) said: "May Allah care the Multilli and the one for whom Multilli is procured."

#### The second proposal

Q: A suitable suitor proposed to a woman and agreed with their dather on the amount of downer, which was more portiones, one was to be paid before marriage and one and deferred. The first portion was paid to the dark throughout a period of four years. Meanwhile, the sunor supported the family of his frances financially. No wash of the dark of the dark of the dark of the dark of the action of the dark of the dark of the dark of the dark of Another suitor then proposed to the same own and offered a greater amount of dower and hindered the first sustor from marriage. What is the judgment on this?

At it is not lawful for a man to propose to a woman already engaged to another and such engagement is accepted by the guardian of the flancée. In a hadith the Prophet (peace be upon hum) said: "It is not lawful for a man to propose to a woman already engaged to his brother (Muslim)." Whoever does this, or supports others in doing this, should be runished a deterring punishment.

#### Private meeting of a man with a woman

.

Q: Is it permissible to a man to meet in private with the wrife of his hasband and his female cousins?

A: It is not permissible to a man to meet in private with the write of his brother or with his female cousins. However, if he is accompanied by others in this meeting, and no suspicion is feared, it is the permissible

#### The divorced thrice

Q: A man divorced his wife thrice. They have two children. The wife has been residing in the house of the husband for two years following the divorce. Is she permitted to eat from his food? Is she still considered under his control?

A. A woman divorced thrice becomes forbidden to her exhabitud, just as any other woman. He is not entitled to have private meetings with her or to look at parts of her body, which he is not permitted to see from other women, whom he can marry. He, further, has no control over her. He is not permitted to see the marry ancher must meet be divorced from that man and in order to return to the man. He is not permitted also to give her an outly in this. He is not permitted also to give her an outly in the man and the second of the sec

This is according to the consensus of Muslim scholars. Allah the Almughty said what means: "There is no blame on you if ye make an indirect offer of betrothal or hold it in your hearts. Allah knows that ye cherish them in your hearts; but do not make a secret contracts with them that you speak to them in terms honorable...." (2.253)

In this case the ex-husband is strongly forbidden to conclude a marriage contract with the worman until the term of 'Iddah is completed.

## The proxy of a dhimmi in marriage of a

Q: A man appointed a dhimmi person to be his representative in accepting marriage with a Muslim woman. Is this kind of marriage valid?

A. Disagreement has arisen concerning this operation. A representative in progression of the proper principal should be one whose acceptance of marriage for himself is valid. If one appears we woman, a minor child, or an insume present to be his valid. If a representative is such one whose depays cooperation of marriage in the permission consequence of marriage in the permission classes after the approval of this guerdian, such as the case of a slave, there are two options correctings this circles to format Antond and colors. If his acceptance of marriage is valid values and colors. If his acceptance of marriage is valid values a first of the colors of

As for choosing a dhumm person to be one's preparentative in the proxy narrange, this case resembles the case when a dhimul person gives in marriage his distinut doughter to a Muslim. If he marries her to a distinut person, such marriage will be valid. But if he marries her to a Muslim, there are two opinions consening this case in the Jurisue. School of Imam Ahmad bin Hanbal The first opinion is that such kind of marriage will be second opinion, a Muslim who marrise a diminim wortain by proxy should appoint a Muslim representative. It was also said that such match cannot be concluded except by the ruler, as he is considered a geardian for all Muslim men and women in the question of marriane.

As for the opinion that provides that all the above solutions are permissible, it is founded on the argument that the ownership by virtue of the contract of marriage is realized to the husband not to the representative, but this is not the case in other torses of contracts.

The Muslim jurists differed over this last question: Imam Al-Shaft'i, Imam Ahmad and others are of the opinion that the rights of the contact of marriage are related to the representative, but the ownership resulted from the contract is related to the husband, who is the Muslim seeker of representation.

The dimmi representative in leu of a Muslim in a marriage contract resembles a representative who cannot marry the woman whom he is made a representance to conclude the contract of marriage with her, such early material uncle, who can be a representance in her material uncle, who can be a representance in her material uncle, who can be a representance in her materiage, but cannot historist marry her. But it is prefer to a Muslim in marriage, contractions.

It is desirable to conclude the contract of marriage in a mosque, as it was narrated that: "Wheever witnesses the marriage of a Muslim, is like one who witnessed a conquest in the cause of Alah." Thus, according to the opinion of Alamad and others, the contract should be concluded in Arthic.

A disbeliever should not be a representative to a Muslim in a proxy marriage contract, but if it happens the contract will be valid, since there not legal proof to claim its being invalid.

#### Marriage in illness

Q: A man married while his being ill. Is his contract of marriage valid?

A: The marriage concluded by an ill person is valid, and his wrife will be entitled to infernt him and to receive the portion of dower equal to her counterparts in her family, without any increase. This is according to the consensus of the Muslim unitsts.

> A woman marrying with a guardian other than her father

Q: A man has a daughter who has not attained maturity yet. She was married without a guardian in the absence of her father, claiming that the father was dead and presenting her maternal uncle as her brother. Is this contract of marriace valid or not?

A: If the maternal was attested to be her brother, such attestation will be regarded as false. This way the maternal uncle cannot be regarded as a guardian to her. Rather, the marriage will be considered to concluded without the presence of the guardian of the bride, which means that it is nell, according to most of the Muslim scholars and iorises such as Imam Al-Shafi't, Imam Ahmad and others. The father may renew this contract of marriage if he likes. The one who witnessed that her maternal uncle is her brother and that her father is dead will be considered as making a false testimony and should be punished a discretionary punishment as well as the maternal uncle. If this marriage is consummated, the wife will be entitled to the entire amount of dower, should it is put to an end. The father has the right to marry her during the 'Iddah (waiting period) of such invalid marriage. The is according to the opinion of Imam Abu Hanifah, Imam Al-Shafi'i and the famous opinion of Imam Ahmad bin Hanbal.

> A lying woman who changes her name and the name of her father

Q: A woman has a father and a brother. In the absence of her father, though the representative of her father who was deputzed in maringe and other affairs was present, who for their and claimed that the hadre invested per name and the name of her father and claimed that the hadre officered by an ex-husband who then wanted to marry her again She, fatherly, recognity a stranger and claimed that he was bebrother. After the winnesses make their testimony, the whole master was declosed.

whose maker was discussed.

Is such woman liable to a discretionary punishment that should be executed by the ruler or one of the local authorities, such as the multasib?

A: This woman is liable to a discretionary puneshment," even for several times, which is preferable. The Caliph 'Umar bin Al-Khatab used to repeat the discretionary punishment for committing something forbidden. Thus he was of the habit of rivine the unity person 100

stashes on the first day, 100 on the second and 100 on the third, so as to avoid the damage of any of the body members of the guilty person, should be receives all the 300 lashes all at one time.

The woman in the above case committed some major sussuch as activities goneself to a num ofter than one's state such as activities goneself to a num ofter than one's father, and made a stranger man take the place of her brother! In the Two Salahis it was nurrated affect (peace he upon him): "Whoever strainbutes himself to a man other (peace he upon him): "Whoever strainbutes himself to a man other than his father, no he loyal to people often than his matters of the six slavely, Allah will curse him, as well as the neared and all mankind." In another halfith the Propher, Grace be upon harm soat, "Wheever surches haused to an under that his inter-, the Paradise will be forbidded not home." In a third halfoul in was narroad that the Problect groce be good into said. In a con- to a man other than his real lather, for such person as one to a man other than his real lather, for such person will be a disheliever. He will not belong our surh calciumcounting which is not really has own, but let handten the surperson of the surperson of the content of the surperson of the surperson of the conlain." These two arrong words indicate that the one gainly of my of three will be laide to a strong problement in a

Even worse, the above-mentioned woman decrived the witnesses and led them to writness in invalid contract, and married with a null contract. According to Muslim scholars: a marriage contract will be regarded used if concluded in the absoration of the guardian. They used in initial adsociation of the standard of the Cashing of the Childham of the contract of the standard of the Childham of the bit Alikhattab (rasy Allah) be pleased with him). This is the opinion of liman Al-Shafif'i and others.

Rather, some group of scholars were of the epunion to parishment of storing to death should be executed in suchilike cases. Even those who claimed that marriage without a guardian is permissible did not approve of the acciption to a false father or of bringing a filse guardian. Thus the punishment of such acts was agreed upon among all Mustim scholars. That woman is likely to punishment also because of her stilling lies, and the claim that he man she brought was her exhaushed who had diverced her. The fake husbend also is to be punished, as well as the one who presented that he was her brother. As for the witnesses who knew their case, they will be failby to the punishment of perjury that he sheded the witness of her false attribution to other than a single person of the same of the punishment of the analysis of the same of the same of the same of the same than the same of the same of the same of the same than the same of the same of the same of the same than the same of the same of the same of the same than the same of the same of the same of the same of the same than the same of the same of the same of the same of the same than the same of the same of the same of the same of the same than the same of the same of the same of the same of the same than the same of the same of the same of the same of the same than the same of the same of the same of the same of the same than the same of the same of the same of the same of the same than the same of the same than the same of the same than the same of the same than the same of t

The punishment of those people should be interestfied. The Mustlims scholars maintained that the face of a perjure should be blackened as an indication that he blackened his face by telling lies, and should be made to rule a most or not a reversed position (his face to the posterior of the mount and his back to tis face), as an indication that he read and his back to tis face), as an indication that he read the correct startation. He is to be rounded with throughout the streets of the city to be defined among recoils.

The discretionary punishment can be carried out by the ruler, the multissib or any other influential capable of inflicting it.

In this case the infliction of punishment is necessary, since it includes the corruption of women and the false inestimony. The Prophet (peace be upon him said: "If people witnessed will behavior widespread and did not try to change it, it will be very likely that Allah will cover all with a tornure."

#### Obligation of the virgin major woman

Q: Can a father compel hi s virgin major daughter to marry a certain person?

A: There are two opinions concerning this:

- The father has the right to compel his virgin daughter to marry a certain person. This is the opinion of lamm Malik and Imam Al-Shati'i, and the chosen opinion of Al-Kharaqi and Al-Oadi and his companions.
  - 2. The father does not have the right to compel his virgin duagher to marry a certain percon, which is the opinion of Abu Hazirith and others, and the chosen opinion of Abu Bazir Abub-Ariz bin Jale Th. This is the correct opinion. Difference arose, however, on the cause of obligation on the part of the father; the duaghter being virgin only, minor only, or for both reasons. The correct view is that the father will be entitled to compel his daughter if she is a minor. Thus, a wirgin major woman cannot be obliged on marry.

It was narrated after the Prophet (peace be upon him) that he said: "A virgin woman cannot be married unless she gives her consent. As for a previously married woman, she should agree on marriage." It was said to him: A virgin woman becomes shay to give consent. He (peace be upon him) said: Her silence is regarded as a consent." In another wording of the narration it was said: "She (the virgin woman) cannot be given in marriage until her permission is sought." This means that the father, or

- anyone in his place should seek her permission.

  3. The father, furthermore, cannot dispose of the property of his major daughter unless after taking her permission. However, her chastity, is of greater value than her property.
- Furthermore, according to the consensus of opinion of Muslim scholars, it is the minority, not virginity that gives the father the right to interdect the disposition of her daughter.
- 5. Those who give the fifther the right of obligance differed on the case when some chooses a man, who is equal ho her stame as a behand, while the fifther chooses a softener persus, who have a softener choose a softener persus, who have a softener persus, who have a softener persus, who have a softener persus which is one of the row leves in the Safrit study, step actually contrader the original such index exhool. As a fixed whap per her works in the Safrit study, step actually contrader the original such in their school. As a fixed whap per her persus-lect arronghous and harm. The Proplets (spece he upon laris said: "A previously sarrand woman (fullys)) is more rigidated, softened left over affirm shan the guardian, some rigidated is objected left overial rather, than the guardian a first than the guardian proceeds on the strair of her marriage.

Her silence is an indication of her consent." In another narration it was said: "The previously married woman (thaivyb) is more rightful to manage her own affairs than her guardian." This indicates, on the other hand, that a virgin is not entitled to dispose of her own affairs without the interference of her guardian. Rather, the guardian is more rightful to that than her. This is the exclusive right of her father and grandfather. This is the argument of the proponents of giving the right of obligation in marriage to 6the guardian. They did not apply the surface meaning of the above hadith and stuck to their own understanding of it, though they could not understand the purport of the Prophet's savine: "The previously married woman (airyan) is more rightful to dispose of her own affairs than her guardian, which is applicable for any kind of guardian, not only the father and the grandfather as they elsim

As for the Prophet's saying: "The virgin woman is to give per connex (as regards her marriage)", they held that ascertaining the consent of the virgin is not obligatory, rather mandatory, Some of them said last." As the connex of the virgin is mandatory, it is sufficient to interpret her silvere as a connex. If it is obligatory, as healful have gloren obliged to capacies her convent in speech. "This is done of the companions of Imam Al-Shaff's and limit Almad said."

The above opinion, however, is contradictory with the preceding consensus of Muslim scholars, and the clear sayings of the Prophet (peace be upon him). It is proved by the sound detailed texts from the Prophetic Sunnah and the

consensus of opinion of Muslim scholars that if a virgua woman is married by her brother or her paternal uncle, her consent should be acceptained.

Actually, the Prophet (peace be upon him) differentiated between the wight and the previously married woman, as mentioned in the above hadth, in terms of the methods of ascertaining the consent of each in the case of marriage, i.e. silence for the first and speech for the second. The Prophet (peace be upon him) did not differentiate between the trust of the principles of the principles of computation (they

Foreign a virgin woman to marry against bet will is contradictory to the earthlyse of binan and the dictures of sound logic. The guardian of a virgin is not entitled to force her to conclude a sine or teamer, ownered against the will, or to compel her to eat, drink or wear a fores which she does not warn. How can then he bellig her to live and have instructions with a mun that she is averse too. The friendliness, intimary and mercy, which are the prime alians of marriage, cannot be realized in suchlike kind of marriage.

If a discord (Shipean) occurs between the spouse, two
trainers (Mohammin) should be appounted, one from the
family of the bushand and the other from the family of the
wide. According to some opinion, those two persons or
regarded as representatives of the spouse, but not orbiters.

But the correct when the first. The discount of the spouse
and the control of the spouse of the spouse, but no red to the spouse
claim to reconcile or separate each from the other. This,
one of the arbitrers is entitled to the right of discover owns.

the permission of the husband, while the second arither is entitled to pay a compensation from the money of the write without taking her permission, so that the husband may divorce her. In this case the two arbiters are regarded as guardians of the spouse, Based on this option, a father can divorce the write of his minor or insane son, if he deems an unterest in doing.

Likewise, a father can conclude Khul' on behalf of his daughter if he deems an interest din doing so.

Moreover, if a woman is divorced before the husband from half of the amount of the dower, if he is considered as the one entitled to conclude the contrast marrage, which is the opinion of himan Malik, and one of the two narrations, after Imam Ahmad. The Qur'an supports this opinion.

The dower differs in nature from the rest of the coss of diverge before the consumiry sportery, as it is due to per as a gift. In the case of divorce before the consumration of marriage, the woman does not lose her virginary, and divorce in considered as an annulation of the marriage, just like other thanks of contracts, this half the down-Giver made at her right as a compensation of the mental anguish resulted from the divorce.

According to Ibn 'Umar, Imam Al-Shafi'i and Imam Ahmed, in one of the narrations after him, half of the amount of the dower in the case of divorce before the consummation of marriage is the equivalent of the Mui Acoustay and all that is given by the man to his divorced woman to benefit with) in the case of divorce after the consummation of marriage.

According to Imam Ahmad (in another narration after him) and Imam Abu Hanifah, the Mar'ah is the exclusive right of the woman who is divorced before the determination of the amount of dower and the consummation of instruge. According to them, Mar'ah is a compensation given to the divorce instead of half of the amount of dower.

Others say that the dower becomes a settled right to the woman by virtue of the conclusion of the contrast of maturings and the consumeration of it, even before dowers, and the consumeration of it, even before dowers, the before the consummation of the aimount of dowers, the before the consummation of the aimount of dowers, the before the consummation of naturings, in this dose the the before the consummation of naturings, in this dose the next be entired to say uncrease. This opinion is stronger, as on the Mark'sh is made as the resulted drowers, on it should not be made a compression of the dower, which is the result of the conclusion of the consumer of marriage and the

A third opinion, which is attributed to another parration after liman Ahmad provides that each divorced is entitled to Mut'ah, as is manutained in the following Qur'aric verse: "O you who believe! When you marry believing women, and then disorce them before you have sexual intercourse with them, no 'Iddah [disorce prescribed period) have you to count in respect of them. So give them a present, and set the free (i.e. disorce). In a handsome munter," (33:30)

The command in the verse provides for the Mut'ah for the command in the command of marriage. The case of divorce determining the amount of dower was not specified here, despite the fact that in most cases divorce occurs after determining the amount of dower. In addition to the above-mentioned, if divorce is the

cause of Marin, the dower is the result of the contract of marriage. As for the woman who pieces her husband the marriage. As for the woman who pieces her husband the right to determine the amount of her dower, without amount of dower paid to any of her counterparts in her firmly wom the conclusion of the contract of marriage. It will be her settled right even after the death of her banband. In a hadden was narriade that was wormed that we woman marriad to a man, then her bashond died before determining her dower.

The Prophet (peace be upon him) decided that she would be entitled to an annount of downs similar to that push to any of her peers in her family, without decrease or increase. However, if such woman was divorced before the consummation of marriage, she would not have been entitled to half of the dower, in accordance to the Qur'ainst

verse, since she did not stipulate the determination of the amount of dower. The anguish resulted to her by divorce is compensated by Mut'ah.

The purpose of the Law-Giver is not to compel a woman to marry a mma against her will. Rather, in the case of discord, the woman's affair is managed by persons other than the husband, from among her family and his, inches to failf it the interest of both of them. Such persons can separate the wife from her husband without his permission. How can she then be obliged to live with him against her wife.

The wife is considered as optionally captivated in the bouse of her husband. Thus, such captivity cannot be concluded without her consent. The Prophet (peace be upon him) said: "Fear Allah as regards women. They are regarded as captives in your houses. You have taken them by virtue of the trust of Allah. They have become lawful to you (to intercourse with by virtue of the Word of Allah."

## Marrying a woman to her relative against

Q: A major woman had a relative who proposed to marry her, but she refused him. Her family said to the relative: "Conclude the marriage while her father is present" Can this marriage be effective? A. No doubt, if the relative is no sustable on marry her, the will not be completed on mary her. The is smaller, the will not be completed on many him. If he is smaller, the scholars have two opinions as regards this case, the scronger of which, which finds support in the Holy Qur'an and the Prophetic Sumnh is that she will not be colleged to accept this marriage. The Prophet (peace be upon him) and: "A virgin woman cannot be marred unless after the father accertains her content, which can be indicated by

### Guardianship of a stranger O: A man married a major woman from her naternal

grandfather, who neither claimed his granddaughter as major nor had a permassion from her father to be her guardian. Short before his death, the grandfather appointed a stranger as a guardian of his granddaughter. Is the grandfather still considered a guardian of the woman after her marriage? Is the entitled to appoint a guardian to her?

A: If the woman is major and mature, no one can consider himself her guardian, neither the grandfather, nor any other person. This is according to the consensus of the Muslim scholars.

If she is liable to be interdicted, the scholars differed on her case as follows:

Imam Abu Hanifah deems that the grandfather has the right to be her guardian According to Imam Malik and the famous opinism of Imam Ahmad, the grandfather is not entitled so he her guardian.

#### WOMEN FORBIDDEN IN MARRIAGE The Exchange Marriage [Shighar]

Q: A group of people used to exchange femile relatives in marrage, that a mu gives his sitter in marriage to as person on the condition that such person should in turn gives him his sitter of outplarer in marriage. It either of the two men specials money on his household, the other specials equal amount of money if one bringer leafs to the wife, the coher toflows suit. The matter goes the most multilative properties of the sitter of the sitter of the site of sites and the site of the sites of the site of the site of leafs and the site of the site of the site of the site of heart person. If one is pleased with this wife, the other becames largey too. If the former punishes his wife, the other punishes has wife too. I such conduct permissible?

A: Each of the two husbands should live with his wite on equatible terms, or better divorce her with an agreeable manner. A husband should not vary his treatment to his wife on the hosts of the treatment of the other husband wife is entitled to a right on her husband, which does one affid doe to a militareament on the part of her twoster and affid the contraction of the two the contraction of the upstace, the other should prove his accountability on that rather than being unfair to his work, for the mere reason that she is the daughter of the other one. If both husbands deal with their wires with injustice, aiming to revenge each other, they both will be liable to punishment. The write of each will be entitled to demand her rights from her husband. If such treatment is stipulated in the contract of marriage, it will be considered an invalid condition authorities to the contract of the contract of the contract of marriage.

#### Combining a woman and her maternal aunt in marriage

Q: A man married the maternal aunt of another, then married his daughter. Is this marriage valid?

At It is not permissible to combine in marriage the maternal aunt of a man along with his disapher, The Prophet space he upon him) forbade that a woman he baken marriage along with the maternal or parental aunt. This is a greed upon by the Four Imano. They also agreed that the above haddle includes the maternal aunt of the father, mother and grandmother, as well as the puternal aunts of the presents. Those, a man cannot take in marriage a woman along with the maternal asset of her father or mother.

#### Taking in marriage the paternal aunt of a man along with his niece

- Q: A man took in marriage the maternal aunt of a man alone with the niece of that man. Is this marriage valid?
- A Taking both of faces women in surringe at the same in considerate of combining a woman and the maternal aunt of the finther in surrings. If the finther of the woman is a natural or a fall-bodier of the other sun, then the maternal sunce of once of them in the maternal such of the maternal such of the control of the surrings of the
- If a man marraes either of these women after the other, the second marriage will be invalid, even without divorce, the second marriage will be invalid, even without divorce to contract of such marriage does not give the wife the right to the amount of dower or inheritance of the lusband or cannot consummate marriage or have interesting with her. If marriage is already consummated, the storate separate with her, just as he separates with a stranger woman.
- If he wants to marry the second woman, he should divorce the first and wait until her 'Iddah (waiting period) ends. If he marries the second during the 'Iddah of the first, who is revocably divorced, such marriage will be invalid. This is

the opinion of the Four Instat. If devote is Irreveable, the marriage will be invalid also, according to the opinion of instant Abu Hantinata and stam. Almod, but will be considered via the opinion of Instan Abul (Abulti). If the first woman is divorced once with a state of the reliable in one opinion of Instan Abulti. If the first woman is divorced once with a state of the reliable in compensation, such divorce will be considered revocable, thus marrying the second woman cannot be excelled until the completion of the 16th of the first woman, according to the agreement of the Four Instan.

As for the question whether he can marry the second woman, with whom he had intercourse by virtue of an unsound marriage in her 'Iddah, there are two opinions concerning this:

Imam. Abu Hanifah and Imam Al-Shafi'i are of the opinion that such marriage will be valid. The second opinion, which is attributed to Imam Malik and Ahmad in one of his two marrations is that such marriage is invalid.

> Marrying the mother of one's wife with whom marriage is not consummated

Q: A man married a woman a year ago, then divorced her before the consummation of marriage. Can be then marry the mother of his divorce? A: No, it is not permissible to marry the mother of his divorcee, even if he did not consummate marriage with her.

## \* \* \* 12 maths without menstruction?

Q: A man divorced his wife who suckles her baby. Eight months lasted direr her divorce, then she marred and term man who lived with her for a mosth, then divorced her fiber termined for three morthisher divorce. Throughout that period (i.e., 12 months) she did not have her mensels. She them married the first husband again, who is the mensels. She them married dagain, who is the other of her baby. Are these two marriages valid, or just one of them?

A: Neither the first nor the second marriage is valid. She should rather complete the waiting period of the Inst divorce, then wait until the completion of the 'Iddah following the second divorce, then marry whomever she wants of either of the them.

#### Menstruation twice only

Q: Three years ago a man married a woman who gave hirth to a son of 2 years old now. She later claimed that she married that husband following an 'Iddah that lasted for two menstruations only and the husband confirmed her claim. The hishand then divorced her. What is the indement of that divorce?

As If the husband confirmed her claim that he married her following two menitrations of her 'Idda's (which should be three) such marriage will be invalid. The husband has he separate with her. Bot should then complete the 'Idda's he start divotree, then observe the 'Idda's because of intercourse she had with the second husband. If she intercourse she had with the second husband, if she intercourse she her, thus 'Idda's he had not married to the second husband in the macroscopie with her, thus 'Idda's of the first divotree will be

If the second husband divorces her, she should observe 'Iddah for three menutrantons. After this she can marry size with whomever she wants. The son she had from the second husband will be regarded as legitimate and will be artifuted to him, even though he was born as a result of an unsound contract of marriage, whose unsoundness was fire unknown.

## \* " A virgin woman who is divorced thrice

Q: A man married a virgin woman then divorced her thrace without consummating marriage. Can be marry her again with a new contract of marriage?

A: A woman who is divorced thrice, with whom marriage is not consummated shall be treated the same as that with whom marriage is consummated. This is the opinion of the majority of scholars.

#### The marriage of a woman whose guardian is a fasia [oft-sinner]

Q: A man married a woman whose guardian was a fasiq, who was given to earn ill-gotten money and drink alcohol. The witnesses who attended the marriage contract were like him. Can the husbend return his wrife after divorcing her thrace, on the grounds that the contract was not sound (due to the fact that both the guardian and wincesses are fatigat?

A: if the husband divorces her thrice, his divorce will be contrible. Trying to return the wide on the grounds that the contract of marriage was not sound is a kind of man-pulsting to transgress the limits set by Allah twiceonce before the divorce and once after it. The judgment of the divorce of the unsound marriage at disagreed upon by concluded by a finite guintlan is valid, according to the control of the majority of scholars.

#### CONDITIONS OF MARRIAGE Fulfilling the conditions of marriage

Q: A man married a woman on the conditions that he must not marry another woman with her, or move her to another house and to keep the daughter of his wife live with her. Is he obliged to meet such conditions after the consumntation of marriage? If he does not fulfill these conditions, does the wife have the right to annul marriage?

As According to human hand and a group of Companions.

As Sociotism, to an if them his Askhattah, Ame the Askhattah the Askh

As for the condition that the daughler of the wife should live with her in the house of the husband, and his being required to afford for her, this is considered a condition of sipulating an increase of the amount of dower, which may not be well-defined. Anything undefined supulated in marriage contract that may read as amount oqual to the dower just to the peers of the wife or days is permissible. Contracting the peers of the wife or days the peers of the contracting to the contracting to the contracting to the contracting of the contracting to the contracting to the

Should the husband not failfil the conditions be accepted, thus marries another woman or takes a concubine, the wife will be able to annul marriage contract. Again a question was raised concerning the execution of the anushment of marriage; is it necessary to refer to do ether than the marriage is it necessary to refer to give no its data is not necessary to do that. However if reference is made to an executive authority, such authority will be entitled either to acknowledge the anuslingent of marriage or to cancel it.

# PHYSICAL DEFECTS VERSUS MARRIAGE Can leprosy cause the annulment of marriage?

Q: A woman married to a man, and after the consummation of marriage found out that he is a leper 1s this a valid reason to annul marriage?

As If either of the spouse is found to be physically defective, such as in the case of insmarty or leprosy, the other will have the option to annul marrage. However, if the pury who, Sound out the defect in the other accepts it, no annulineer is applicable in this case. If the wide number the marrage, showing the defect and accepting it, should be marrage, showing the defect and accepting it, should be made before the consummation of marriage, she will make the centred to her dower. However, if it is made after the consummation of marriage, she will make the centred to her dower. However, if it is made after the consummation of marriage, the will make the centred to the chower. However, if it is made after the control of the control of the control of the chower. However, if it is made after the control of the chower. However, if it is made after the control of the chower than the chower that the chower than the cho

consummation of marriage, she will be entitled to the dower.

#### The Mustahadah [a woman suffering from continuous vaginal bleeding]

Q: A man mattred a virgin woman and found out that we was suffering from continuous vaginal bleeding. The family of the wife did not tell him of that defect. Can be amult the matriage and demand the amount of dower be pad from the family of the wife? Should the father and mother of the wife be made to take oaths in case they deny Can the bashond have mercourse with this wife or net?

A: According to the preferred opinion, this defect may cause the annulment of marriage for two reasons:

1. This defect makes intercourse most likely harmful.

2. Intercourse with a woman suffering from a continual vaginal bleeding is not permissible except to a recessity, according to the famous opinion of Imma-Alimad AB that prevent intercourse physically. Racine blockage of vagina, or mensily file: insunity interv-the anatomic or of marriage according to turns Melk and limma Al-Shafit. It was also reported after 'Untar bin AU-Khatth. As for complete prevention from intercourse, such as the case of the presence of impurity of the Vorgitz.

of the woman who suffers from continuous vaginal bleeding, is severer than other similar eases.

If the husband annuls marriage before the consumentation of marriage, bettind how be requered to py the dower. If he annuls marriage after consummation, it is said that dower is payable by virtue of banc base of privacy with the write. If he lind intercourse with her, he should demand the amount of dower from the party who deceived him. It is said also that the dower will not be payable by virtue of the provey. Rather, the bandand has the right to let the party who deceived him make an oath to the corrang of his faith.

Intercourse with a Mantahodals to considerably diagoned upon by soboles. In an opinion attributed to ATSANT and others, it was said that it is permissible to have intercourse with the Mantahodah. According to another another and the mantahodah controlled to another permissible except for a necessity. If the hashrad has intercourse with her after that, it will be counted as an acceptance on his part and will not be opted to smool marrage, if he claims his being ignorate of that, thus case is disappred upon among scholars: whether he has the however, in that he has the ordinate of the other deponance.

#### A woman found to be virgin

Q: A man married a woman, knowing that she was virgin, then found out later that she was not. Can he amoul marriage? Can he demand the dower he past from the party who deceived him?

A: The husband in this case is entitled to annul marriage. Should be wants to consummate marriage, he will be entitled to demand a reduction of the mount of dower to equal the customary dower paid to a previously married woman. If he annuls marriage before consummation, he will not be required to pay a dower.

#### ANAL SEX WITH WIVES

Is anal sex with one's wife is lawful?

O: Is a husband permitted to have anal sex with his wrfe?

A: Having anal sex with one's wife is strictly prohibited by the Holy Qur'an and the Prophetic Sumah. This is the option of both the earlier and later scholars. It is termed as "Al-Latyyah Al-Saghha" (the lesser sodomy). It is marated after the Prophet (peace be upon him) that he said: "Allah does not shy of furth! Do not have anal sex with your wives!" In the Qur'an Allah says what means. "Your wives are your tilth for you, so go to your tilth, when or how you will, and send (good deeds, or ask Allah to bestow upon you pions offspring) for your own selves beforehand." (2:223)

According to Islam, man is permitted to have sex with his wife from any direction, so long as it is in her vagina. Should be have sex with her in the auxy, and she accepts it, both of them should be given a discretionary punishment. If they then insist on this, they should be separated from one author.

## Nushuz [disobedience of the wife] A woman who fasts during the day and prays during the night and refuses her husband's invitation to the held.

Q: A man has a wife how used to fast all day and observe prayer during the might and refuses her husband's invitation to the hed. What is the judgment on this?

A: According to the consensus of Muslim scholars, this is permitted to her. Rather, she should arswer the invitation of her husband to bed, as this is an obligation on her Fasting all day and othserving optional night prayer is something supererogatory. How then can it be given precedence over the obligation? In a hastin the Prophet

(peace be upon him) said: "A woman cannot observe Fasting in the presence of her husband except after taking his permission."

If superenogatory Easting of a woman in the presence of her haushand in not permitted except after his pertissions, it is even worse if she refuses his unvitation to beed. In the Two Solabis is naturated. If a must nivishe she wide to beed but she refuses, the angels will curse her until the meriting. If in the Ourian Allah says what means:
"Therefore the rephrous women are devotedy obedient, and guart in (the instanding) absence what Allah would have deem sourcely seek to the rephris of Allah and His Messenger (rouge to know him his property of the pr

The Prophet (peace be upon him) said: "If I were to order a human being to prostate to a human being, I would have ordered the wrife to prostate to the human being. I would have ordered the wrife to prostate to the human him to be a peace to be a peace and peace to the control of the peace to be a peace and the peace to the control of the peace to the pe

#### DIVORCE AND ANALOGOUS CASES KHUL' [DEMAND OF DIVORCE MADE BY THE WIFE IN RETURN FOR A

## COMPENSATION GIVEN TO THE

#### Khul' in the Our'an and Sunnah

Q: What is Khul' as in the light of the Qur'an and Sunnah?

A: The Khall mentioned in the Quarta and Sumals to see care when a with base for hashbard and must to separate with laim. See it into report the basebard some to separate with laim. See it that report to the basebard some captive is a manuscul. It shall partie sail for formation and experies in a manuscul. It shall partie sail for formation and other captive in the case in innovative and allet no the copies of all that the laid with each partie in the sail captive in the case in the sail captive in the captive

#### The forced divorce

Q: A woman who was averse to her husband, asked him to divorce her by way of Khul', threatening that she might commit suicide, should be did not divorce her. Her guardian forced the husband to separate with her. She then married to another husband. The first husband demanded her to return to him because the divorce he made was under cocreion. However, she wanted to remain with the second husband. What is the judement on this case?

A: If the first husband was really forced to separate with her, because of his negligence of his duties towards ber, or because he inflieted harm on her without a rightful claim, in word or m deed, the separation will be valid. The second marriage will be valid also and she will be considered the wife of the second husband.

However, if eccricion is made by beating the husband or confliring him, despite his being good to her, separation will not be valid. Rather, if the wife feels averse to him, dedespite his being good to her, so he may be demanded essiphe has being good to her, he may be demanded it is larght. But if he refuses, he wife wife the confliction of the compiles, the sum of the confliction of the c

#### Accusing the wife of adultery

Q: A man accused his wrife of adultery. He based his accusation on the invident that be sent her to intend a wedding, then he spied on her and found out that she was not there. She then denied this incident. When the husband told that to her family, and they asked her to confirm him and defend herself, she refused, fearing that he might beat.

her afterwards. She then went to the house of her national uncle. The hisband thus made that a proof to cancel all her rights, claiming that she got out from his house without his permission. Is thus a rightful claim to slip the wife of het rights?

As Allah the Almighty says what means: "O you whe here't You are feelfade to industry twomas against their will; and you should not treat them with hardness, that you may take away part of the Madrayson, and you want to the way to the same and the way to the same and the same and the same and the same and Allah brings through it as port dead of good." (4:51) it is not land all a brings through it as port and end of you. The same another include, in case like heweren them consent the same another included, in case like heweren them consent and the same another included, in case like heweren them comes in the same another included, in case like heweren them comes in the same and the same

If the wife, however, commus indecency that is clearly proved, in such case the husband will have the right to prevent her and even beat her.

As for the family of the wife, they should ascertain for the two partners has the right claim and support himbre in historie case. If it is found out that the wrife is the guity party, she should ranson herself from ham. If the bushand claims that he sent her to a wedding, but she went elsewhere, he has the right to ask where she went. If he is told that alse went to transvershy people and those people.

witnessed to that, or they admitted that she neither went to them nor went to the wedding, this is considered a valid reason to arose the doubt of the husband, and will be regarded as a support of the husband's claim.

Regarding the trouseau of the wife, she will be metaled to take it in all circumstances. If both the bashwall and wife recensile, it will be better for them. Whenever the wife speeps, it will be therein for the bashwall in the wife speeps, it will be permanded for the bashwall in the wife speeps and the speeds and the s

## The Khul' of a woman who has no guardian

Q: A previously married major woman who had no guardian except legal authorities, and authorities, since she had no guardians. The write then agreed with her husband to be divorced by way of Khulf. on the condition that she would absolve him of the amount. of dower without taking the permission of her guardian (i.e. legal authorities.) Are Khul' and absolution valid in this case?

A: If she is major enough to make a donation, her Khul' and absolution of dower will be valid, even without the permission of legal authorities.

#### Cancellation of absolution

Q: A man said to his wife; "If you absolve me of your dower, you will be divoceed," that she absolved him, being not under a legal interdiction, and having no father or brother. She then elalined that she was msane, in order to render the absolution of the husband of the dower word. What is the judgment of this case?

A: Absolution of the dower cannot be rendered void by her mere claim of insanity. Rather, if proof is established that she was insane, but she was not under a legal interdiction, still the absolution of dower cannot be regarded effective, even if she manages her affairs by bersely.

#### Divorce after the absolution of the dower

.

Q: A woman absolved her husband of all her dower. He then brought witnesses to testify that he divorced her due to absolving him of the amount of dower. Is this divorce

As If the husband agrees with the write to divorce her in return for absolving him of the dower, but divorce will be effective and will be regarded as urrevocable. The same applies if he says to her.' Absolve me of the dower and will will divorce you," or "If you absolve me of the dower, I will divorce you," and suchible patrieses that indicate divorce conditioned by absolution of the dower. However, if if she absolves him without the interaction of asking for divorce, then he divorces her afterwards, divorce will be revocable.

A question is aroused whether the write can revoke the shookulon of the dower. Usually woman resorts to absolving her hasband of the dower either out of her with to aprint with into, or for faur of thereor, or that the hasband might not marry another woman. In such instances, wo marriations reported after imma Ahmand, one confirming the options of the control of the state of the writing of the properties of the control of the control of the state of the writingly absolves the hasband of the dower without any reason, not anticipating any return, in such cases the will undoubtedly be entitled to revoke the shouldnot of the dower.

## Revocable divorce of a deceived husband

Q: A man divorced his wife a revocable divorce. When the witnesses came to testify the divorce, one of them said to the husband: "Say: I divorce her in return for a dirham," when the husband said that, they said to him, "Now she is free. You cannot return to her except after her consent," If he really cannot return to her, can this absolve him of her rabbs due to his heins deceived by the wine sery?

A: If the husband divorced the wife a revocable divorce, then he was prompted by the witnesses to laim that he divorced her in return for a dirtum, and he said that, and be said that, and the said that the said the

# A RULE IN KHUL

#### Is a Khul' counted in the three divorce pronouncements?

Q: Is Khul' counted in the three pronouncements of divorce? Is it necessary that at be pronounced with terms and intention other than those of divorce? A: There is a famous disagreement among scholars on the opecation. The first opinion, which is artivoted to linear expectation. The first opinion, which is artivoted to linear modern and an another than the state of the second and an another than the state of the second and another than the state of the second and another than the state of the second and another than the state of the second another than the state of the state of the second and the state of the second and the state of the second and the state of the second another than the state of the second and the state of the second and the secon

The second opinion: Khul' is an irrevocable divorce which is counted from the three possible pronouncements of divorce. This is the opinion of many earlier scholars.

# The opinion of Imam Ibn Tarmyyiah:

the Transpysis preferred the opinion of Ibn Abbas who decided in a case in which a man divorced has write twice and made Khul' once. He judged that the sworms many return to be trauband without marrying amother mun first. Breshin his Si 'd bin Ab 'Wagasa, when appointed a governor in Yenen, asked the 'Abbas about his question saying that most of the cases of divorce in Yenen are made in return for a compression given to the basked able "Abbas replied this such as of was not a divorce, though it was termed divorce by people by mission."

#### The condition of wording and intention in Khul' Khul' and divorce are valid when made in a language

other than Arabic, according to the opinion of the majority of scholars. As there is no language other than Arabic that has the terms for both divorce and Khul', the distinction between the two will be in the compensation given in the case of Khul', not the wording.

The divorce mentioned in the Qur' an site instance when a man divorces his wise without receiving a compensation from her, in which case divorce will be revocable. As for offerorce made in return for a compensation, it is irrevocable. It is not considered as the known divorce, rather it is more like a ransoon with which a wife offer herself from the rhadaud. It is not counted in the three proconnectates of divorce, no matter in which evens it is not control to the control of the co

#### Zhihar

What is the meaning of a husband's saying to his wife:

## "You are just like my mother or sister"?

Q: What about a husband who said to his wrife: "You are just like my mother or sister"?
A: If he means that she is honored and dignified just like

his mother or sister, it is permassible to say so. If he intends that she is like his mother or sister as far as marrange is concerned, this is considered as Shihar. If he continued to live with her as man and wife, he should not have intercourse with her until he performs the due Kaffarah for Zhihar.

## Is divorce effected if a husband demanded to consummate marriage with his wife on a certain day but she was not ready on it?

Q: A man concluded a marriage contract and be wanted to consummate his marriage on a certain day, otherwise his wife will be just like his mother or sister. Yet, the wife was not ready on that night. Is this considered as divorce?

At No divorce is effected in this case according to the four juristic schools. Yet, this act is considered as Zhihar. If he wanted to consummate marriage, he should first make the Kaffarah mentioned in surah Ai-Mujidila. He is entitled to emancipate a Muslim slave. If he has not any, he should last for two consecutive months. If he can not, he should feed sixty poor Muslims.

Is it permissible for a man to reconcile with his wife even after he said to her: You are just like my mother as far as marriage is concerned?

- Q: A man got angry with his wife and he said to her: You are just like my mother as far as marriage is concerned. Is it permissible for him to reconcile with her?
- A: If he reconciled with her, he should perform the Kaffarah of Zhibar. He is emitled to emancipate a Muslim slave. If he has not any, he should fast for two consecutive months. If he can not, he should feed sixty poor Muslims. He should not live with her as man and wife unless he performed Kaffarah.

When a man says that his wife is just like his mother during her absence, is she prohibited for him as a wife? Q: A man said to his friend; Dear brother, it is not desirable to perform such acts before your wife. The other replied: she is just like my mother. His friend said: Why do you say so? I know that she became prohibited for you as a wife once you said so. The man repeated it swear she is, just like my mother. Does she become prohibited for bin?

A: All praise be to Allah, the Lord of the Worlds. It all depends on his intention. If he means that she is just like his mother to the extent that she does not blance him for his bad acts or reveals such acts before others, she is not prohibited for him as a write. Yet he should be punished

When "Umar bin Al-Khattab (may Allah be pleased with ham) heard a man calling his wife." O sister!", "Umar blamed hum for that and punished him somehow, if the man does not know this to be prohibited in Islam, he does not deserve to be punished, although he had performed an ugly deed. A man should not call his write as his mother.

If he intends that she is just like his mother as far as marriage is concerned i.e. to have intercourse with her and perform such acts only allowed with one's write, this is considered as Zhihar according to the Jurisite schools of Abu Hanifah, Shaff'! I and Ahmed.

According to Malik, there is disagreement whether this is considered as a thrice divorce. He should not have intercourse with her unless he performed Kaffarah. He is entitled to emancipate a Muslim slave. If he has not any, he should fast for two consecutive months. If he can not, he should feed sixty poor Muslims. If he performed either, she is no longer prohibited for him.

In conclusion, it is not divorce at all. Yet a man should not have intercourse with his wife in this case unless be performed Kaffarah according to the unanimous agreement of Muslim Imams. Allah knows best.

## When a man says to his divorced wife: If I resumed marriage with you, you will be just like my mother, what should he do?

Q: What about a man who said to his divorced wife: If I resumed marriage with you, you will be just like my mother or sister? Is it allowed to resume marriage with her? What should be do?

Az All praise be to Allah, the Lord of the Worlds. According to some scholars, he should perform Kaffarah of Zhibar act. According to others, he is not exitted to perform it. It is safer for a person to adopt the first view.

## Divorce

## Is a drunkard's divorce effected?

Q: When a drunkard who lost consciousness divorces his wife as a effected?

A: All praise be to Allah, the Lord of the Worlds. There are two points of view in this case according to scholars. The soundest of which is that a drunkard's diverce is not effected. This is the opinion held by the Emir of the Befievers 'Uthanian bin 'Affan and no different opinion was held by any other common as far as I know.

This opinion was also adopted by many sncient and modern scholars such as 'Umar bin' 'Abdul' 'Aziz, Iman Alamed and some of his followers, Iman Shafi'll in an amaration and some of his followers, and some of Abu Hanifah's followers such as Al-Tahawi as well as many others.

I believe that this is the soundest opinion. When Ma' zx is in Mal's came to the Prophet (egace be upon him and confessed that he had committed adultery, the Prophet communited in committed adultery, the Prophet occurrentated his companions to sund his mouth in order to clack. Whether he is drawlard or not. If he had been considered drawlard, his combission would have been considered drawlard, his combission would have been considered because he drawling prohibited beverages, but he does not know what he really said during drawlanceness.

Deeds are judged according to one's intention while a drunkard never has a straightforward intention. It is the





claims that he had been coerced to do so, his claim is accepted. Asking him to swear is a controversal point among scholars.

#### If a man is coerced to divorce his wife and he did, but after divorce she got married to another man, is this marriage valid?

Q: A man was imprisoned, beaten and coerced to divorce his wife for the first time. She was pregnant and she married another man. What about this marriage?
A: All praise be to Allah. This divorce is not valid. Her

marriage to another non while the is pregnant as invalid according to the unannous agreement of Mudlins. Such marriage is invalid even if she had been divorced. How comes that this happened while the is pregnant and even divorce is not effected? The people who coerced the man and those who conclude the second marriage contract should be pushfish somehow. The second hustand usual divorce the woman unal her. Mudlin (waiting period) from her firsh husband comes to an end.

As for the 'Iddah from the second busband, it is a controversal point among scholars. If the second husband knows that his 'marriage with her is forbidden, the sound opinion is that there should be an 'Iddah. If he thinks that such marriage is valid, there must be an 'Iddah from hard

### A man promised his wife to divorce her, but he bad the intention to resume marriage with her and conclude a new marriage contract with another dowry, is this valid?

Q: A man said to his wife; I do not want you. Go to your family. I am going to divorce you. He really intended to divorce her. Is it valid to resume marriage with her and conclude a new marriage contract with another down?

A: The promise to divorce is not effected and it is neither obligatory nor desirable to fulfill it. If he really divorced her and by saying "Go to your family", he meant divorce, she is once divorced unless he intended more. He has the right to resume marriage with her during her walking period even without her consent, her custodian or dowry. Allsh knows bern.

#### Is it permissible for a man to divorce his wife just because his mother bates her?

Q: A woman hates the wife of her son although she has given birth to children. The husband's mother advises him to divorce her. Is it allowed for him to do so?





# When a man thrice divorces his wife unintentionally and be means just once, is it

effected?

Q: A man quarreled with his wrife and he wanted to divorce her once, but he erred and he divorced her direce.
What is the leaf judgence, the

A: All praise be to Allah. If he erred and divorced her thrice while he intends just once, only one divorce is effected. Even if he erred and intended to say another word instead of divorce but he said it unintentionally, no divorce is effected. Allah knows heat.

A man is indebted to his wife and he wanted to divorce her if he did not pay his debt. If she acquitted him from such deht, is divorce affected?

Q: A man was indebted to his wrife who said to him: I am afraid that you may not pay me back. He replied: If I have not settled such debt by the end of Ramadan, you are thrice divorced. The husband is now absent in Qus and he did not appoint an agent to act on behalf of him. If the wife acquitited him is discover effected? A: If she acquitted him, he should not divorce her according to many jurists such as Abu Hanfah, Muhammad, Ahmed and others. If she acquitted him, there is no debt to be settled. The same thing applies when a man pays the debt on behalf of another as the lender obtained his money.

The Prophet (peace be upon him) said to a woman: "If there had been a debt on your mother (or father), would you have paid it? She replied: Yes. The Prophet said: Allah's rights are better fulfilled.

## Is it permissible for a man to re-marry his exwife whom he divorced thrice before consummating marriage with her?

Q: Is it permissible for a man to re-marry his virgin exwife whom he divorced thrice before consummating marriage with her?

A: All praise be to Allah, Divorcing a woman thrice before or after consummating marriage makes her illegal for the husband until she married another who, in turn, divorced her. This is the opinion held by the four juristic schools.

A woman is divorced thrice before consummating marriage and when she gets



the new wife hated the old one, she has the right to divorce her on his behalf. The term of this authorization letter is ten years. Later, he dworced the authorized woman, is such suthorization valid? Will it be invalid because the authorized woman is divorced?

A: All praise be to Allah. Some may think that this question is related to authorization depending on the fact that if a husband authorized his wife in a sate contract, for example, and later he thrice divorced her, such authorization is not valid as mentioned by jurists. The case here is different.

This suborization letter is invalid once he diverged her. because he dan on timent of obscrotch first wife. The man's intertion was to make the second wise have full freedom in divergen the first. He means that if the second wife did not want another wife to live with her hardward, when the second wife and not work to the wife the hardward woman is divorced, she has no right to use such authorization letter. The insuband rates such letter just to please his second wife and now the is thrice divorced. It is now meaningless.

As for stipulating certain conditions in the marrage contract such as not to make polygamy, some scholars believe that such conditions are valid. If the husband breached the condition and married monther, the wide has the right to terminate the contract. This is a more expressive case than our case here in which he auditorized the new write to divorce the old one once site hated her. In both cases, the authorized woman has the right to not according to the contract or letter when there is already another wife. According to Abu Hanifah and Shafi'l, such conditions are invalid.

The authorization letter is permissible according to the unanimous agreement of Muslim scholars and the authorizer has the right to terminate it. If one said to his write: "You are free to divorce yourself," his is a controversial point among scholars. According to Abroad Shaff, it, it just an authorization and the substant lats the right to terminate in before the uses it. On the other work to the control of the control o

According to Ahmed, Malik and other scholars, if the man stipulates that if he married another wife, she would have the right to be divorced so long as she is his wife. Once he divorced her, she does not have any right to such stipulation. Allah knows best.

# When a man's authorized agent thrice divorces his (the man's) wife, is it permissible for the husband to return to his wife?

Q: A quarrel occurred between a man and his wrife. He was about to travel and he said to his agent: If she is content with this sum of money as her expenses, hand it over to her. If she is discontent, divorce her. After the





general permission to get out, it is permissible for her to get out. Allah knows best

A man accused his wife of stealing a sum of money and said to ber: If you did not bring this money, you are divorced. Is divorce effected?

- Q: A man accused his wrfe of stealing a sum of money. She said: I swear by Allah that I have not stolen it. He said: If you did not being this money, you are thrice divorced. What about the status of the wife?
- A: If it turns out that she did not steal the money, she is not to be divorced according to the soundest opinion beld by scholars. The husband means that she is divorced only if she had taken the money. Allah knows best

When the husband says to his wife: "you are divorced if you give birth to a female baby", and he revoked his threat. The woman gave birth to a female baby. Is divorce effected? Q: While his wife is pregnant, the husband said to her:
"you are divorced if you give birth to a female baby".
Before giving birth, he revoked his threat. Later the
woman cave birth to a female baby. Is divorce effective?"

As If he divorced her inverscably or he left her until the 'Iddah (whiting permot) comes to an end, there are two 'Iddah (whiting permot) comes to an end, there are two 'Iddah (whiting permot) comes to his regard, insun 'Shafi T also held two opinions in his regard, one of them is that divorce as effected, and this is the opinion of Ahmed as well. If he after two his divorce and returned to as well. If he after two his divorce and returned to as well. If he after the thin the state of the control of valid. Divorce is effected if he left the most pending (i.e., he still stropless the condition mentioned in the question.)

During a quarrel with his wife, the husband said: if you say "divorce me", I will do, but she kept silent. What is the legal ruling on this matter?

Q: A man quarreled with his wife and he was hurt. Accordingly, he said: if you say "divorce me", you are thrice divorced, but she kept silent. Then she said to ber mother: what does he say? Her mother told her his words. The wife said: Divorce me. Is divorced once or thrice effected?



#### An angry man swore an oath of thrice divorce that his pregnant wife should not enter her aunt's house. After giving hirth, she entered this house. Is divorce effected?

Q: An angry man swore an eath of thrice divorce that his pregnant wife should not enter her aunt's house. Alto giving burth to a buby, she entered this house. The man once said to other people: If my wife entered her aunt's house after giving birth, she is not to be blamed. Is divorce effected?

A: If the man intends that once his wife gave birth to a child, be is not to be blamed for his oath and that his wife is free to enter her aunt's house, divorce is not effected. Yet his oath is still effective and if his wife entered her aunt's house while she is pregnant, divorce is effected. Allah knows best.

Before his travel, a hushand swore an oath of divorce that his wife should not get out of the house during his absence, but out of necessity, she went out. Is divorce effected? Q: Before his travel, a husband said to his wife: If you get out of the house during my absence, you are divorced. After his arrival, she said to him: There was necessity and I was obliged to get out. Is divorce effected?

A: If she believes that such necessity is not included in his oath and that she does not act in disconformity with his oath when she gets out, divorce is not effected.

When a pregnant woman refuses to have intercourse with her hushand and he swore an oath of divorce that he will not have intercourse with her after giving birth. What is the legal judgement if he had intercourse with her after giving birth?

Q: A pregnant woman refused to have intercourse with her husband who was hurt because of such refusal and swore an oath of divorce that he will not have intercourse with her after giving birth. Is divorce effected if he had intercourse with her after giving birth? Is the reason for such an oath refuse into consideration?

A: If he had intercourse with her after giving birth, his intention and the reason for such an oath are to be taken into consideration. If he swore this oath for a cenam reason and now this reason is non-existent, no divorce is effected according to the most appropriate opinion held by scholars such as imma Ahmed and others. If a man swore



#### When a man says to his wife: "You are thrice divorced" and he has the intention to make it pending, is divorce effected?

Q: A man got angry with his wife and he said to her: "You are thrice divorced". She said: "now", and he replied: "now". He has the intention to make this divorce pending, is it effected?

A: If he intends by saying "I am committed to divince her" that he does not waste to divroce her but his he just sugges her to respect him, no divorce to self-ceed, if he stand "now, Atlah willings", such pending divorce is not feeted, according to the Juristic Schools of Abu Haarifish and Shaff 1. According to Ahmed and Maßa, it is effected as reported "by the "Abuses So long as he intends that divorce power of the standard and the standard standar

If a man divorces has wife as a sort of joking, it is effected because he means to divorce he re-or if he does not meted to put it into effect. The man in question does not mean to divorce his wire of and he was not joking as well. Thus the divorce his wire and he was not joking as well. Thus divorced "believing that she is not he wised but named to divorced" believing that she is not have with our named in divorced "believing that she is not have with our named in the same and the size also, no divorce is effected as the same and t

#### Suraij Question Is the Suraii Question true?

Q: Is Suraij Question true? If it is not, what about a man who imitated him and acced accordingly? When he knew that it is not true, he repented and asked Allah for forgiveness. Does Allah forgive hun?

At All praise be to Allah, the Lord of the Worlds. This question is a sort of monotone in Islam. None of the Prophet's Companions, their followers or the foot Imams adopted it. Some later schalars adopted it, but most Muslim schairs densed it. If a person initiates another as for as this question is concerned and later the repended, Allah will forgive him. He should not divorce has will even if he matrical her depending on the unexpression of this question. Allah knows best.

# \* \* \* Is it permissible to make a marriage contract in which divorce is stimulated?

Q: A man married a woman and she gave birth to a child. The witnesses advised him that once he consummates marriage with her to say to herr lf1 divorce you, you are divorced. Is this contract permissible?



a girl and claimed that she belongs to the first husband. Is such claim true? Please be acknowledged that she and the first husband live in the same town and she did not demand for alimony or expenses for the girl.

A: All praise be to Allah. This girl does not belong to the first husband once she claimed so according to the unanimous agreement of Muslim scholars. Even if she gave birth to the girl when she is divorced and she claimed that the girl belongs to him but he demed so, her claim is not accepted unless she has proved it.

According to Abu Hanifah and one of Ahmed's narraions, a woman is enough as a witness in this regard. According to Malik and the other narration of Ahmed, two women are required. Shafi'l stipulates that there should be four women. As for the man, it is enough if be swore an eath denying that the child belongs to him.

If they are still married and live a man and wife, there are two opinious in Ahmed's Aurines 6-000. The first one is that her claim is not accepted according to Shift' I's opinion, while the second is that it is necessarily a second in the second is a second in the second in the second is the second in the se

There are two opinions held by scholars in this regard. Ahmed, Abu Harinfish, and hos Suriaj believe that the child belongs to lum. Staft i and Mafils see that the child does not belong to him. This controversial point is applicable if the did not marry. Yet, if she married after her waiting period is over and then gave both to a body after more than six mouths of pregnancy, it does not belong to the first hubsula according to the unanimous agreement of

As the opposition of the four brain's Schools are clarified, to be comen that the girl bedongs to the first insubstid after the large of all years! Event if the aud than the hair insubstid after the large of the property of the doctors not girl and the compact protoid, and he should water as one one one of the first and that they provide he had to be property of the property of t

A man divorced his wife but a Mufti delivered him a fatwa that divorce is not effected. The man had intercourse with his wife and she gave birth to a child. Was the child born out of adultery?



useff was invalid and the wife gave birth to a body not of such intercourse, the body belongs to the Insuband and they inherit each other. The body belongs to this because the inherit costs of the body belongs to an observed divoce is not effected because or wronged and other reason. This case is also applicable on invalid contracts according to the unanimous agreement of Mestlem scholars. What about the controversal geometry.

Even if a man had intercourse with a woman during an invalid marriage such as that made only for pleasure (Murl'ah), or a marriage held without a custodian and witnesses, but he befieves it to be legal, the born child belones to him.

Then what about a controversial marriage although it is proven to be legal according to the Holy Qur'an, the Prophet's Sunnah and analogy?

When a woman gives birth to a baby just two months after the marriage contract although the husband had not consummated marriage with her, is such marriage valid?

Q: A woman gave both to a baby just two months after the marriage contract is made although the husband had not consummated marriage with her, is such marriage valid? Is be entitled to pay an alimony?

A: All praise be to Allah. According to the unanimous agreement of Muslims the baby does not belong to him and he is not entitled to pay a dowry. Yet, scholars hold tow commons on the marriage contract:

1. The mest appropriate opinion is that it is invalid according to the juristic schools of Malik and Ahmed is well as others. Therefore, marriage must come to an end without paying dowry, as is the case in any other invalid contract. It is rather better if a judge-shouldered the responsibility of putting an end to such marriage as a cort of nervatine pay dispare.

The contract is valid but the bushand should not consummate marriage until she gives birth. This is the opinion of Abu Hanifah, while Shafi? I believes that be can consummate marriage before giving birth.

If she is programs out of a controversal muritage or because the husband had intercourse which her and divorced her before consummaning muritage, he should up half the downy. If the murrage is invalid according to the unanimous agreement of Muslims, as in the case, he is not emilited to pay downy. If he divorced her before emilited in pay downy. If he divorced her before a pregnant woman out of adultery, the muritage contract is invalid according to Sahri'i and Aharda sheel as others. If he murried her willingly, this is a controversial point among scholars.

### Iddah (The Waiting Period)

#### Is a woman's claim that she no longer menstruates accepted and she gets married according to it?

- Q: A woman was divorced on the 28% of Rabi\* Al-Anward and the mentiorated once until the was married to another on the 23% of Jamuslah Al-Albiriah on the same year. She claimed that she menstruated three times. When the sent hasband strew that the mentiorated rate only once, he divorced hasband strew that the mentiorated three the sent of the marry the second hasband again and the claims that the longer menstruates. Is her claim, accepted? Is it legal to marry her?
- A: A woman's chim that she no longer menstrances is not accepted once is suit on If she said on, this is to be left for a year. If she does not menortrave during it, she could be married. If she reached an age where she no longer menstrantes, she is not to be left for such year. If she no longer menstrantes because of an ifluence of such particular to longer mentals because of an ifluence of such particular to the she is still in her watting period until such reason is money-tissum.

This woman should have spent two 'Iddahs (waiting periods) for each of the two husbands. The second marriage is invalid and hence it does not require that he divorce her. If she menstruated only once and blood ceased continuously, she must spend the two waiting periods after she leaves the second husband. The term of the two waiting periods is slx months.

This case is applicable if she no longer menstrantes, It she doubts whether she no longer menstrantes on the doubts whether she no longer menstrantes or not opportunity of the she as year and three months. This opportunity is based on some jurish; belief that the opportunity is based on some jurish; belief that the waiting periods do not interfere, such as Mahik, Staff in the maintain, they interest the shading period of a woman who no longer menstrantes the waiting period of a woman who no longer menstrantes is determined if the resched such as the resched such as the same period of a woman who no longer menstrantes.

The opinion which we mentioned is the best and easiest and it was delivered by 'Umar bin Al-Khatab. The other opinion that a doubtful woman should stay until she reaches the age when she no longer menstruates is very difficult for people to adopt.

### When a judge abrogates a woman's marriage and her husband wants to return to her, is she entitled to a waiting period?

Q: A judge ahrogates a woman's marriage after giving birth to a baby because her husband no longer supports her financially for a long period of time. Three months later, he wanted to return to her, is she enteiled to a watting period? It is well known that most women do not menstruate during the period of sackling the boby. Will she wait until the suckling period comes to an end and she menstruates once again?

A: All praise be to Allah. According to the unantinous agreement of the four Juristic Schools and others, she is entitled to a wanting period during which she must menstruate three times even if menstruation did not occur until the suckling period is over.

This is also the judgment of 'Uthman bin' Affan and 'Alt bin Abi Talib and none of the companions issues a different ruling. If the woman wants to give her baby to another woman to suckle him so that she may menstruate or even she has a modicine for that purpose, it is permissible to do so. Alfah knows best.

A woman was divorced after giving birth to six children. After divorce, she did not menstruate for six months. Is it legal for her to marry

another man after this period?

Q: A woman used to menstruate when she was a virgin.
After her marriage, she gave birth to ax children and
ceased to menstruate. She was divorced by her husband
while suckling her last baby. She stayed with her family
for six months and still she did not menstruate. Another
man promoted to her. They attended before a judge who

saked her about mentionation. She said that she had no membrated years ago. The judge said if it so not lead for you to marry. Another judge made her marriage courset, but the did not said her about restriction. When our said judge was informed of the encident, he summoned the vidjoint of the said of the said of the said of the core humbond, lashed him one handred while, you do him that he had committed adultery and separated between them. The humbond did not drivers, Is divorce effectived?

As All praise be to Allah, if she no longer mentrates because of an illense or because of askiling a boly, he mast want until this reason is non-existent. If she does not know the reason for the cease of mentration, the should want for a year and then get namericd. This is the opinion of "Unare thin A-februith, Allment and Shaft i. This so the opinion of "Unare thin A-februith, Allment and Shaft i. This so that is the should be shou

When a suckling woman has a medicine so that she may menstruate and she has already menstruated thrice, does her waiting period come to an end?

Q: When a divorced suckling woman felt that she did not menstruate a long time ago and she had a medicine so that she may menstruate and she has already menstruated thrice, did her waiting period come to an end?

A: Yes, once she menstruated thrice, it came to an end. If she had a medicine so that she may menstruate and she has already menstruated, it is permissible. If she became hungry or tired and this led to menstruation, and she has already menstruated thrice, her waiting period came to end. Allbh knows best

٠

A sick man divorces his wife but later denied that he had done so. Days later he died. Is the wife entitled to a death or divorce waiting period?

Q: A man got II for a long time. He ordered his wife to get out of the house but she related, Accordingly, he stody You are divorced. She got out and welled herself. When he called her, she entered usering her veil. When he she her about the reason for such well, she told him that he had divorced her. He denied and said that he had not devel her. Days later, he died, is she entitled to a death or divorce useful me period?

A: If he was fully aware during divorce, she is entitled to wait for both periods and she has the right to have her due share in his inherited properties. If he was absent-minded and was not aware that he had divorced her, she is entitled to spend the death waiting period. Allah knows best.

#### Is a woman entitled to spend a death waiting period again if she did not spend it in her house?

Q: A woman used to get out of her house during the death waiting period out of necessity. Is she entitled to spend the waiting period again? Did she commit a sin?

A: Once four months and ten days passed after her husband's death, the waiting period is over and she is do entitled to spend it again. If she was obliged to get out of her house during such period, she is not to be blamed for it. If she went out without any oncessity, she should sak Allah for forgiveness and repent to Him, but she is not entitled to spend the waiting period again.

#### Is it permissible to engage a woman who spent just forty days out of the waiting period of her late husband?

Q: A man died and his wife spent forty days of his death waiting period. Then, she traveled to a faraway lown. She did not apply cosmetics or perfumes. Is it permissible to engage her?

Az. All peaks be to Althá. The death waiting period conveto an end after the lapse of four moments after and yelthere are still some days of this period, the should spend them in her house. She should not go tou either at days or night except for a necessity. She should avoid applying commities to perfumes either on the body or clothes. She is allowed to eat whatever food permissible. She can altoward meet any person permissible for her to meet. He many proposed to her, she should not give him a clear-out reply. Althis hows best.

" " "

If a wife intended to perform pilgrimage with
her husband, but he died before travel, is it
permissible for her to perform pilgrimage?

Q: If a wife intended to perform pilgrimage with ther hisband, but he died on Sha' ban, is it permissible for her to perform pilgrimage? A: according to the unanimous agreement of the four

A: according to the unanimous agreement of the mur-Juristic Schools, it is not permissible for her to travel for pilgrimage during the death walting period.

#### Suckling the baby

#### When two sisters suckle the daughters of each other, is it prohibited for these daughters to marry their cousins?

Q: When two sisters suckle the daughters of each other, is it prohibited for these daughters to marry their cousins?

A: When a woman suckles another's baby five times during the sackling period (the first two years), the (female) baby becomes her daughter. All the children of the suckling woman become brothers and sisters of this suckled baby whether they were born before or after suckling. None of the suckling woman's soms should murry the grif suckled by his mother.

On the contrary, the suckled girl's brothers can marry the daughters of the woman who suckled their sister, provided that such daughters were not suckled by their (the sons') mother. Only the suckled girl is prohibited for the sons of the woman who suckled her.

Thus it is permissible for a man to marry the sister of hlsister (by suckling) if he was not suckled by her mother and she was not suckled by his mother. As for the suckled girl, she should not marry any of the sons of the woman who suckled her according to the unanimous agreement of Mustim scholars.

#### The origin of this case is as follows:

The woman who suckled this daughter becomes her mother. The mother's sents are proliabled to marry throad and the suckled that the suckles tha

Two men were suckled by the same woman, then one of them got married and he had a girl. Is it permissible for the other man to marry this girl?

Q: Two men were suckled by the same woman, then one of them got married and he had a girl. Is it permissable for the other man to marry this girl?

A: When a baby is suckled five times during the first two years by a woman, she becomes his mother. All her children, born before or after suckling him, are his brothers. Suckling prohibits what birth prohibits as regards marriage, according to the Sunnah of the Prophet (peace bupon hum) and the unanumous agreement of Mushim

scholars. Therefore, this man is not allowed to marry the other's daughter just as he is not allowed to marry his brother's daughter.

# \* \* \* When a girl is suckled with her cousin, is it nermissible for him to marry her sister?

Q: A man has two female cousins; one of them was suckled with him while the second was not, is it permissible for him to marry the girl who was not suckled with him?

A: When a body is suckled five times during the first two years by a woman, she is his mother and it is prohibited for him to marry any of her daughters born before or after he had been suckled, because they are his sister according to the unanimous agreement of Muslim scholars.

If a girt was suckled by a woman, she is not allowed to marry any of her soos. If the fiance was not suckled by the mother of his fiancee neither was she suckled by his mother, it is permissible for them to marry each other according to the unanimous agreement of Muslim scholars. Atha honows hest.

#### Is it permissible for the son of a suckling woman to marry the daughter of the girl who was suckled by his mother?

Q: A woman lirred another suckling woman in order to suckle her daughter for a day or month. Is it permissible for the suckling woman's son to marry this girl?

A: All praise be to Allah. If the girl was suckled five times during the first two years, the suckling woman becomesher mother and it is prohibited for her to get married in any of her soms born before or after she had been suckled according to the unanimous agreement of Mussin scholars. If anyone considers this to be permissible, he is to be

invited for repentance. If he does not repent, be is to be killed. If this suckled girl has sisters from her mother, it is permissible for them to get married to any of the sucking woman's sons according to the unamimous agreement of Muslim scholars. Allah knows best.

A man married two wives and a baby was suckled by one of them. This man had a daughter born by the second wife. Now, is it permissible for the suckled person to marry this daughter? If they have already got married, is it permissible for the judge to separate between Q: A man married two wives, one after the other, and a baby was suckled by the first. This man had a drughter born by the second wife. Is it permissible for the suckled person to marry this daughter? If they have already got married, is it permissible for the judge to separate between them? Is this a continuous right amone scholars?

A: If the beby was suckled five times during the first two years, he is not allowed to marry this girl according to the unanimous agreement of Muslim scholars.

the 'Athes was asked about a man who had two write. the first suckets a boy while the scored sucked a girl, is it as permissible for them to marry each other? He replace! No is not. Belleds. A Shabhari and Mostline reported that A Shabhari and Shabhar

. . .

If a man was not suckled by the mother of a girl neither was she suckled by his mother, but their younger brothers and sisters exchanged suckling

#### from both mothers, is it prohibited for this man to marry this girl?

Q: If a man was not suckled by the mother of a girl neither was she suckled by his mother, but their younger brothers and sisters exchanged sucking from both mothers, is in prohibited for this man to marry this girl? If he married her and she gave birth to a bibly, what is the legal mothermore What is the scholars' commission in this research.

A: All praise be to Allah. If the man was not suckled by her mother neither was she suckled by his mother, but his brothers and sisters were suckled by her mother and her brothers and sisters were suckled by his mother, it is permissible for him to marry her according to the unaminous agreement of Mustim scholars. Since considered as the sister of his brother from his father.

Socking leads to prohibiting some kinds of marriages as for as the sackided person and his offstring, the sacking woman and her bushand are concerned. The suchting woman and her bushand are concerned. The suchting her bushand some become his brothers whether born before or after he had been sackided, her bushand becomes his falder, and this husband's soms become his brothers whether born before or after he had been sackided, are for the sackided person's read purrous, brothers and sisters, they are marriageable to his sacking mother, her bushand and other children. This opinion is delivered according to the unanumous agreement of Muslim scholars, the four Jurusic Schools, the companions and their followers. Some scholars adopt a different opinion that such suckled milk does not lead to prohibiting certain kinds of marriages, but traditions support the online on the Muslim scholars.

. . .

#### When one of two sisters is suckled with a boy, is it permissible for him to marry the second sister?

Q: There are two sisters; one of them has two daughters and the other has a son. One of these daughters was suckled with this son. Is it permissible for him to marry the second sister?

A: If one of the daughters was suckled by the mother of the son while he was not suckled by her mother, it is permissible for him to marry her sister according to the unanimous agreement of Muslim scholars.

When a boy is suckled with a girl, is it permissible for his brother to marry her sister?

Q: A woman left her daughter with the wife of her brother. Upon her return, she asked: Have you suckled her? Sile replied: "No" and swore that sile had not. Her nephew as well as her daughter became old. Is a permassible for them to get married although the nephew has a brother who was suckled with the daughter's other dates."

A: If the daughter was not suckled by the mother's flance neither the flancee was suckled by the daughter's, it is permissible for them to marry each other even if the flance's brothers and sisters are her brothers and sisters by suckling.

There is a unanimous agreement among Muslim scholars, the there is a bit in this regard. When a buby is sucked by a women, she becomes his mother, her husband is his father and her children are his bottomes and issers. As for his read flower mother, beothers and sisters, As for his read flower mother, brothers and sisters, it is permissible for any of them to marry any of his botthern or aistern by sucking, as when to marry any of his botthern or aistern by sucking, as the survival of the

When a girl is suckled by her aunt, is it permissible for the aunt's grandson to marry this girl?

Q: A married woman used to have milk in her breastwithout giving birth or being pregnant and she sackled her niece five times during the first two years of her life. Is it nermissible for this aunt's grandson to marry this girl?

- A: When a woman is married and she had intercourse with the Tusband and three has been milk in the Presuss, sucking this milk leads to prohibiting some sorts of marriages. When a girl is auckled five time from this woman, she becomes her mother and this aura's grandown becomes the grits nepthew and she is in his autar as well whether it the was suckled with mir or not it is premossible the was not suckled by his grandomoster.
- If a woman who never gets married has milk in her breasts, sacking it leads to prohibiting some sorts of narriages according to Abu Hamfah, Malik Shafi'l and a narriadon by Ahmed. Yet, Ahmed's doctrine stipulates that it does not lead to prohibition. Allah knows best.

#### When a mother denied that she suckled a girl married by her son, is it permissible to separate between them?

Q: A man engaged one of his relatives but her tather said to him: "she was suckled with you", and he refused to let him marry her. When the father died, he married her Witnesses attest that the mother suckled him but later she denied so and said: I have said so for a certain purpose Was it permissible for the man to marry her?

A: If the mother was known for telling the truth and this she really suckled him five times, they should be separated if they had already married. This is the soundest opinion held by Muslim scholars, Imam Al-Bukhar reported: The Prophet (peace be upon him) ordered 'Updah bin Al-Harith to divorce his wife when a black marden mentioned that the necked bins and be used.

If it is doubtful whether she tells the troth or not or there is doubt regarding how many times he was suckled, this is a dubious point which should be avoided. They are not to be separated without a strong proof which necessitates such separation.

If the woman denied her saying before they got married, the wife is not prohibited for him. Yet, if it turns out that she was a liar in such denial and that she denied her saying for a certain purpose, it is not permissible for them to get mornied. All himoses here

٠

After a man got married and had many

by his mother. What is the legal judgment on this matter? Q: After a man got married and had many children, he was told that his wife was suckled by his mother. What is the legal judgment on this matter?

A: If the man who told him so is known for telling the truth and he knows full well what happened, and he said that the wife was suckled by the husband's mother free times during the first two years, his saying is accepted. If he is not a truthful person, he saying is rejected. Allah knows hes:

If a man was suckled by a woman when he was a baby and this woman has daughters younger than bim, is it permissible for him to marry any of them?

Q: If a man was suckled by a woman when he was a buby and this woman has daughters younger than him, is it permissible for him to marry any of them?

A: If he was suckled by this woman five times during the first two years, he becomes a son of this woman. All children born after or before suckling him become his brothers and sisters according to the unanimous agreement of Muslim scholars.

## Is it permissible for a man to marry a girl who

Q: A woman has a boy while her sister has a girl. The girl was suckled by the boy's mother, but the reverse did not happen. Later, the boy's mother gave birth to several girls while the girl's mother gave birth to several boys. Is it permissible for one of the boy's brothers to marry the girl who was suckled with his brother? Is it permissible for any of the others boys to marry any of the other shows to marry any of the other shows to marry any of the other shows to marry any of the other girls.

A: All praise be to Allah, It is not permissible for the sexicked pit to mure yan of the boys of the woman who sexked ber whether before or after sexking her. As for the girl's broders, they can mary along of this wround the pit is broders, they can be always the sexwoman can marry say of the boy's mother. Prohibition of muritage, in this sex, is only persited to the sexked person. He should not marry say of the boy's mother. Prohibition of muritage, in this sex, is only persited to the sexked person. He should not marry say of the other with the marry say of these dendersts, Allah lowers lets.

#### A man used his wife's milk in washing his eyes and another suckled milk from his wife. Do their wives become prohibited?

Q: A man's eyes caused him pain and he washed them by use piss wels's milk. Does she become prohibited if he drank such milk and it reached his stormach? Another man loves his wife and while flurting her, he suckled some of her milk, does she become prohibited for him?

A: All praise be to Allah. It is permissible for the man to wash his eyes by using his wife's milk and she is not prohibited for him as a wife for the following reasons:

I he is an old man and if an old man suckled his wife's

- . He is an oot man and it an oot man sucked has write? milk or any other womans<sup>1</sup>, it does not lead to probabiliting any sort of marriages according to the manimum agreement of the four Irrastic Schools and almost all scholars, as indicated by the Qur'an and Sumanh. A'shain's Haddino fallin, the freed salin, the freed schools that the probability of t
- 2. Washing the eyes with milk does not lead to prothibiting any sort of marriage. This is a point of agreement among all scholars. Yet, there is dispute among scholars when milk is energed through his nose; he leads to the said prohibition according to Abu Huntish, healts and one of the marraneon of Shaft? I and Almed. Most scholars believe that when milk is entered through the nose via means other than socikline, it leads to the new of the safe when the control of the control o

said prohibition. This is also the most renowned opinion in Ahmed's juristic school.

As for the second question, suckling the wife's milk does not lead to the said prohibition according to the unanimous agreement of the four Juristic Schools.

A boy was suckled by a woman, and ten years later, she gave birth to a girl, is it permissible for him to marry this girl?

Q: A boy was suckled by a woman twice, and ten years here she gave birth to a girl, is it permissible for him to marry this girl?

A: If he was suckled five times during the first two years of his life, he becomes her son. It is prohibited for him to marry any of her daughters whether born before or after he had been suckled according to the unanimous agreement of Muslim scholars

A "suckling" is defined as the baby's sucking milk from the woman's breats and then leaving in IF the did not times in just one suckling, thus is considered as five sucklings. The same thing is applicable if the dist in wouchlings. A "suckling" does not refer to the quantity of milk a baby may have each suckling session, because the may sucklin him in the morning and evening and during

each session, he may have taken many sucklings. Allah

#### 16. When a boy is suckled by a girl's mother and later this boy died, is it permissible for his brother to marry this girl?

Q: A woman has a boy and another has a girl. The girl's mother suckled the boy many times, and later this boy died, is it permissible for his brother to marry this gir?? Please be acknowledged that this brother was not suckled by the girl's mother at all.

A: According to the unanumous agreement of the four juristic Schools, it is permissible for the brother of the suckled boy to marry the daughter of the suckling woman whether the suckled boy is dead or alive. Allah knows best,

A boy was suckled by the wife of his uncle when be was more than two years old, is it permissible for him to marry her daughter?

Q: A boy was suckled by the wife of his uncle when he was more than two years old, is it permissible for him to marry her daughter? A: If he was suckled after be had been more than two years old, it is permissible for him to marry her

#### When a woman keeps her breast away from a baby once he starts suckling, is it permissible for him, once he is old, to marry this woman's daughter?

Q: A woman has given another her baby while they were in a public bathroom. The baby starts suckling the woman's breasts while the woman was unaware. She kept away from him and she is not sure whether he had been suckled or not. Is it prohibated rish boy to marry any of the suckling woman's daughters?

A: According to the unanimous agreement of the four Juristic Schools, it is not prohibited for this boy to many any of her daughters, because she is not his mother and she is not to be prohibited depending on a doubtful event.

#### Alimony

A man divorced his wife thrice and he has a girl who is still suckled, is he entitled to pay alimony?

- Q: A man divorced his wife thrice and he has a girl who is still suckled, and her family obliged him to pay alimony. What is the term of 'Iddah (waiting period) during which she will not menstrate so that the may sackle the prif?
- As All prace by to Allah, According to the majority of Missim schlors said. Smith all find Almed, the woman three disorded does not devere allowed, and woman three disorded does not devere allowed, and the allowed allowed the said to the said to the said to the allowed to the said to the said to the said to show the woman who sackles is exposed to bits encounted. According to the ununinous garvenum of allowed to the said to the said to the said to the encounted. According to the ununinous garvenum of said to the said to the said to the said to the saiding the gift as Allha said. "And fire saidely soon of softingman, give them their recompense." The allmost as to be paid by the vital to do with the poor real set mixed of

#### When a woman needs money, does she take it from her husband or from her dowry?

- Q: When a married woman needs money, does she take it from her husband or from her dowry?
- A: A husband is obliged to support his wife financially out of his own money, and not from her dowry. As for the

postponed portion of her dowry, it is permissible for her to demand for it. If the husband gave it to her, it is rather better. If he refused, he should not be obliged to do so until they are separated either by death or divorce. Allah innova hear

When a woman disobeys her husband, is he still entitled to support her with money and clothes?

Q: A man married a woman who disobeys him and even though demands for money and clothes, does she deserve them?

A: If she refused to have intercourse with hum or she got out of his house without his permission, she does not deserve financial support or clother. The same ruling applies when he asks her to travel with him but she refuses. As long as she disobeya him, she does not deserve financial support or clothes.

When a man leaves his wife for a whole year and does not support her with money, is it permissible for her to marry another man to support her?

- Q: A man married a woman and ravyled for a whole year beaving no memory to support her Buelske, she does not have any other money to support her and she was shout to die out of hunger. A man engage the rain dark was shout to die out of hunger. A man engage the rain darke was the became pregnant, the judge was informed of this new marriage and he separated between them. Laser, she gave birth to a baby and the second husband kept on supporting her until the boy was four para old, Urill nave, the first husband did not return and it is not known where the control of the con
- A: If the first husband did not support her, marriage could be abrogated. When her waiting period is over, she can marry any other man. Only the judge has the right to abrogate this marriage. If she did it herself for whatever reason, this is a controversial point of disagreement among scholars.
- If the padge did not abroque the first marriage commetand declared that her husband is dead and the middeal deal marriage is invalid. If the second husband dunks that this marriage is valid because of the first husband's death or whatever reason, the born baby belongs to him and the should pay her downy. Yet she must spend a waring period as the first marriage is shropated, then she is free to marry bownever she was the page.

After a man had married a woman, he left her for a whole year and traveled to his country. He did not send her money, is it permissible for the wife's father to abrogate the marriage?

Q: After a mun had married a woman, he wanted to travel no his country. The agent of his father-in-law said as do him: "Do not travel. Either you give the advance portion of the downry and take your wife with your restrict the master with your father-in-law." He traveled and fin not systemion to the agent's request. He was sawly for a year and he do not send her money to support her. It was the proper her, and the country is the marrise?

A: Yes it is. Once this husband married her, it is obligatory to support his wife. If he did not, the wife has the right to demand for abrogating the marriage.

When a wife travels with her father without her hushand's permission, what is the legal ruling concerning them?

Q: A man married a woman and he supports her financially. Yet, she disobeyed him and even traveled with her father without the husband's permission. What is the legal ruline concerning them? A: All praise be to Allah. If the father-in-law traveled with the wife without the husband's permission, he is to be punished some way or other. The wife is to be punished as well if she has the ability to refuse such travel. Once she traveled, she does not have the least right to receive financial sumout from her husband. Allah knows best.

A wife's family asked the busband to provide her with the clothes sufficient for a year and they have already obtained them. Then they demanded for money and said that they will support her. Is this act permissible?

- Q: A man married a woman for a year and then a quarrel took place between him and her family. They demanded for the clothes sufficient for a year and they have already obtained them. Then they demanded for money and said that they will support her claiming that they have not allowed him to support her! Is this act permissible?
- A: All pealse be to Allah, the Lord of the Worlds. If the husband married her according to the legal code and he used to support her with food as it is common with all people, neither she nor her father has the right to demand for financial support.

This was the custom of the Propher (peace be upon hum), he companions and all Musliams in each and every me and this is sthe ruling delivered by all scholars. If a person charged the bashward to give his influer-nulwa so must ot money in order to buy food for his daughter, he has thus seed in disconformity with the Summah of the Probe (peace be upon him) and the practice of the Muslims, even if some neede subgreat this epicials.

Yet, in this case, the husband supported her as her father chinself confessed. Their demand for money and their chain that the husband's support is not taken into consideration are invalid chains according to the revered Shari'ah. If a man believes that supporting the woman is just like the debt which must be received by her father, he is wrong due to the following:

Supporting a woman means providing her with food and the necessities of life, not saving money for her.

- a. The father's reception of such money is a useless act.
   b. Demanding for such money does not require the husband's permission, because he is obliged to support
- her according to the legal code. If her father prevented him from supporting her, his saying is not taken into consideration.
- such case depends on the prevalent custom which supports the husband in this regard.

It is not to be claimed that the father has not asked the husband to support her for the following two reasons:

1. The husband is responsible for her according to the legal code and he is to provide her with all her rights such as her physical needs, allotting a day for her in case he married other women and any other rights. According to the Holy Qur'am and Sunnah, men are cuardians and supporters of women and women are in.

be cared for and protected by men.

2. The prevalent custom followed by people in this case supports the husband. Allah knows best.

When a man is imprisoned hecause he did not settle his wife's financial support and provide her with the necessary clothes, is it permissible for her to demand for support during his imprisonment?

Q: When a man is imprisoned because he did not settle his wife's financial support and provide her with the necessary clothes, is it permissible for her to demand for support during his imprisonment?

A: If he was insolvent and she imprisoned him, she is urgust and she thus denied him his rights entitled on her. During the period of his imprisonment, she does not deserve financial support. Yet, if he was able to support her and he refrained from giving her due rights after she demanded for them, he is an unjust husband. If she did not refrain from his rightenutled on her, she must have her due financial support.

. . .

#### If a wife was of no avail to ber husband for two years because of her illness, does she deserve financial support?

Q: If a write was of no avail to her husband for two year-because of her illness, does she deserve financial support? If she does not deserve and a judge issued a legal ruling that she is entitled to have financial support, is the husband obliged to pay it?

A: Yes, she deserves support according to the unanimous agreement of the four Juristic Schools.

When a man divorces his wife while she is pregnant, but later she was aborted, does she deserve alimony?

Q: When a man divorces his wife while she is pregrant, but later she was aborted, does she deserve alimony? A: If she was aborted and thus her waiting period is over, she does not deserve alimony whether the fetus had been body and soul or not, provided that he had been fully created as a human being. If he had not been fully created, this is a controversial point of disagreement among wholese.

. . .

### Is the husband entitled to pay the waiting period alimony to his wife if she did not spend it at the place he had determined for this purpose? O: A man diversed his wife thrice and he ordered her to

spend the waiting period at her house, but she left it before the waiting period is over. The husband asked about her and checked that she left the house. Does she deserve the waiting period alimony?

At She does not deserve such alimony neither has she the right to demand for it on the past period according to the unanimous agreement of the four Juristic Schools. Allah knows best.

Is it permissible for the husband to ask his wife to give him the cost of supporting her child who belongs to a former husband? Q. A max married a secure who had a child form: former hashball. His fable supports him with money which he wide occurres. The current hashball used to support the child for years. When the married, there had been an amount of five Dinars as a portion of the downy to be paid to the wife a that time. The wife supposted that she would not demand for such money as long as be supports her child. She did not supports a certain usurd money as a financial support to the child. Is in premissable the property of the support of the property of the control of the child with him?

A: If he did not fulfill the condition which his wite sipulated, he does not have the right to demand for the cost of supporting the child once he did so willingly. He does not volunteer such money whether he supported the child as per his mother's permission or not.

#### Is a rich son entitled to support his old father, his wife and brothers?

Q: A man became incapable of earning his living and be does not have money to support him. He has a wife and children. Is his rich son entitled to support him, his wife and young brothers? A: All praise be to Allah, the Lord of the Worlds, Yes, a rich son is entitled to support his father, his wife and his younger brethers. If he did not do so, he has disobeyed his father and severed relations with him and he deserves the penalty of Allah in this world and the Hereafter. Allah

Is it permissible to give one's relatives out of one's Zakah and Kaffarah? What is the legal ruling on giving charity to needy relatives?

Q: What is the legal ruling on giving charity to needy relatives?

A: If one's money is not sufficient to support his relatives and non-relative, he is obliged to support his relatives and non-relative, he is obliged to support his relatives need in As for Zasha and Kaffarah, it is relatives need if As for Zasha and Kaffarah, it is permissible to give relatives out of them, provided that he is not extitled to support them such as his wife, me. It relatives and non-relatives are equally poor, it is rather better to give them to one's relatives.

Nursing the baby Who is to nurse the baby? When is it permissible for the nursing mother to demand for financial support? Qr. A man married a woman and he died leaving an eightpear-old child. The man's father is still alive. The wife saks her father-in-law to support her. Then the wrie got married and was divorced. Her father-in-law did not have about this marriage. Later, she took the child and traveled, while his grandfather does not know. Is he entitled to support her with money?

A: Once the mother got married, she does not have the right to morse the child. If she left her town and traveled, the grandfather has the right to take the child. Thus woman does not deserve to murse the child and if she demanded for alimony, she does not have the right to obtain it. If the grandfather is insolvent, he is not entitled to support his variation.

#### When a mother takes her child and agrees with her former busband that she will support their child, but later she demanded for financial support, is it permissible?

Q: A man has a seven-year-old child and his former write morried another man. The man took the child under his custody according to the ruitings of the revered Shart'sh and because there is no other supporter for him. His former wife deelded to take the child under her custody for a certam period. The man is afraid that once be did so, his former wife may demand for financial support and clothes. Is it permissible for her to demand for them? If they agreed that the mother will take the child while the father will pay nothing, is this permissible?

A: All prises be to Atlath, the Lord of the Worlds, to being as the total teet find and the supports have and agreed with her former husband on this matter, she does not have the first to demand for financial support according to the major to a financial support according to the support to the state of the support and the support an

#### Is it permissible for a father to oblige his son to travel oversees without the son's or mother's consent?

Q: A man has a son who traveled oversees to care for his father's trade. He has another son in his teens and his mother is divorced. This son lives with his maternal grandparents. His father wants him to travel in the company of his elder brother Yet, the son and his mother. do not agree to such voyage. Is it permassible for the father to oblige his son to travel?

A: The son is to choose whether to live with his father or his mother. If he choese to say with its unmarried mother, he has, the right to do so and the father can not oblige him to travel. Yet, he should go to his father during his day in order to reach him and resum to his mother at night. If he chose to live with his father, has the right to do so. If he decided to say with his father and the father saw that he should be compared to the decided to say with his father and the father saw that has the right to do so. If he decided to say with his father and the father saw that has the right to a father saw that he had not to the same that he had not been also as the right to a father him to result. All his however has the same that he had not been also that he had not had

#### Does the stepfather have the right to put the daughter of his wife from another husband under his custody?

Q: A man married a woman who was formerly married and has a daughter. The wife died and the girl stayed with her stepfather until he brought her up. A soldler woto take the girl under his custody. Is thus act permissible?

A: This soldier does not have the right to put her under his custody. If there is none of her relatives to put her under his custody, he most suitable person should take her under his custody. It is prohibited for her stepfather to marry her while the case is not so with the solder. If her stepfather takes proper care of her, he should not go to another. person considered as stranger for her and it is not permissible for him to look at her and stay alone with her.

## What about the son put under the custody of his mother?

Q: What about the son put under the custody of his mother?

A: When a son is out under his mother's custody and she

spent money on him hoping that she will ask her former husband to reapy, it he has the right to do so. This is the opinion of Malik and Ahmed. They believe that when a person does something on behalf of souther, such a scriling his debt or supporting his staves, he should return such morey even if the person who did so has not aded for the other's permission. Althin, the Almighty, soft "And if they suckle your (orfipering), give them their recompense."

Allah ordered the husband to give the mother due recomperse for musting the buby. Allah did not mension any conditions or agreements in this regard. If she ownered to lose the child funder the cuttody, she does not have the right to sak her former husband for support. If the man supplicing that if she revised with the child to fill the contract of the contract of the contract of the full right to do so, even if the intends to return back. So is not entitled on travel without his father's permission and if she traveled, she has caused him injustice. Allah knows

### Clarification and Comment

The mother is the most suitable person to take the child under her custody.

What will happen if an event occurred which prevented the mother from taking the child under her custody? The mother may not fulfill one of the conditions required as far as custodianship is concerned. She may even die, In this sace, another custodian should take care of the child according to the order ranked by Shari ah as follows:

The inother maternal grandinother -paternal grandinother -full sister - maternal sister - paternal sister - meter (daughter of a full sister) - nicre (daughter of a maternal sister) - mother's auuer - paternal sister) - mother's auuer - paternal sister) - mother's auuer - paternal sister's nicre - maternal two ther's nicreal sister's nicreal sister - mother's paternal sister's nicreal sister - mother's nicreal sister's nicreal sister'

If the child has none of the female relatives mentioned above, or if none of them is apt to take him under her custody, custodianship is moved to the men nonmarriageable to the female child. Order is ranked seconding to their right in inheritance as follows:

The father - grandfather - full brother - paternal brother - penhew (of a full brother) - penhew (of a paternal brother)

 full uncle - paternal uncle - the full uncle of his father the paternal uncle of his father.

If there is none of the child's male relatives or none of them is apt to take him under his custody, the right of custodianship moves to those men of his relatives through the mother as follows:

The grandfather - brother - nephew (of a maternal brother) - the uncle of his mother - maternal uncle - full uncle - buternal uncle - maternal uncle.

If the child has no relatives, the judge should appoint a custodian to bring him up.

# Crimes and Penalties

Q: Is the unintentional or premeditated murderer entitled to the Kaffarah mentioned in the Holy Qur'an "a fast for two months running"? Or is he entitled to pay the blood month?

A: Unintentional murder entitles its doer to pay blood money and perform Kaffarah, and he is not a sinner. Premediated murderer is a samer, and even if the nurriered person's family infegure him or took the blood murdered person's family infegure him or took the blood moves, the nurriered person's registe are not absolved in the interestler. If the family of the nurrierer skilled the nurrierer skilled in a control versial point in a fallent's parties school. The soundest opinion is that such right is not anothered. The nurrierer's good deep are on many some aboved. If the nurrierer's good deep are on many some aboved in the nurrierer's good deep are on many some aboved. If the nurrierer's good deep are on many some aboved in the nurrierer's production of the nurrierer's person of the nurrie

An unintentional murrieer is entitled to pay the blood money according to the Holy Qur'an and the unanimous agreement of Mustlim scholars. Blood money is to be paid if the murdered is a Mustlim on one of the People of the Book as the Holy Qur'an indicated and as declared by the Muslim scholars and Institute to an old commoversy on this matter. Yet, some recent scholars of Al-Zhalirahi Book, and the promey is to be noil, or to the People of the Book, and blood money is to be noil.

As for the premediated murderer, he must be put to death in return. Yet, if he agreed with the murdered perfect shading on a blood money, this is a permissible can exceeding to the Holy Quiran and the unamamous agreement of Muslim scholars. Such blood money is to be paid out of the murderer's money, in contrast with the unamaterional murderer who can pay the blood money out of his family's money.

As for Kaffarah, the majority of scholars say that permeditated murder, just like perjury and adultery, is too beinous to be compensated. This is the opinion of Malik. Abu Hantihi and Ahmed Kaffarsh is to be performed unea man that and to his wife: "you are just like my mother or sister" and then had inservourse with her or man had intercourse with his view during a Rumahad nay, ha nother marration, Shiafi I and Ahmed say that Kaffanh is obligatory in case of premediated marror and perjuny, and they agree that a man is not absolved of the sin once he reformed Kaffarsh.

When a group of people conspired to murder a person but just one of them performed the plot, will they be killed all or just the murderer?

Q: A group of people conspired to murder a person but just two of them attended the act. Will they be killed all or just the murderer?

As All praise be to Allah, if there is a clear-out proof that a certain person (or more) murdered him, he (thely allow the be murdered in return by the family of the murdered person. This family has the right to murder only some of them. If a certain murderer was not defined, the family of the murdered would swear on one of this group that has the murdered would swear on one of this group that has Allah knows best. Allah knows best.

#### When a man beats another and later the beaten person falls dead, what is the legal judgment in this case?

Q: A man beats another and later the beaten person fell dead. Between being beaten and his death, the man was very weak because of such beating. What is the legal judgment in this case?

A: All praise be to Allah, the Lord of the Worlds. If the aggressor beats him out of entity, this is a sent-preneditated munder. Therefore, the aggressor should pay an exoribant blood money, but he is not to be murdered in retaliation. This ruling is applicable if his death does not result from such bearing

The penalty of adultery

If the adulterer repented before due penalty is
afflicted, is penalty canceled?

Q: When an adulterer repents before due penalty is afflicted, is penalty canceled?

A: When a person repented from committing adultery, robbery or drinking wine before he is referred to the roler, his penalty is canceled as is the case with the robels who

repent before they are referred to the ruler. This ruling is delivered according to the unanimous agreement of Muslim scholare.

#### Do the viciousness of sins and the penalty of adultery increase in the blessed days?

Q: Do the viciousness of sins and the penalty of adultery increase in the blessed days?

A: Yes, in blessed days and places, penalties are augmented according to the virtues of the time and place

### The penalty of defaming people When a man and his divorced wife defame his

present wife and accuse her of adultery, is their claim accepted? Is the dowry of the present wife canceled?

Q: A man married a righteous woman after he had divorced his former wife. He stipulated that if he had returned to his former wife, the dowry of his present wife would be paid immediately. Later, he returned to his former wife, and they defamed his present wife accusing. her of adultery and that she was pregnant out of adultery. Later, he divorced this second wife. What should be done by both? Is their claim accepted? Is her dowry canceled?

At All praise be to Allah, the Lord of the Worlds, As for the first divorced wife and the man, they are to be lashed eighty whips if the accused woman demanded for implementing such penalty. Once lashed, their testimony will never be accepted because they are deviant.

Can be escape such penalty by having recourse to Li and. There are three opinions in Almod's juristics school. Some say that he can have recourse to Li an, others say he can not while still chorts say that he can do so if there is a body which he wants to deny as his son. Even in case of Li an, she deserves her downy as mentioned by the Prophet (peace he upon ham). This rathing is delivered according to the unammoust agreement of Muslim scholars.

Yet, as mentioned above, there are three opinions as far as Li'an is concerned:

- 1. The man is not to make Li'am, Instead he is to be lashed eighty whips because of accusing his wife of adultery. Besides, his testimony will never be accepted. This is one of the opinions of Imam Ahmed and Shafri;
- The man is to make Li'an according to Abu Hamfah and Abased in another paramen
  - If the woman is pregnant, he should have recourse to Li'an just to deny that the haby belones to him.

Otherwise, he is not to make Li'am. This is the other continue of Ahmed and Shafi'i.

#### Having hashish

#### Is it prohibited to have hashish?

Q: What is the legal judgment on having Institution.

A: Hashish is a dammed thing. Whoever has at or believes it to be permissible is dommed. It incurs the worsh of Allah, His Messenger and the righterous believes. Whoever has it is exposed to the punishment of Allah. His Messenger and the righterous believes. Whoever has it is exposed to the punishment of over the hashish reduces on it entitless and a jectory over his termine relatives and spoils one's most life and the interest in the complete of the punishment. All this invote his to all this makes it prohibited.

All this invote her.

#### a a a Macturbation

# Is masturhation prohibited for men and women alike?

Q: Is masturbation prohibited for men and women alike?

A: According to the majority of Muslim scholars, it is prohibited. Whoever does at is to be punished someway or other. YetMMPits penalty is not like adultery. Allah knows best.

For Translation. Publishing & Distributin, Egypt - Al - Mensoura P.O. Box: 35738 Tel - Fax: 02009 1203024 Molein 012050999 E-mell: Almanarah400@Hotrans.com

> *دار المقارة* التقر و الوزيع و الريبة مصر – الطمورة – من به : ۲۵۷۳۸ ناف ا ۱۵۰/۳۵۰۹ (۱۰۰۰ - معبورة ۱۵۰/۳۵۰۹

Dar Al Kosob Library Number : 2000/5221 LS B N 977 - 6005 - 00-4